TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

Regular Meeting October 20, 2020

### OFFICIAL MINUTES Adopted December 15, 2020

Vice Chairman Donald Speeney called the Regular Meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. Board members present were Mr. Al Ellis, Ms. Karen Pennett, Councilman Pete Martino, Mayor Keith Balla, Ms. Ellen Spingler, Mr. Steve Pote, Mr. Paul Fiorilla and Mr. Troy Sims. Also present were Francis P. Linnus, Esq., Board Attorney, Thomas J. Herits, Board Engineer, Mr. Mark Healey, Board Planner and Theresa Snyder, Board Clerk.

Vice Chairman Speeney read the statement indicating the meeting was being held in compliance with N.J.S.A. 10:4-6 of the Open Public Meetings Act, the Municipal Land Use Law requirements, and the recording of the Minutes as required by law. He also stated that in order to comply with the executive orders signed by the governor, and in an effort to follow best practices recommended by the CDC, the meeting was being held virtually for all board members, board professionals, the applicant, the applicant's professionals, interested parties and members of the public. The Board members identified themselves for the record. He then led the flag salute to the American flag.

#### **MINUTES**

On motion by Ms. Spingler, seconded by Ms. Pennett, the minutes from September 15, 2020, Regular Meeting, were accepted and carried on voice vote.

RESOLUTION
RESOLUTION PB 20-R13;
EMERGENCY MEETING PROTOCOL

On motion by Ms. Spingler, seconded by Ms. Pennett, the Board memorialized the resolution based on the following roll call vote:

Roll Call:

Ayes: Ms. Spingler, Mr. Ellis, Councilman Martino,

Ms. Pennett, Mayor Balla, Mr. Pote

Mr. Fiorilla, Mr. Sims and Vice Chairman Speeney

Nays:

Not Eligible: Abstain:

Absent: Tracee Schaefer

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

CASE NO.: PB 19-02; THE LEARNING EXPERIENCE

100 UNION AVENUE BLOCK: 7801 LOT: 1

**BB ZONE** 

**Expiration: 12/31/20** 

The contents of the hearing for the above referenced application is recorded in the below transcript.

BOROUGH OF WATCHUNG PLANNING BOARD COUNTY OF SOMERSET - STATE OF NEW JERSEY

-----------

REGULAR MEETING FOR:

THE LEARNING EXPERIENCE

BLOCK 7801, LOT 1

100 UNION AVENUE

CASE NO. PB 19-02

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2020

COMMENCING AT 7:30 P.M.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

VIRTUAL PUBLIC HEARING

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

DONALD SPEENEY, VICE-CHAIRMAN

KEITH BALLA, MAYOR

ALBERT ELLIS

PAUL FIORILLA

PIETRO MARTINO, COUNCILMAN

KAREN PENNETT

STEPHEN POTE

ELLEN SPINGLER

TROY SIMS

ALSO PRESENT:

FRANCES LINNUS, ESQUIRE, BOARD ATTORNEY

TOM HERITS, P.E., Board Engineer

MARK HEALEY, P.P., Board Planner

JOSEPH FISHINGER, P.E., Board Traffic Engineer

THERESA SNYDER, Board Secretary

STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:

ANGELA BUONANTUONO, CCR, RPR, License No. 30XI00233100

AB COURT REPORTING, LLC

Certified Court Reporters

```
26 Algonquin Terrace
Millstone Township, New Jersey 08535
Tel: (732)882-3590
angelabuonocsr@gmail.com
A P P E A R A N C E S: (Via Video Conference)
JAVERBAUM WURGAFT HICKS KAHN WIKSTROM & SININS, P.C
BY: STEPHEN F. HEHL, ESQUIRE
370 Chestnut Street
Union, New Jersey 07083
T: (908) 687-7000
F: (908) 687-7028
Email: shehl@lawjw.com
--Counsel for the Applicant
BUTLER & BUTLER
BY: WILLIAM B. BUTLER, ESQUIRE
501 Lenox Avenue
Westfield, New Jersey 07090
T: (908) 233-4400
F: (908) 233-4465
Email: cb@butlerlawnj.com
--Counsel for the Objector, Weldon Materials, Inc.
INDEX
FOR THE APPLICANT:
PAGE
GERALD GESARIO, P.E.
BY MR. HEHL 11,50
BY MR. BUTLER 67
MATTHEW JARMEL, AIA
BY MR. HEHL 21,77
BY MR. BUTLER 103
EXHIBITS
FOR THE APPLICANT:
EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE
A-4 Site exhibit, Drawing E-500, dated
October 20, 2020, prepared by
Jarmel Kizel
16
EXHIBITS NOT RETAINED BY REPORTER
1 (Time Noted, 7:43 p.m.)
2 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay, moving
4 BOARD SECRETARY: Case Number PB 19-02,
5 The Learning Experience, 100 Union Avenue, Block
```

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
6 7801, Lot 1, BB zone, expiration 12/31/20.
7 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Thank you.
8 Mr. Hehl.
9 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yes. Good evening,
10 Mr. Chairman, and --
11 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Good evening.
12 ATTORNEY HEHL: -- board members and
13 board professionals. It's great to see everyone.
14 Too bad we're not live, but we do appreciate the
15 board and the board staff and professionals in
16 entertaining us in this virtual formal -- forum.
17 And again, we appreciate the reports that we've been
18 provided. You know, Theresa does a great job in
19 making sure we can have everything on a timely
20 basis.
21 So it's hard to believe, but it was
22 back in December, December 17th, of last year that
23 we were before the board in a live hearing. We then
24 -- we had gotten through, that evening, the
25 testimony of Gerard Gesario, our professional
1 engineer, and Matthew Jarmel, who provided
2 operational testimony and also provided testimony
3 with respect to the architecture. We had
4 not -- those were the only two witnesses that we had
5 testify that evening.
6 But I think, Mr. Chairman, you had
7 requested a brief overview by our witnesses as to
8 who will be testifying and just a recap of the
9 nature of this application. And if that's okay with
10 you, Mr. Speeney, I'll just --
11 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Yeah. What --
12 ATTORNEY HEHL: -- do that briefly.
13 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: What I would
14 like is to have the brief overview. And then I
15 would like to follow that with an overview of our
16 reports from our experts.
17 ATTORNEY HEHL: Sure. That'd be
18 great.
19 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: And then --
20 and then, once the two sets of overviews are
21 completed, we'll go back to the normal process of
22 the hearing.
23 ATTORNEY HEHL: Great.
24 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Mr. Vice-Chair, I
25 also have to deal with a request from Mr. Butler
1 regarding the parking regulations that apply to this
2 particular application.
3 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: All right.
4 We'll do that --
5 ATTORNEY LINNUS: And --
6 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Let's do that
7 after the high-level reports.
8 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Okay. Before we --
9 there's a few housekeeping items that I'd like
```

10 addressed right now, though.

```
11 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay. Go
12 ahead.
13 ATTORNEY LINNUS: And they include the
14 following: Throughout these proceedings, we've
15 received some correspondence from both the attorney
16 for the applicant and from Mr. Butler, the attorney
17 for Weldon. At one point, they were both objecting
18 to having public hearings conducted virtually. I'd
19 like to hear from both of them on the record that
20 they have no objection to the continuation of this
21 public hearing virtually.
22 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yeah. Thanks. Yeah.
23 No objection on behalf of the applicant.
24 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Mr. Butler?
25 I can't hear you, Mr. Butler. You've
1 got to unmute yourself, Bill.
2 Does anybody want to technically help
3 Mr. Butler?
4 MEMBER PENNETT: Because it says he's
5 -- he's not muted.
6 BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Butler, you
7 might want to check the volume on your device, on
8 your computer, to make sure that it's turned up.
9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Getting there.
10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Go ahead.
11 MEMBER PENNETT: Now he's muted.
12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: All right. Try
13 it now.
14 ATTORNEY BUTLER: It's Butler. Can
15 you hear me now?
16 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Yes. I can.
17 BOARD SECRETARY: Yes.
18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hal, turn your
20 computer around.
21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He can't hear
23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.
24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I can hear you
25 now.
1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: How about this
2 way?
3 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Mr. Linnus,
4 repeat the question for Mr. --
5 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Mr. Linnus, can you
6 hear me now?
7 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Yes, I can,
8 Mr. Butler.
9 ATTORNEY BUTLER: I'm sorry.
10 ATTORNEY LINNUS: The question is --
11 ATTORNEY BUTLER: I'm -- I have to
12 apologize. I am an I.T. dinosaur. And I got two
13 people with me that know a lot about it, and I'm not
14 one of them. And I apologize. That's my fault;
```

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
15 that's not your fault.
16 Two weeks ago or three weeks ago on
17 behalf of Weldon, I wrote a letter withdrawing our
18 objection to virtual hearings. We --
19 ATTORNEY LINNUS: And you're
20 confirming that tonight, right, Mr. Butler?
21 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Excuse me?
22 ATTORNEY LINNUS: And you're
23 confirming that tonight on the record, right,
24 Mr. Butler?
25 ATTORNEY BUTLER: We're confirming it
1 tonight.
2 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Okay. That's fine.
3 MR. BUTLER: Thank you. I'm sorry for
4 the delay.
5 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Okay.
6 Mr. Vice-Chair, we can proceed.
7 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Let us proceed
8 then. What I'd like to do is Mr. Hehl will call a
9 review of his two witnesses. Okay? Their
10 testimony.
11 And then we'll go through our planner,
12 engineer, traffic, site committee and legal opinion.
13 Well, we don't need the legal opinion now. We got
14 that already.
15 ATTORNEY LINNUS: No, we need a legal
16 opinion on the parking regulations.
17 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay.
18 Mr. Hehl.
19 ATTORNEY HEHL: Okay. Great. So
20 again, this project, as the board will recall,
21 involves converting a vacant space on New Providence
22 Road and Union Ave into a childcare center. The
23 childcare center before this board is a permitted
24 use in the zone, and it's also deemed to be an
25 inherently beneficial use.
10
1 What we -- what we do have -- this is,
2 from our information, a well-designed project. In
3 fact -- I know we'll touch on it -- we received the
4 Bright View Engineering review and Mr. Herits'
5 review, and I believe we've addressed all of the
6 concerns that they have or will through testimony
7 this evening.
8 There are a few minor variances
9 associated with the application that our site
10 engineer will cover.
11 But what -- as I mentioned, at the
12 December hearing last year, Mr. Gerard Gesario, our
13 site engineer, did present testimony and -- and then
14 also Mr. Jarmel. So what I'd like to do,
15 Mr. Chairman, if it's acceptable, is to have
```

16 Mr. Gesario. I assume he can still be deemed to be

```
17 under oath, but for board -- I think there may be
18 one or two board members that are new, but I can
19 have him place his credentials on the record and
20 give a recap of his prior testimony and supplement
21 it by items that were supplied to the board since he
22 last testified.
23 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay.
24 ATTORNEY HEHL: Great. So let me look
25 around on my screen, and I do see Mr. Gesario.
Gesario - Direct
11
1 So --
2 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Before we proceed,
3 Mr. Hehl --
4 Mr. Gesario, just for the record, you
5 understand you remain under oath in these
6 proceedings?
7 MR. GESARIO: I do.
8 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Okay. Great.
9 Your witness, Counsel.
10 ATTORNEY HEHL: Thank you very much.
11
12 G E R A R D G E S A R I O, P.E.,
13 previously sworn, testifies as follows:
14 DIRECTEXAMINATION
15 BY ATTORNEY HEHL:
16 Q. So Mr. Gesario, just briefly, if you
17 could provide the board again your credentials in
18 connection with your -- as a professional engineer.
19 A. Sure.
20 Again, for the record, my name is
21 Gerard Gesario, G-E-S-A-R-I-O. I am a licensed
22 professional engineer in the state of New Jersey,
23 license in good standing. I'm a 1988 graduate of
24 the New Jersey Institute of Technology with a
25 bachelor of science in civil engineering.
Gesario - Direct
12
1 I've been practicing primarily land
2 development for over 32 years. I'm currently
3 director of civil engineering for Jarmel Kizel
4 Architects and Engineers, and I was the responsible
5 person in charge for preparing these plans.
6 Q. You did testify, as I said, at the
7 December 17, 2019, hearing. And if you can provide
8 the board with an overview of what you testified to
9 at that hearing, and then we can move on to
10 addressing items that were submitted and
11 supplemented since that time.
12 A. Sure. So I'm going to share my screen
13 with a couple of exhibits. One previously marked
14 and one new one. So I'm going to attempt to share
15 my screen now.
16 Does everyone see an aerial picture?
```

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
17 BOARD SECRETARY: Yes.
18 ATTORNEY HEHL: Very good.
19 THE WITNESS: This was previously
20 marked in the initial hearing as Exhibit A-1. It's
21 Drawing E-200, which is an aerial overview of our
22 project. So as Steve said, it's been a while since
23 the first hearing, so I'm just going to kind of
24 rehash what was previously testified to and quickly
25 go through what I previously testified to.
Gesario - Direct
13
1 So this aerial -- our site, Lot 1 and
2 Block 7801 is the triangular-shaped parcel here
3 hatched in yellow. It has the address of 100 Union
4 Avenue. This parcel is 2.21 acres and located in
5 the borough's professional and office zone or B-B
7 Adjacent to our site, we're bound by
8 Union Avenue, I'll say, to the south, New Providence
9 to the -- to the west. There's some wooded areas to
10 our north that make up the adjacent Lots 2 and 3.
11 To the east is the Green Brook. The centerline of
12 the brook is the limits of our property and also
13 make up the municipal boundary between Watchung and
14 Scotch Plains.
15 The site is currently vacant. It's
16 unimproved, predominantly wooded.
17 Two-thirds, roughly, of the site slope
18 and drain directly to the Green Brook. Reminder of
19 the site drains mostly to a storm system on Union
20 Avenue and then discharges into the Green Brook.
21 Elevations range -- about a 15-foot
22 grade difference from near the culvert at Union
23 Avenue to the -- what we'll call the west corner of
24 the property. That's -- it's a range from about one
25 -- elevation 155 to about 170.
Gesario - Direct
14
1 Our site does contain some
2 environmental constraints. We have wetlands along
3 the -- the Green Brook, predominantly following the
4 top of bank a little further out. We do have a new
5 letter of interpretation from the DEP; I believe
6 it's dated just September of 2020. So the wetlands
7 lines and the buffer line we show on the plans that
8 were recently submitted have, in fact, been verified
9 with the DEP based on the latest LOI.
10 There's some steep slopes near there,
11 the bank of the Green Brook, but none of those will
12 be disturbed under our application. The site also
13 falls within the 100-year flood zone of the Green
14 Brook. The flood elevations range from elevation
15 162 1/2 to about 163.8. The flood line shown on our
```

16 plan is based on the state mapping, which we

```
17 received directly from the DEP and their mapping
18 unit, and was plotted based on their flood study and
19 flood profiles they provided.
20 The Green Brook also has what is a
21 150-foot riparian buffer associated with it. And
22 that is measured off the top of the bank. Our
23 drawings also show that line. So the proposed
24 development will require an individual permit from
25 the DEP, and obviously the DEP will have final say
Gesario - Direct
1 on the location of our flood hazard line and -- and
2 the riparian buffer line, but we're pretty
3 comfortable it's correct based on a pre-application
4 meeting we had with them back in 2019.
5 So I'm going to switch to -- okay. Now
6 this is a new exhibit. This is drawing E-500, dated
7 today, October 20th, 2020, which shows the
8 configuration of the current proposed layout of the
9 site.
10 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Mr. Gesario, what
11 are you -- what do you --
12 THE WITNESS: Yes.
13 ATTORNEY LINNUS: You want to identify
14 that as an exhibit?
15 THE WITNESS: Yes.
16 ATTORNEY LINNUS: What exhibit are you
17 presenting?
18 THE WITNESS: This would be a new
19 exhibit. I guess we left off at --
20 BOARD SECRETARY: This would be A-4.
21 ATTORNEY HEHL: A-4, yes.
22 THE WITNESS: Okay. A-4? And this is
23 entitled, "Site exhibit, Drawing E-500," dated
24 October 20th, 2020. It's a ten-scale or a blowup of
25 the proposed development of our site with some color
Gesario - Direct
16
1 showing the landscaping and -- and the play area.
2 (Exhibit A-4, Site Exhibit E-500,
3 dated October 20, 2020, was marked for
4 identification.)
5 THE WITNESS: So to the left, or to
6 the west, you'll see the two-story proposed TLE day
7 care center. It has a total gross square footage of
8 10,782 square feet. The footprint is actually just
9 below 5,400 square feet. There's an outdoor play
10 area behind, or to the north, of 3,400 square feet.
11 The shape of the play area is slightly revised from
12 the prior submission. It got squared off and
13 brought in to minimize disturbance behind the site
14 and in the riparian zone.
15 As I mentioned, we've had a
16 pre-application with DEP, and some of this -- the
```

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
17 redesign now and our prior submission were based on
18 those meetings with the DEP.
19 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Mr. Hehl?
20 Excuse me. Mr. Hehl, this, for me, is sufficient
21 for us to move on to the next level -- next expert,
22 and we can get back to this when we get back into
23 the regular part of the hearing.
24 ATTORNEY HEHL: Okay. So I would,
25 then, recall Mr. Gesario to take --
Gesario - Direct
1 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Right.
2 ATTORNEY HEHL: -- us through the --
3 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Absolutely.
4 Yeah.
5 ATTORNEY HEHL: Okav. Great.
6 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Yeah.
7 ATTORNEY HEHL: So Mr. Gesario, any
8 other items from your previous testimony that you
9 would like to -- to touch on?
10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'll just quickly
11 -- the two -- the main differences, I guess, from
12 the last submission -- there's really three or two.
13 It's -- whoops, sorry about that -- it's the play
14 area, shape and size. We reconfigured the emergency
15 access drive so that we had a curve here. We opened
16 it up and just striped it now instead, and we tucked
17 in some -- some grading along our -- our parking
18 inside the site to minimize disturbance and further
19 reduce disturbance in the riparian zone.
20 So those are, really, the differences
21 from the prior application.
22 ATTORNEY HEHL: Okay. And then I'll
23 re- -- we'll recall you after we get a brief
24 description by Mr. Jarmel, a recap. Then we can
25 move on to -- I guess next would be the reports from
1 the -- the professionals for the -- for -- for
2 Watchung.
3 So with that being said, I'd like to
4 recall Mr. Matthew Jarmel.
5 MR. JARMEL: Good evening.
6 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Mr. Jarmel, you do
7 understand you remain under oath; do you not?
8 MR. JARMEL: I do, Mr. Linnus.
9 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Mr. Speeney?
10 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Yes.
11 ATTORNEY BUTLER: This is Bill Butler.
12 If I just heard the engineer correctly, he testified
13 about an exhibit dated 10/10/20; is that correct?
14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 10/20/20.
15 ATTORNEY BUTLER: 10/20/20?
16 ATTORNEY LINNUS: 10/20/20.
```

17 ATTORNEY BUTLER: So this is an

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
18 exhibit that was -- that was dated today. Okay?
19 And he's supposed to get that exhibit in ten days
20 before the hearing if we're going to discuss the
21 exhibit, and it's part of the site plan. And I have
22 an Exhibit A and a B predicated upon the plans that
23 were submitted about three weeks ago, and I have no
24 idea how this new plan that was submitted today
25 affects how I was going to cross-examine this
1 witness.
2 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: I hear you,
3 Mr. Butler.
4 Mr. Linnus.
5 ATTORNEY LINNUS: All right. Let --
6 let me hear from the applicant's attorney regarding
7 the production of this exhibit, and the fact that
8 it's dated today, and whether it's relevant tonight
9 and whether we have to postpone that exhibit to the
10 next meeting.
11 ATTORNEY HEHL: All right. This
12 is -- this is merely an exhibit. It's not a change
13 in the plans. It's consistent with the plans that
14 were submitted and were on file well in advance of
15 this -- tonight's hearing.
16 It is a descriptive type of exhibit, so
17 it doesn't change anything that was submitted. It's
18 just a simple exhibit to highlight the -- the
19 changes from the previous application -- or from the
20 previous plan to what was submitted several --
21 several weeks ago. So it's just more illustrative.
22 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Mr. Chairman, is
23 Mr. Hehl saying that this new exhibit that came in
24 today is exactly the same as Sheet 6-A, which was
25 the major revision last month when they resubmitted?
1 Is it 6-A? Because I've drawn out 6-A
2 with all these wetlands and where all the riparian
3 zones are, and that's the exhibit I was going to use
4 for the engineer.
5 Does this new plan change 6-A? That's
6 my question.
7 ATTORNEY HEHL: I'll defer to
8 Mr. Gesario, but I believe the answer is it is
9 consistent.
10 MR. GESARIO: Yeah.
11 ATTORNEY BUTLER: It is --
12 MR. GESARIO: The -- the exhibit
13 presented tonight is the exact same layout as
14 Drawing 6-A with just color added to it.
15 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Thank you.
16 MR. GESARIO. You're welcome.
17 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Thank you.
```

18 ATTORNEY LINNUS: We're fine, as long

19 as it was based on 6-A.

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
20 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay.
21 ATTORNEY HEHL: Great. So, I guess,
22 back to Mr. Jarmel.
24 MATTHEWJARMEL, AIA,
25 previously sworn, testifies as follows:
Jarmel - Direct
21
1 D I R E C T E X A M I N A T I O N
2 BY ATTORNEY HEHL:
3 Q. So Mr. Jarmel, if you could, as did
4 Mr. Gesario, briefly provide the board with your
5 credentials and then a brief overview of your
6 previous testimony, and then we can move on to any
7 changes based upon -- we can do that after when I
8 recall you.
9 A. Okay. Very well.
10 Good evening, everyone. My name is
11 Matthew Jarmel. I am a principal of the
12 architectural engineering firm Jarmel Kizel
13 Architects and Engineers, located in Livingston, New
14 Jersev.
15 As far as my credentials go, I have a
16 bachelor's of architectural degree from the New
17 Jersey Institute of Technology. I graduated in
18 1990. I have a master's in business administration
19 with a concentration in real estate development and
20 urban land use from Rutgers University. I believe I
21 got that degree in 1994.
22 I have been a registered architect in
23 the state of New Jersey since 1994. I currently
24 hold 31 licenses throughout the country, including
25 Washington, D.C.
Jarmel - Direct
22
1 And I have testified in New Jersey
2 before well over 100 municipal planning and zoning
3 boards. I've also testified on -- in superior court
4 as an architect.
5 Specifically as it relates to childcare
6 centers, my firm has designed well over 300
7 childcare centers in about 15 states. In fact, we
8 recently were working on two in London as well, out
9 of the -- internationally. And we have been -- and
10 we have worked for many major brands which you may
11 be familiar with -- Goddard, Kiddie Academy,
12 Children of America, Primrose, Lightbridge and, of
13 course, this evening we're here -- or virtually here
14 to talk about The Learning Experience.
15 Our firm has worked with The Learning
16 Experience for about almost 20 years. And, as one
17 of their preferred architects, we created their
18 prototype. And the drawings and designs you'll see
```

19 here tonight reflect our working with their

```
20 operations people over this time period.
21 In addition to being an architect,
22 sometimes I act as a real estate developer, and I
23 personally have completed six childcare centers, all
24 leased to The Learning Experience. I have ownership
25 interest in four in New Jersey, and I'm working on
Jarmel - Direct
23
1 five developing now. So I'm very familiar with how
2 these centers operate and how they're developed.
3 I don't know if I -- you still need to
4 accept my qualifications, please.
5 Q. Well, I think you were already accepted
6 but this is --
7 A. Oh, okay. Yeah. Sorry.
8 Q. This is a recap.
9 A. So --
10 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Thanks for the
11 review.
12 THE WITNESS: So I'll try and be
13 brief. And forgive me because I'm not sure how deep
14 I should go into the recap of my testimony, but --
15 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Don't go in
16 too deep.
17 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yeah. Mr. Speeney,
18 he'll -- he'll rein you in if you go too
20 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Speeney.
21 So I won't even share any drawings at
22 this time, then. I'll just say that we propose to
23 build a two-story childcare center. The total
24 square footage of the building will be 10,792 square
25 feet; that's split evenly between the first and
Jarmel - Direct
24
1 second floor, 5,396 square feet each.
2 The childcare center will be designed
3 in accordance with the New Jersey Department of
4 Children and Families regulations, and it'll be
5 licensed upon completion and issuance of a
6 certificate of occupancy, assuming it receives site
7 plan approval and is built, for approximately -- and
8 I say, "Approximately," because the -- 154 children,
9 that's our target license capacity. I say,
10 "approximately," because ultimately the Department
11 of Children and Families makes the final decision,
12 and sometimes it varies by a couple of children.
13 Those -- that -- that license capacity will house
14 children that are as young as infants, from six
15 weeks of age, to approximately six years of age.
16 With that, you'll need 20 caregivers in
17 the classrooms, and typically there'd be two
18 administrative staff, for a total maximum staff of
19 22 and a total occupancy in the building of 176.
```

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

20 I can go further, if -- if you'd like, 21 but it's essentially what we propose. 22 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: All right. 23 You can do that when -- when you're recalled by Mr. 24 Hehl. 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 1 ATTORNEY HEHL: Thank you. 2 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay. 3 Mr. Hehl, you -- what I'd like to do now is swing 4 into the board experts' reports at a high level, and 5 that will reorient, hopefully, board members as well 6 as the applicant. 7 ATTORNEY HEHL: Okay. Great. 8 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay? 9 ATTORNEY HEHL: Thank you, 10 Mr. Speeney. 11 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: You're 12 welcome. 13 Mr. Healey, are you on board here? 14 BOARD PLANNER: I'm on board. Can you 15 hear me? 16 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Yeah. Go 17 ahead. 18 BOARD PLANNER: Okay. So I'll -- I'll 19 follow your direction to keep it at a high level. 20 I initially prepared a report dated 21 December 12th, 2019. It was a five-page report 22 bringing up a total of, at that time, 14 comments. 23 Most recently, based on the revised plans, I did a 24 updated report to the, board, dated October 6th, 25 2020. And I'll just -- I'll just walk very quickly 26 1 through that report. 2 The first page is basically a summary 3 of the application. 4 Comment Number 1, I talk about the fact 5 that it's a limited use both in the ordinance and 6 per the Municipal Land Use Law. 7 Comment 2, I bring up the two 8 variances, lot frontage and parking lot setback, 9 which are still required as a result of the revised 10 plans, but that's unchanged. 11 I bring up an issue of off-street 12 parking and loading requirements, the fact that the 13 ordinance does not have a specific ordinance 14 requirement for this use. And in that case, the 15 board can establish the parking requirements for the 16 use based on industry standards. And then I also 17 bring up the related issue of the applicant needing 18 to prove to the board that the site plan will 19 function properly based on the anticipated occupancy

20 levels in terms of students, staff, and just the 21 operation of -- of the use. And obviously they have

- 22 an engineer, traffic engineer, that they've 23 retained, so does the board, and I believe the --24 Mr. Butler as well. So you'll hear plenty the 25 testimony, I believe, on that issue. 27
- 1 There is a waiver for landscape
- 2 islands. There is some clarification on Item
- 3 Number 5. I believe they're fine in terms of
- 4 building height, but they still have not clarified 5 that issue.
- 6 Steep slope analysis, I opine that they
- 7 are consistent with that section of the ordinance.
- 8 Comment Number 7, I bring up the fact
- 9 that since they do have -- require variances, I
- 10 basically summarized the nature of the testimony
- 11 that they need to provide in support of those 12 variances.
- 13 Comment 8, I raised -- looks like one,
- 14 two, three -- six bullet points with respect to tree
- 15 replacement and landscaping that were raised in
- 16 December, and their revised plans did not address
- 17 those. So those comments are still outstanding.
- 18 Number 9, I brought up some comments
- 19 about the architecture. I'm not sure -- I was not
- 20 in the -- in attendance at the meeting in December,
- 21 so I'm not sure if the applicants had addressed that
- 22 comment or not.
- 23 I asked in Comment Number 10 for the
- 24 applicant to explain to the board how loading would
- ${\tt 25}$  occur, and I believe that issue's been raised in at  ${\tt 28}$
- 1 least one of the other reports as well.
- 2 Comment 11, they need to bring the
- 3 board up to speed, and it looks like they have, to
- 4 some degree, the nature of their outside approvals.
- 5 12, I bring up a comment about street
- 6 trees, which they have not addressed on their 7 revised plans.
- 8 13, I brought up the fact that there
- 9 are some pine trees that are proposed to remain. I
- 10 believe they may have some issues with sight
- 11 distance. That I'll defer to your engineer and your
- 12 traffic engineer on that issue.
- 13 Number 14, I was asked to review
- 14 whether the -- the fact that they're proposing a
- 15 solid fence around the play area would -- if that
- 16 would result in a need for a variance. I reviewed
- 17 your ordinance, and I do not see anything in your
- 18 ordinance that would prohibit a solid fence on a
- 19 commercial site in a commercial zone.
- 20 And then the last comment is just a
- 21 factual comment that they would be subject to the
- 22 2.5 nonresidential development fee.
- 23 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay. Thank

```
24 you, Mark.
25 Tom Herits?
1 ATTORNEY BUTLER: This is Bill Butler.
2 I'm sorry, Chairman Speeney.
3 Mark Healey just mentioned that he
4 submitted a report dated October 6th, 2020.
5 I think that's what you said, Mark; did
6 you not?
7 BOARD PLANNER: Yes.
8 ATTORNEY BUTLER: I never received
9 that report.
10 MEMBER FIORILLA: Can I ask a question
11 to Mr. Linnus? Can everybody hear me?
12 ATTORNEY LINNUS: I do not distribute
13 reports.
14 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Nor, Mr. Linnus, do
15 I expect you to. And I --
16 BOARD SECRETARY: That's my fault.
17 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Well you would
18 expect Theresa to distribute the reports.
19 ATTORNEY BUTLER: And Theresa's been
20 terrific. I mean, she's the best I ever met, but I
21 did not get that report.
22 MEMBER FIORILLA: Mr. Linnus, could I
23 ask a question?
24 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Yes.
25 MEMBER FIORILLA: All right. So -- is
1 -- are -- are people not affiliated with the
2 planning board, are they allowed to just interject?
3 I mean, is the fact that somebody is an attorney
4 give them the right to just, like, speak at the
5 meeting as if they're a member of the board?
6 I mean, shouldn't there be, like, a
7 time for the public to speak and the board --
8 shouldn't the testimony, you know, be in front of
9 the board, and the board have the right to speak
10 right now and other people wait their turn to
11 interject?
12 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Yeah. The -- the
13 procedures under the Municipal Land Use Law grant
14 leeway to the chairperson to conduct the procedure
15 or the hearing.
16 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: And it's been
17 previously agreed that Mr. Butler can ask questions,
18 procedural questions mostly, until he gets his time
19 to present his view and his part of the case.
20 MEMBER FIORILLA: I -- I used to
21 attend a lot of public meetings, and I -- you know,
22 I think that the board should hear testimony and
23 that there should be a space for other interested
24 parties to have their turn, but I don't think
25 that -- this is my opinion, and I'll shut up after
```

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
1 this, but, I mean, I -- I think there should be some
2 order here, and people who are not on the board
3 should have a time when they speak, and that's my
4 opinion.
5 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: We -- we've
6 agreed -- we've agreed with Mr. Butler to be able to
7 ask questions, strictly procedural questions, as
8 they come up.
9 And Mr. Butler will have his time
10 after -- after Mr. Hehl has presented his case. But
11 it's clear to me because of the prior agreement and
12 deference to procedure that we can move on with
13 Mr. Butler asking his questions if there's a
14 procedural question.
15 So let's go.
16 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Mr. Speeney, will I
17 get that report?
18 BOARD SECRETARY: Yes.
19 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Thank you very much.
20 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay. We're
21 up to Mr. Herits, I believe. Yeah.
22 BOARD ENGINEER: Okay. Good evening,
23 evervone.
24 My original report was dated
25 November 14th, 2019. To be guite honest with you, I
1 don't remember if at the last public...
2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You just muted
3 yourself, Tom.
4 BOARD ENGINEER: I'm sorry. I'm
5 sorry. I don't know if the -- at the last public
6 hearing if the applicant's engineer had a chance to
7 go through that report during the public hearing.
8 Usually they go -- they go through the report
9 step-by-step. So you have to refresh my memory.
10 It's been a while.
11 Mr. Hehl, do you remember if he did
12 that or --
13 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yes, Mr. Herits.
14 Mr. Gesario did, in fact, go through -- go through
15 your letter and address it point by point. And any
16 of the architectural items, Mr. Jarmel addressed.
17 BOARD ENGINEER: So basically my
18 report covers some general comments, and then some
19 site comments, some traffic considerations, which I
20 think are important, and which Joe Fishinger is here
21 tonight to review and did a subsequent report.
22 We talked about stormwater management.
23 Again, this -- this report's nine pages; half your
24 meeting would be taken up going through it. Some
25 utilities, lighting and landscaping, and then
```

1 additional approvals that would be required.

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
2 We did do another report based on the
3 revised plans dated September 14th, 2020. And the
4 plans really didn't change except for what the --
5 Gerry talked about was the size of the play area,
6 the site -- the egress for the site. There's just a
7 little -- there's a minor change to that. And they
8 added the 150-foot buffer from -- on the plans,
9 which I'm sure you're going to be cross-examined on.
10 But I think you need to explain to the
11 board how you plan on overcoming that with the DEP.
12 That's a very important issue because if you can't
13 get through DEP with that, your -- literally your
14 site plan is rendered useless.
15 So that's the important things,
16 Mr. Vice-Chairman.
17 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okav. Thank
19 Joe Fishinger for traffic? Joe, you
20 there?
21 BOARD TRAFFIC ENGINEER: I'm here.
22 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay.
23 BOARD TRAFFIC ENGINEER: Good evening,
24 everyone. My name's Joe Fishinger, Bright View
25 Engineering. John Jahr, your regular traffic
1 engineer, sends his regards. Unfortunately his
2 mother just got out of the hospital, and he couldn't
3 be here tonight.
4 Frank, I haven't been -- testified in
5 front of this board before, so do you want to swear
6 me in now?
7 ATTORNEY LINNUS: You want to raise
8 your right hand, please.
9 J O H N J A H R, P.E., sworn.
10 BOARD TRAFFIC ENGINEER: Obviously you
11 haven't heard traffic testimony yet, so I'll keep my
12 comments brief.
13 Our latest report -- the latest
14 revision was October 16th, which takes into account
15 some recent comments that were provided by Hal
16 Simoff. When it comes down to it -- and you'll hear
17 -- I'm sure you'll hear plenty of testimony -- there
18 are really two major considerations, parking and
19 traffic congestion.
20 From a parking perspective, the
21 applicant will have to provide testimony proving
22 that they have a sufficient amount of parking.
23 Based on our experience with similar applications,
24 we review these quite often, that -- there will be
25 enough parking for, in our opinion.
1 The bigger question is traffic. The
```

2 intersection at New Providence Road and Union is 3 failing now. It's been a problem for a very long

- 4 time. This application is right on the corner, and 5 what I would hope that the applicant's professionals 6 are going to provide is information on how their 7 project's going to affect that intersection and if 8 they're doing anything to mitigate those issues, 9 whether the -- either on site or in cooperation with 10 improvements from the county. 11 So that's something that we've asked 12 for and asked for clarification in our letters, and 13 I assume -- I would imagine that the 14 applicant's professionals will --15 BOARD SECRETARY: Tom, you're muted. 16 BOARD TRAFFIC ENGINEER: I'm sorry, 17 did -- was somebody not able to hear me? 18 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Did something 19 happen? 20 BOARD TRAFFIC ENGINEER: I thought I 21 heard somebody saying I'm -- I was muted, but I 22 guess not. 23 That's the very brief overview. I can 24 go into particulars, if you want, but I think that's 25 best left until after we hear the testimony from the 36 1 applicant. 2 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Right. Joe, 3 thank you very much. 4 The -- the next item that I wanted to 5 review was the site plan subdivision committee 6 report for The Learning Experience, which is dated 7 November 15, 2019. And several issues were raised, 8 but parking and traffic were two big ones. And for 9 example, we questioned number of parking spaces, if 10 it was adequate, and did they need a license at the 11 time from the department of community services or 12 approval for their traffic in terms of the 13 student-teacher ratio. 14 And then we had a question about 15 overflow of parking requirements when students' 16 special performances are held that draw parents and 17 others to the site. So that was a question we had. 18 And traffic. We were there on November 19 the 15th, which was a Friday, 10:00 a.m., and we 20 experienced significant heavy truck traffic leaving 21 Weldon Quarry, and some of these drivers were 22 speeding up within the quarry egress road to merge 23 with existing traffic, which was the southbound 24 direction on New Providence Road. Now, these trucks 25 also exhibited loud engine exhaust noises, and
- 1 nothing was quiet about that operation.
- 2 The automobile traffic seemed to be
- 3 bumper to bumper going northbound out of New
- 4 Providence Road except for momentary openings
- 5 because of the traffic signal at New Providence Road

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
6 and Bonnie Burn Road.
7 There seemed to be pauses of automobile
8 traffic on Union Avenue in each direction, though
9 there were not too many courtesy openings for
10 southbound drivers turning left from New Providence
11 Road onto Union Avenue, and this caused a backup on
12 New Providence Road in the existing turning lane.
13 These traffic observations are at the
14 very corner where the school building is proposed to
15 be built. And there was -- one other issue -- well,
16 other issues as well, but one -- one I want to bring
17 up is that the applicant -- we were asking to submit
18 a notice of active quarry whereby waiving any claim
19 for interruption of/or interference with its use and
20 enjoyment of the property from being close proximity
21 to Weldon Ouarry. So the -- the document we're
22 referring to is Notice of Active Quarry.
23 Those are -- those are the highlights
24 of what I gleaned from the subdivision report and
25 would move on now to Mr. Linnus.
1 ATTORNEY LINNUS: All right. Thank
2 you very much, Mr. Vice-Chair.
3 Let me give the board some background
4 on the parking regulations that affect this
5 particular application.
6 On October 14th, 2020, Mr. Butler sent
7 me a letter, as the attorney for the board,
8 requesting that I make a determination as to what
9 parking regulations apply to this particular
10 application.
11 On October 15th, 2020, I wrote to the
12 applicant's attorney, and told him about Mr.
13 Butler's request and asked the applicant attorney to
14 respond in writing to Mr. Butler's request so we
15 could have the applicant's viewpoint as to what, if
16 any, parking regulations apply to this particular
17 application.
18 On October 20th, which is today, I -- I
19 received a letter from the applicant's attorney
20 explaining the applicant's position.
21 By way of additional background, going
22 all the way back to December 13th, 2019, there was a
23 letter from the attorney for the applicant to the
24 board, and at that point, the applicant's attorney
25 took the position that for a childcare center, under
1 N.J.S.A. 40:55D-66.6 there were no parking
2 regulations, and that was his position on
3 December 13th, 2019.
4 On December 17th, 2019, we had the
5 hearing, the first hearing, and at that time, both
```

6 Mr. -- Mr. Hehl on behalf of the applicant and 7 Mr. Butler on behalf of Weldon advanced some

```
8 arguments as to which parking regulations applied to
9 this particular project.
10 And on December 31st, 2019, I received
11 a letter from Mr. -- a letter from Mr. Butler
12 regarding the parking issue.
13 So we're here tonight to render a
14 decision as to what parking regulations apply to
15 this particular piece of property. So I'd like to
16 hear first from the applicant's attorney, if he
17 would want to amplify on his written submission, and
18 then turn to Mr. Butler and see if he wants to
19 amplify on his written submission. And then I'd be
20 in a position to give my advice to the board.
21 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Very good.
22 ATTORNEY HEHL: Thank you very much.
23 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Mr. Hehl.
24 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yes, I appreciate the
25 opportunity to speak.
1 And I know Mr. Butler requested this
2 opinion. With all due respect, I don't know if
3 that's something that actually needs to be decided
4 now at the outset of the case. I mean, we are going
5 to have traffic testimony and professional testimony
6 in that regard.
7 So while, Mr. Linnus, you may be in a
8 position to provide some guidance, I think that this
9 is something that would be certainly addressed by --
10 by our professionals when they testify, particularly
11 our traffic engineer. But I will re-emphasize that
12 the law, the MLUL, the statute that you cited
13 before, is clear. And while the Cox treatise does
14 question whether or not the intention of the law was
15 to apply to a childcare center within a -- let's say
16 an office complex or industrial complex, that is not
17 the way the law is written, and it's been ruled on
18 numerous occasions that those parking regulations
19 are not to be imposed on childcare centers.
20 ATTORNEY LINNUS: I would hope --
21 excuse me, Mr. Hehl. I would hope that you would
22 have supplied some case law in support of your
23 position. Can you -- can you provide any case law
24 in support of your position that a stand-alone
25 childcare center requires no parking?
41
1 ATTORNEY HEHL: We can -- we can
2 submit that.
3 And then secondly, as I noted in my
4 letter, that the -- regardless of -- even if you
5 were to assume that parking would be required -- and
6 certainly we would want to have parking -- that we
7 meet it within both the -- the ordinance and under
```

```
8 the ITE standards. So we think that this is
9 something that we can bring up during the course of
10 the hearing and address whether or not we need the
11 variance. We did notify for it as a -- out of an
12 abundance of caution and sought it as part of our
13 application, but it's certainly within the purview
14 of the board and the board professionals to make
15 that determination. I think it's best made,
16 frankly, after -- after they hear the testimony from
17 all of our experts, but I would defer to counsel in
18 that regard.
19 ATTORNEY LINNUS: All right.
20 Mr. Butler?
21 ATTORNEY BUTLER: I'm not going to
22 argue the merits of whether there's enough parking
23 or not. That's not the issue. I rely upon my memo
24 I sent to the -- to you, Mr. Linnus, December 31st,
25 2019.
42
1 The applicable statute, 40:55D-66.6, as
2 pointed out by Cox, actually refers to a building
3 which has employees. Those employees have little
4 babies, childcare-aged babies, and they have day
5 care centers within those buildings. And those
6 parents and guardians who go work in that building
7 bring these little ones to the building to the day
8 care center. And the statute relied upon by
9 Mr. Hehl -- 66.6 refers to that type of situation.
10 Naturally you don't have to have a parking place for
11 the mom and a parking place for the 6-year-old baby.
12 My -- Hal Simoff, my traffic expert, is
13 going to use ITE standards, well-known and accepted
14 by the engineering fraternity, predicated upon the
15 number of students, not the size of the building but
16 the number of students. And with that, he -- he
17 comes up with a number, but the number is
18 irrelevant.
19 The issue before the board is does a
20 day care center have to have parking, or can you put
21 a day care center up with no parking? And just look
22 at this site where there's no off-street parking,
23 and there's no private parking.
24 I have nothing further to add.
25 ATTORNEY LINNUS: All right. I've
1 listened to both attorneys. I've seen their
2 documentation. I do want to add that Mark Healey
3 pointed out in his memorandum of October 6th, 2020,
4 that we do have a local ordinance, and I'm going to
5 read the local ordinance, 28-503(D)(2). And it
6 states that, "In the case of a use not specifically
```

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
7 mentioned in the parking schedule above" -- we have
8 parking schedules -- "the requirements of off-street
9 parking facilities for a use most similar,
10 compatible or consistent with the use that is
11 mentioned, shall apply. In the event there is no
12 similar, compatible or consistent use, off-street
13 parking requirements shall be determined by the
14 Board based upon accepted industry standards."
15 Mr. Healey also concluded, and I agreed
16 with him, that there is no specific reference to
17 childcare centers in the -- in the parking
18 ordinance.
19 I do agree with Mr. Hehl that a
20 decision should not be made tonight. We're going to
21 hear an awful lot of traffic testimony from three
22 experts, the applicant's traffic consultant, the
23 objector's traffic consultant and the board's
24 traffic consultant. And what we want to hear
25 ultimately so that this board can make certain
1 findings, we want to -- we want the board to
2 determine what is the acceptable industry standard.
3 Is it ITE? Is it something else? And after they
4 decide that, then we'll determine whether a variance
5 is necessary or required.
6 Mr. Hehl is correct, in the abundance
7 of caution, he did put it in his notice that a
8 variance may be required.
9 So I suggest to the board that we
10 proceed with the application, wait until all the
11 testimony is in, and at that point the board can
12 make an informed decision.
13 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: You got it.
14 Okay, Mr. Linnus. Thank you very much.
15 MEMBER PENNETT: Hey, Don? Don? Don,
16 can you hear me?
17 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Yeah. Go
18 ahead, Karen.
19 MEMBER PENNETT: Yeah. I'm having --
20 I'm having speaker problems, so I'm speaking through
21 my phone. The environmental committee had a couple
22 of issues that they wanted to -- we didn't have to
23 do a formal report, but I wanted to make sure some
24 of our issues would be discussed.
25 One of that is the air quality. I
1 know they had some past reports, and I'd want that
2 all to include their -- like, a dust quality.
3 Another issue is the tree replacement
4 plan that -- I have not seen one yet, and I know
5 they're taking down quite a few trees.
6 And then also about their -- the
```

7 grounds maintenance. You know, the lawn mowing, the

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

- 8 taking care of trees and so forth, and what type of 9 insecticides and fertilizer and so forth would be 10 used. 11 But those were three main issues that 12 the environmental committee would like to go over. 13 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: All right. 14 Thank you, Karen. 15 Mr. Hehl, this is new input, I believe, 16 relative to the application in terms of what is 17 being requested in terms of information -- air 18 quality, dust quality, trees, et cetera, et cetera 19 -- and I would expect that you're going to present 20 information to us about those issues. 21 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yes, we will. 22 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Correct? 23 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yep. 24 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay. 25 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yes. Thank you. 1 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: And I trust 2 Karen -- Karen will keep us listening, right? 3 MEMBER PENNETT: Yes. I can hear you. 4 My microphone on the computer is not working, but I 5 can hear you-all. 6 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay. All 7 right. 8 MEMBER PENNETT: Technology these 10 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Karen, thank 11 you. 12 Now, the way -- what we've done is 13 everybody's given us a view of what's happened. 14 What I'd like to do is turn the application back to 15 the normal process and give it to Mr. Hehl to 16 proceed. 17 ATTORNEY HEHL: Great. Thank you very 18 much, Mr. Speeney. And again --19 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: You're 20 welcome. Thank you for participating in the -- the 21 ability for the board to be reminded about what has 22 happened.
- 1 So -- so what I'd like to do is now

23 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yep. No. That was --

2 recall Mr. Gesario, and he can take us through in

24 that was very helpful both, I think, for the board, 25 the applicant and any members of the public.

- 3 more detail the revisions to the plans that were
- 4 made since the prior hearing, can talk a little
- 5 further about A-4, and then -- and then can address
- 6 the -- the reports that we've received, the four --
- 7 the three reports that we more recently received,
- 8 and touch again on the subcommittee report and can
- 9 also address, in part, the -- the environmental

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
10 committee.
11 So Mr. Gesario?
12 MR. GESARIO: Okay.
13 ATTORNEY HEHL: I'm sorry?
14 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: I thought I
15 heard someone else speaking.
17 GERARDGESARIO, P.E.,
18 continues testifying as follows:
19 Okay. I'll share my screen again and
20 go back to A-4.
21 Again, the revisions from our last
22 application were -- were fairly minor, really. It
23 was the shape of the play area, which I mentioned, a
24 slight adjustment to our emergency access, and what
25 you can't see is a small grade wall back here that
1 just helped bring in some grading to reduce
2 disturbance in the riparian zone.
3 Where I had left off, I quess -- let's
4 see. It's -- a couple of things just to refresh
5 people's memory. The locations of the driveways,
6 this main driveway, which will be a full-movement
7 driveway that I'm circling with my mouse here, that
8 -- that location is driven mainly by our -- we also
9 had a meeting with Somerset County, and their
10 request was to push that driveway as far to the east
11 as possible, which is what we've done. So that's
12 the reason for that location.
13 The secondary driveway is a result of
14 our pre-application meeting with the DEP where it
15 was noted that for a critical building, what they
16 call critical buildings, which are -- day cares fall
17 under that category -- you must have a secondary --
18 or you must have a means of egress from the site
19 that's outside and above the flood hazard area. So
20 that's what precipitated the addition of this
21 driveway.
22 We realize that this application needs
23 to go before the DEP. And so in relation to the
24 trees, we haven't done a complete tree replacement
25 plan because we are proposing a mitigation. And I'm
49
1 just going to switch to one of the drawings that's
2 in the site plan package, which I think I might have
3 just got rid of. Hold on.
4 ATTORNEY LINNUS: You'll need to
5 identify that document, Mr. Gesario.
6 MR. GESARIO: Yes.
7 ATTORNEY HEHL: It was previously
8 marked. Just reference the marking.
```

9 MR. GESARIO: This has got to be a

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
10 drawing that's in the site plans that are submitted.
11 It's -- I'm going to refer to drawing 6-A of sheet
12 -- of 17. That's in the site plan package that has
13 been submitted.
14 ATTORNEY LINNUS: Very good.
15 MR. GESARIO: 6-A is a comprehensive
16 drawing. It's basically our proposed DEP mitigation
17 plan, and it shows all the various constraints, the
18 wetlands, the wetlands buffer, the riparian zone and
19 the disturbance we're proposing within the riparian
20 zone. You're allowed some disturbances of different
21 natures, and we've summarized that on this drawing,
22 which was not part of a prior submission set.
23 There -- there's areas of mitigation
24 we're proposing within the riparian zone, and we are
25 well aware that this has to go through the DEP
Gesario - Direct
1 approval process, and that's when all the types and
2 numbers of trees will be better identified. So what
3 we're requesting is, knowing that we need DEP
4 approval, that the final tree replacement plan would
5 be addressed all at the same time with their
6 approval. So that's where we are with the trees.
7 And that's really the only major
8 changes to our drawing. I mean, I can go through
9 the rest of the site plan as a refresher if the
10 board wants to hear it.
11 DIRECTEXAMINATION
12 BY ATTORNEY HEHL:
13 Q. Yeah. Why don't we briefly go through
14 that.
15 A. Okay. Let's go to our site plan also.
16 So sheet -- that is Sheet 5, also as part of the
17 package that is before you.
18 Again, so we have our main driveway to
19 the east, the emergency access to the west. The
20 location of the building and the parking, it's
21 tucked into what I'll call the southwest corner due
22 to all the environmental constraints. We feel this
23 plan minimizes, to the best, the ability balancing
24 the environmental constraints. The requirements for
25 a day care to function and the board's zoning
Gesario - Direct
51
1 ordinance, such as setbacks, we feel we've
2 positioned the building and minimized disturbance to
3 the greatest extent possible for this particular
4 use.
5 We've met with the county. We're aware
```

6 of what their concerns are, and we placed the

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
7 driveway and did a little widening between the
8 driveways. Those are per their discuss -- per
9 discussions with them. And again, we realize we
10 have to go back to them for final approval, but, you
11 know, this plan has been thought out with -- with
12 discussions with DEP and Somerset County. So we
13 have information that we're -- we've laid this plan
14 out with. We're not starting from zero, so to
15 speak. We -- we've laid it out based on our
16 discussions with those agencies having jurisdiction
17 of this plan.
18 Our drainage hasn't changed from the
19 last time; we're still proposing a subsurface
20 drainage system. This is Drawing 6 of 17, our
21 drainage plan. It's still a subsurface pipe system
22 that will collect all the runoff, detain it and
23 reduce it at a -- a rate that meets the ordinance
24 and the DEP requirements for a major site plan,
25 which is to reduce peak flows for 50, 75 and
Gesario - Direct
52
1 80 percent of the existing peak flows.
2 We're addressing water quality through
3 a manufactured treatment device that's a proprietary
4 structure. That will be DEP-certified to remove
5 80 percent of total suspended solids. Recharge,
6 we're proposing right now an infiltration system if
7 the soil test results that are still pending warrant
8 the site able to allow infiltration. If not, we
9 will request a waiver from the infiltration
10 standards based on results of soil testing.
11 So the site does have a trash enclosure
12 located just to the right of the main entrance.
13 That hasn't changed from the prior plan. The
14 landscaping, as was mentioned, we understand there's
15 some comments.
16 I talked about the trees and the tree
17 replacements. We'd like to hold that till we go
18 through the DEP process when that's -- we can nail
19 down all the trees that we're going to be proposing.
20 And what I always do is I say I'll work with the
21 environmental commission, your board's professionals
22 to address any -- we'll call it within the area of
23 disturbance, landscaping, if there's some
24 differences or things they'd like to see. And we'll
25 certainly work with them to improve upon that plan.
Gesario - Direct
53
1 The engineering review letter, the
2 11/14/19, again, we really have no issues with the
3 technical comments that were presented.
```

4 A couple of things. There was a

5 question about sidewalks along the frontage. We'll

- 6 work -- you know, if the county says they have no 7 issues with sidewalks along -- in the right-of-way, 8 I think we'll certainly add them. That was item --9 Site Consideration Item 3, I believe. 10 Same for adding curbing where none 11 exists. Again, we'll follow what the county 12 requires. If they want curbing, we'll certainly add 13 curbing. That was Item 4. 14 The striping is a deference to the 15 board, whether they want line striping or hairpin 16 style. We're indifferent. Whatever the board 17 prefers we'll certainly include. 18 Let's see. Item 12 is the requirement 19 for a construction staging plan. Obviously we would 20 submit that. We'd ask that that be a condition of 21 final approval. 22 Stormwater management, there were some 23 technical comments that, again, we have no issue 25 And I believe that's it. So we feel we Gesario - Direct 54 1 can address all the technical comments in -- in the 2 review letters and work with the board's 3 professionals, predominantly with regard to the 4 landscaping. 5 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay. 6 Mr. Hehl? 7 BOARD ENGINEER: Mr. Vice-Chairman, I 8 just want to add, I think one thing that'd be good 9 to show the board -- have Gerry show the board would 10 be where the 150-foot riparian line is, where it 11 cuts across the play area and the parking area and 12 how you plan on addressing that with the DEP. I 13 think that's very important at this point. 14 THE WITNESS: Sure. 15 ATTORNEY HEHL: Thank you. 16 THE WITNESS: I'll go back to 17 Drawing 6-A, the mitigation plan that's in your site 18 package. I'll try and point to -- there's a line, 19 basically, that starts -- I'll say New Providence 20 Road about midway on the left. I don't know if you 21 can see the cursor. It runs up the corner of the 22 building, and then it's basically a triangle shape 23 that represents the 150-foot buffer. 24 There's various hatching patterns that 25 delineate the different types of disturbances. I'll Gesario - Direct
- 1 zoom in. We have a legend on that plan. So we have 2 disturbance for parking spaces, disturbance for 3 drive aisles, and then miscellaneous disturbance 4 which would be for our play area. And these 5 disturbances are quantified in our table here, added

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
6 up, and then there's various areas of mitigation,
7 which would be tree plantings, within the riparian
8 zone.
9 And this is very similar to what was
10 previously approved by the DEP when this was
11 approved as a car wash. The mitigation numbers, the
12 areas of disturbance are -- are very similar, and
13 the mitigation proposed -- the areas proposed are
14 very similar to what they previously approved.
15 You know, so obviously they have to
16 sign off on it. You know, that's a lengthy process
17 going to the DEP for the individual permit, and
18 that's something, you know, upon a favorable
19 approval from the board, would be, obviously, a
20 condition of approval.
21 As Mr. Herits said, without a DEP
22 approval, there really -- you know, there's no
23 project. We -- we understand we need that approval.
24 BOARD ENGINEER: So just so the
25 board's -- give them a little comfort level, it's
Gesario - Direct
56
1 your feeling that by these extra tree plantings
2 within the riparian buffer area, you'll be able to
3 construct the plan as presented?
4 THE WITNESS: It is. And you know,
5 obviously I can only go by our pre-application
6 meeting in 2019 and, you know, the plans that they
7 previously approved which were brought with us and
8 shown to them.
9 Obviously it's a different application.
10 It's going to stand on its own merits. They're
11 going to review it in total again. But, you know,
12 we feel what we're proposing is similar to what was
13 -- as far as mitigation, what they previously
14 approved. And the areas of disturbance for parking
15 and parking aisle fall within the maximums allowed
16 under the -- the regulations.
17 BOARD ENGINEER: Okay. I'm through,
18 Mr. --
19 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay. All
20 right.
21 BOARD ENGINEER: But I thought that
22 was important for the board to understand that.
23 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Mr. Hehl?
24 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yes.
25 BY ATTORNEY HEHL:
Gesario - Direct
57
1 Q. And Mr. Gesario, I just want to
2 briefly -- I think you -- you touched on it, but on
```

3 Mr. Healey's report, I think Mr. Jarmel is going to

```
4 address some of those, but the -- as will Ms. Dolan.
5 But on, I believe, Page 5, I think you indicated
6 that the -- the landscaping you would address, and
7 it's been noted in that report, that would be done
8 upon the DEP approval. And the --
9 A. As far as a final tree replacement
10 plan, yes. Other landscape items in the disturbed
11 areas along the frontage, you know, we can work with
12 them, you know, in advance to make any changes or
13 additions that -- that they deem necessary. We'll
14 work with them on those areas.
15 O. Great. I think Mr. Jarmel will touch
16 on some of the items, 9 and 10.
17 What about Number 11 on Page 5? I just
18 want to -- I think you've touched on the floodplain
19 and sewer connection?
20 A. Let's see. Where's that letter? I
21 misplaced the letter. Let's see.
22 Q. There's a lot of -- there's lot of
23 reports here so --
24 A. Yeah. I just -- I found the -- I
25 misplaced the latest planning letter. Let's see.
Gesario - Direct
1 Q. I can -- if you want, I can read it.
2 A. Yeah. Do you want to just read that
3 comment for me? That'd be great.
4 Q. Yeah. "The applicant should provide
5 testimony addressing the nature and status of
6 outside approvals, including, but not necessarily
7 limited, to those related to construction within the
8 floodplain and sewer connection."
10 Q. And there's a highlight updated status
11 that your letter indicates the applicant will
12 address via testimony.
13 A. Okay. As far as the outside agency
14 approvals, we have our LOI from DEP with regard to
15 wetlands. That's really the only outside agency
16 approval we have right now.
17 The other ones we obviously need are
18 the soil erosion, the individual permit for flood
19 hazard area and Somerset County. Somerset County,
20 we met with. DEP we only had the pre-app. Those
21 applications are, in my 32 years, almost always
22 submitted subsequent to a preliminary approval so
23 you know kind of what your plan is going to look
24 like before you submit to them so you don't have to
25 keep having to resubmit with new plans.
Gesario - Direct
59
```

```
1 So those three -- the soil erosion, the
2 DEP individual permit, Somerset County -- as well as
3 any off-site sewer work we're proposing, we ask that
4 those be conditions of approval should the board
5 grant the -- look favorably on this application.
6 O. Great.
7 And then Mr. Healey had a footnote on
8 the force main, and I assume that's something that
9 would also be addressed. I'll just -- it said,
10 "Including clarification/proof of authorization for
11 placement of the force main within Union Avenue
12 right-of-way or within the park."
13 A. Yeah. Again, we'll need approval from
14 the sewer authority for that.
15 Q. Definitely.
16 A. It was -- this is similar to, again,
17 what was approved under the car wash application.
18 This -- actually, the location, size and pretty much
19 everything is nearly identical to what was
20 previously approved, but we, obviously, we need to
21 go through the process again.
22 Q. Yeah. Okay. Great.
23 BOARD ENGINEER: Did you receive the
24 reviews from the police and the fire department? I
25 think you did.
Gesario - Direct
60
1 Can you go over them?
2 THE WITNESS: We did. And let's see.
3 I know -- I don't have the fire -- the
4 fire official approved our -- we prepared a
5 truck-turning exhibit, emergency access turning
6 exhibit. We presented that -- I believe we
7 presented at the last hearing. I know we submitted
8 the letter from the fire official that approved our
9 plan. I don't have that letter with me. I don't
10 know what -- the date of it, but I'm fairly certain
11 Mr. Hehl's office did submit that.
12 We do have a letter from the police
13 department; it's dated September 12th, 2019. And
14 they say -- and I'll just paraphrase. It's a
15 one-paragraph, I guess I'll read it. It says, "I
16 have reviewed the documents submitted to me
17 regarding The Learning Experience's application, and
18 I do not see any reason why the application should
19 be denied or revised. I found the traffic study to
20 be reasonable, and I believe it to be an accurate
21 representation of the traffic conditions that
22 already exist in that area and what they will be
23 once the day care center is operational." And
24 that's signed by Chief Joseph R. Cina, C-I-N-A.
25 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yeah. And with
Gesario - Direct
```

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
1 respect to fire, we did get a letter of
2 September 9th, 2019. I think the interior was
3 acceptable, and they requested a drawing, and I know
4 that -- I'll -- I'll look for that, for that letter,
5 but I know we did receive a further response from
6 the fire department accepting that, and I know it
7 was submitted.
8 BOARD ENGINEER: Okay. Thank you.
9 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Any questions
10 of -- from board members of Mr. Gesario on his
11 testimony?
12 COUNCILMAN MARTINO: Chairman Speeney,
13 this is Councilman Martino.
14 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Go ahead.
15 COUNCILMAN MARTINO: I have a quick
16 question. Going back to the fire department's
17 approval letter, was there anything listed in there
18 for a fire hydrant?
19 THE WITNESS: No. Their -- their --
20 their initial letter to us dated, Mr. Hehl said,
21 September 9th, 2019, only requested a -- an exhibit
22 showing that their -- their ladder truck, which was
23 48 feet long by 8 foot wide, could access the site.
24 It says the interior layout of the building is
25 acceptable to the fire department. Now, that --
Gesario - Direct
1 COUNCILMAN MARTINO: Okay. Because I
2 -- I have a concern. I walked the site a couple of
3 weeks ago. And, unless I'm missing something, there
4 is no fire hydrant in that area. The only fire
5 hydrant is at the entrance to the quarry which is a
6 considerable distance going north on New Providence
7 Road. So I -- I'm a little -- I have a little bit
8 of a concern with that. Would you -- I would
9 definitely have to see a fire hydrant for that
10 location just -- just in the matter of public
11 safety.
12 Any issues with that?
13 ATTORNEY HEHL: No, none at all. None
14 at all.
15 By the way, I did find the letter from
16 the fire department. It's dated December 27th,
17 2019, directed to Mr. Gesario, and it's short. So
18 Mr. Chairman, if I can read that. It says, "The
19 Watchung Fire Department has reviewed Drawing
20 EX-002, which was submitted per my request. The
21 exhibit demonstrates the ability for aerial
22 apparatus to enter the parking lot and access the
23 building. This satisfies my concerns regarding
24 apparatus accessibility."
25 COUNCILMAN MARTINO: All right. The
Gesario - Direct
1 only thing I will -- I don't know if this helps out
```

2 Mr. Gesario or not. It would probably be on the 3 northern driveway opening, the -- the one that's

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
4 basically just a right-hand turn towards New
5 Providence Road. I would suggest that if you are
6 going to put a hydrant in, it'd be next to the last
7 parking space closest to the building. Okay? And
8 that would probably keep it out of the collapse zone
9 of the building and give the apparatus a safe
10 position to access water for that site.
11 THE WITNESS: Okay. Understood.
12 ATTORNEY HEHL: Thank you.
13 COUNCILMAN MARTINO: I appreciate
15 And just -- not to jump ahead, but this
16 building is going to be suppressed, correct, with a
17 sprinkler system?
18 THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm not --
19 COUNCILMAN MARTINO: Okay.
20 THE WITNESS: Mr. Jarmel can answer
21 that, but I'm certain that it has a sprinkler
22 system.
23 COUNCILMAN MARTINO: All right. I
24 don't want to get too far ahead, but thank you for
25 that.
Gesario - Direct
64
1 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Anyone else?
2 BOARD PLANNER: Mr. Chairman, if I
3 could -- if I could ask one guestion --
4 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay.
5 BOARD PLANNER: -- just to clarify
6 something. I normally don't comment on the
7 alignment of sewer force mains, but the reason I
8 brought it up back in 2019 was it wasn't clear,
9 based on the way the plans were drawn back then,
10 whether it was going to be entirely within the road
11 right-of-way or if it was going to traverse the
12 park. I believe the plans have been revised to show
13 the delineation of the right-of-way, so it looks to
14 me like this force main's going to be entirely
15 within the street right-of-way.
16 So if I could just have Mr. Gesario
17 confirm that for the board.
18 THE WITNESS: Yes, that is correct.
19 And I would refer to Drawing 8 of 17; it's our
20 sanitary sewer plan and sanitary sewer profile.
21 This darker line on the bottom here shows the
22 intended route of the force main, and just below
23 that there is a dash line where we have the -- the
24 right-of-way survey and plotted.
25 So yes, the intent is to keep that
Gesario - Direct
1 force main completely within the public
2 right-of-way.
3 BOARD PLANNER: Okay. And that's
```

4 important because, again, having utility in the

#### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
5 right-of-way is one thing. Having utility traverse
6 a public park would probably be extremely unlikely.
7 So thank you for clarifying that.
8 THE WITNESS: No problem.
9 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Mr. Butler, do
10 you have any questions?
11 ATTORNEY BUTLER: I'd like the
12 engineer to -- first of all, I'd like to have marked
13 as an exhibit and mark OW-1, Objector Weldon 1 --
14 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Wait a minute.
15 Mr. -- Mr. Butler.
16 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Yes.
17 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Do you have
18 any questions at this point for the testimony that's
19 been given by Mr. Gesario?
20 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Yes, I have a
21 question regarding page 6-A.
22 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Well, wait. I
23 -- I would hold off on this until you're ready to
24 make your presentation, unless you have a specific
25 question that you can ask Mr. Gesario.
66
1 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Well -- well, what I
2 wanted to ask him first about question 6-A was that
3 in 6-A he -- he drew wetlands lines, wetlands
4 buffers, flood hazard areas. He drew a riparian
5 zone, which is a buffer for the Green Brook. He
6 drew a riparian zone for the tributary to the Green
7 Brook. And as a layman it was hard for me to find
8 out where these lines began and where they wound up.
9 And I had Al Laplokis (phonetic), one of my traffic
10 engineers, draw in in color where these lines went,
11 and what they covered, and what parking they went
12 through, what part of the parking lot it went
13 through.
14 And I would like the engineer to, first
15 of all, confirm that we drew in the lines correct as
16 he drafted them on page 6-A. And then I'd like to
17 go over with him --
18 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Hold it,
19 Mr. Butler. Mr. Butler.
20 ATTORNEY BUTLER: -- the parking.
21 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Mr. Butler.
22 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Yes.
23 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: You can -- you
24 can enter this drawing into this application when
25 you get your opportunity to present your objector's
Gesario - Cross
1 position.
2 I asked if you have any specific
3 questions on the testimony that was given, and I'm
4 not looking for you to submit your -- your position
```

5 at this point.

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
6 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Okay. This -- this
7 document is for cross-examination. Okay. I mean, I
8 can -- I can do it that way. I can -- I can get my
9 engineer to testify as to -
10 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Do that.
11 ATTORNEY BUTLER: -- how these lines
12 affect it.
13 The -- the -- I do have a couple of
14 questions regarding his testimony tonight. And that
15 was like -- he -- he referred to the -- and I asked
16 him this question. The car wash and lube center was
17 approved twice in 2'08 and 2'09.
18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
19 BY ATTORNEY BUTLER:
20 Q. Mr. Gesario, are you with me?
21 A. I'm here.
22 O. Weren't the rules different in 2'08 and
23 2'09 than they are today?
24 A. There have been some slight changes
25 than 2'08 and 2 -- from the rules in 2'08 and 2'09.
Gesario - Cross
68
1 Q. Wait a minute. In 2'08 and 2'09, did
2 we even have riparian rights associated with the
3 Green Brook and the Green Brook tributary?
4 A. Yes. There were -- they're not
5 riparian rights; the riparian zone.
6 Q. Yes.
7 A. And yes, they were part of the
8 regulations at that time.
9 Q. And were those regulations the same as
10 they exist today?
11 A. The 150-foot buffer that applies to
12 the Green Brook is the same.
13 Q. Well, when you -- when you first
14 admitted your plans when you testified in December
15 of 2019, you didn't show a riparian buffer
16 associated with the unnamed tributary to the Green
17 Brook, did you?
18 A. That's correct.
19 Q. Why not?
20 A. Well, at the time -- as you can see,
21 it's labeled as a ditch. So our position at that
22 time was that particular ditch did not require it.
23 During our process of going to the DEP
24 for the wetlands approval, they considered that
25 waterway in need of a 50-foot buffer, which they
Gesario - Cross
69
1 didn't in 2008 and 2009.
2 So when they looked at that and said
```

3 that needed the buffer, we decided, let's be safe

```
4 and show a 150-foot riparian zone from that same
5 waterway on our plans.
6 Q. And also, on 6-A, you want to interfere
7 with the riparian buffer. The buffer is a -- just
8 what it says. It's a buffer. It's a riparian
9 buffer, and you can't disturb it. And --
10 A. That's not correct.
11 Q. And you're looking for mitigation from
12 the DEP regarding these riparian buffer areas,
13 right?
14 A. That's incorrect what you said that
15 you cannot disturb riparian buffer zones. You are
16 allowed certain percentages of disturbance in zones.
17 There's a table in the regulations that guides you
18 to the maximum allowable disturbance for -- whether
19 it's a parking area, a parking access aisle, or
20 otherwise. So that part of your statement is
21 incorrect.
22 What is correct is, yes, we are looking
23 for approval for disturbance and proposed mitigation
24 for that disturbance from the DEP.
25 Q. And you never divulged to the board in
Gesario - Cross
1 your application and your testimony tonight what
2 this mitigation is.
3 A. Yes, I did. I stated we're -- we show
4 proposed mitigation within the buffer area. The
5 details will be worked out when we submit for
6 individual permit to the DEP.
7 Q. But right now we don't know -- this
8 planning board, we don't know what the mitigation
9 consists of, do we?
10 A. No, we don't.
11 Q. No, we don't.
12 Okay. Now -- now, of course, if you
13 don't get the mitigation that you're going to apply
14 for, this -- this plan that you show on 6-A and your
15 site plan on page 5, it'll be eliminated basically.
16 It'll be a completely different plan; is that
17 correct?
18 A. As I said, if the DEP doesn't approve
19 our application, this plan doesn't go forward.
20 That's --
21 Q. Okay. Okay. So let me ask you this
22 question: In all due sense, why didn't you go to
23 the DEP first and get the approvals for the
24 mitigation before you came before this board?
25 ATTORNEY HEHL: I think he --
Gesario - Cross
71
```

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
1 THE WITNESS: Well --
2 ATTORNEY HEHL: -- he answered that
3 already --
4 THE WITNESS: And I'll answer it again
5 for the record.
6 It's, in my 32 years, we've always
7 waited for a board to render a decision on an
8 application. Getting an individual permit from the
9 DEP is not only costly, it is time consuming. If we
10 went to them for an approval and got it, and then
11 the plan changed because the board had comments.
12 then we'd have to go back to the DEP for an amended
13 approval.
14 So a DEP approval is, in my experience,
15 always been conditioned -- a condition of a
16 preliminary approval from a planning or zoning
17 board.
18 BY ATTORNEY BUTLER:
19 Q. Well, it's not your testimony that you
20 can't go to the DEP first, is it?
21 A. That we can't? No.
22 Q. That you cannot?
23 A. It's just not typical, and it's not --
24 it's just not something that's done on almost any
25 application.
Gesario - Cross
1 Q. Right now --
2 A. You get your planning or zoning board
3 approval first.
4 Q. Okay. Let -- let -- let me ask you
5 this. Do you agree with me -- you've said that if
6 you don't get the mitigation, then this plan
7 evaporates. It's --
8 A. The mitigation's part of an overall
9 approval. If we don't get DEP approval -- I mean,
10 it -- it could be altered slightly from this and get
11 an approval. I mean, they -- so I'm not sure -- you
12 know, they might not take our mitigation exactly as
13 we have it and suggest something different. So I
14 won't say approve it exactly as we have it, but if
15 they deny our permit for whatever reason, obviously
16 we're back to the drawing board.
17 Q. All right. Sir, you mentioned that you
18 had a couple of meetings with the DEP --
19 A. One meeting.
20 Q. -- regarding this application and --
21 and you -- you determined these meetings favorable
22 to the applicant; is that true?
23 A. No. We met -- we had one meeting with
24 the DEP, and the initial meeting was not favorable,
```

25 and that's what prompted the first set of revisions

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
Gesario - Cross
73
1 to our plans. We got an understanding of what they
2 were looking for for this particular application,
3 and we feel we've revised the plans based on our
4 discussion in that meeting to get to a -- we'll call
5 it a comfort level that we have a plan that can be
6 approved by them with maybe slight modifications.
7 Q. Do you have any memorandum, letters, or
8 documents, emails from the DEP giving you that
9 comfort level you just testified to that you could
10 produce and give to the board?
11 A. There are no letters with the
12 pre-application meeting, only our submission for a
13 request of the meeting and my meeting notes from the
14 meeting. They don't issue a formal letter with
15 regard to pre-application meetings.
16 There's, obviously anything you say in
17 there, there's nothing binding. They're taking a
18 preliminary look at an application, pointing you to
19 the regulations that apply, giving you their
20 comments if they see something that's -- clearly
21 they won't approve, which they did in our case.
22 There's no formal letters that they
23 issue as a result of the pre-application meetings.
24 Q. Okay.
25 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Mr. Butler.
Gesario - Cross
1 Mr. Butler, at this point, the subject that we're
2 discussing here is being requested by the applicant
3 as a condition of approval.
4 So -- so is -- is there anything else
5 that we can gain from this continued discussion.
6 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Well, I -- I think
7 there's other questions that I have of him.
8 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Well --
9 ATTORNEY BUTLER: He -- he didn't
10 testify to it on direct, but his plan shows an
11 elevation of Union Avenue. I'd like to talk to him
12 about it. It's part of the site plan which he
13 submitted.
14 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: What you can
15 do is when you make your presentation, that is an
16 issue that you can raise and bring up.
17 ATTORNEY BUTLER: All right. Don,
18 I'll do it that way. I mean, I --
19 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: All right.
20 Let's do it that way.
21 ATTORNEY BUTLER: -- can do it that
22 way or the other.
23 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay.
```

24 ATTORNEY BUTLER: All right. Just

25 bear with me one second.

### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
Gesario - Cross
75
1 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: One second.
2 All right. You lost it.
3 ATTORNEY BUTLER: That's like the
4 lawyer that said he'll take three questions, and the
5 guy said, "Really? Three guestions?" He says,
6 "Yeah. Now you got two more."
7 Okay. Bear with me a little while,
8 please. I'm going to ask more guestions, and I
9 think I'm entitled to ask more, but I'll do it your
10 way, Mr. Speeney.
11 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Please.
12 You're going to make a presentation for your -- your
13 case. A lot of this is going to have to come back
14 as repetitive, and I want to move on relative to --
15 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Can I just ask one
16 final question to this witness?
17 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Well, you just
18 did.
19 ATTORNEY BUTLER: You're getting like
20 a lawyer joke.
21 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Go ahead.
22 ATTORNEY BUTLER: I'd like to ask him
23 -- because a great deal of this problem is in the
24 flood hazard area. I'd like to talk to him a little
25 bit about our flood damage prevention ordinance.
Gesario - Cross
1 May I do that?
2 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: No.
3 ATTORNEY BUTLER: No?
4 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: That's not
5 testimony that's been given, and I would suggest
6 that you bring it up in your presentation.
7 ATTORNEY BUTLER: I will. Okay.
8 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay. Now --
9 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Okay, Mr. Speeney.
10 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: I want to open
11 -- I want to open up to members of the public.
12 BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Speeney?
13 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Yeah.
14 BOARD SECRETARY: Mr. Speeney --
15 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Theresa.
16 BOARD SECRETARY: -- can Mr. Butler --
17 can Mr. Butler please turn off his "screen share"
18 button?
19 ATTORNEY BUTLER: His what?
20 BOARD SECRETARY: The screen share
21 button. On the bottom of your screen, there's four
22 circles, and one says, "Screen." Can you click that
23 off, please.
24 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: There you go.
```

25 BOARD SECRETARY: Thank you.

```
Jarmel - Direct
1 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Good. Thank
2 you very much.
3 Members of the public, anybody from the
4 public want to ask questions of the testimony given
5 by Mr. Gesario?
6 Hearing none, I'll move to
7 Mr. Hehl's next --
8 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yes.
9 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: -- expert.
10 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yes. Thank you. I'd
11 like to again recall Mr. Matthew Jarmel.
12 Thank you, Mr. Gesario.
13 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
14 MR. JARMEL: Good evening.
16 MATTHEWJARMEL, AIA,
17 continues testifying as follows:
18 DIRECTEXAMINATION
19 BY ATTORNEY HEHL:
20 Q. Okay. So Mr. Jarmel, you gave a great
21 recap of your prior testimony, but if you could just
22 briefly expand on the -- and very briefly -- the
23 operational. And then if we can address some of the
24 comments from either operational or architecture
25 that are raised in the reports that the board
Jarmel - Direct
1 professionals touched on this evening.
2 A. I would be pleased to do that. I
3 think I can answer some of those questions as I --
4 as I walk through the -- through the operations.
5 As I believe the board is aware, this
6 project will be -- if it's approved and built, will
7 be operated by The Learning Experience. Learning
8 Experience is a premier brand of childcare centers.
9 They operate about a little less than 300 childcare
10 centers throughout the country and they actually
11 just started to expand pre-COVID in the United -- in
12 the United Kingdom. They have many centers -- about
13 70 in New Jersey, including some in close proximity
14 to the Watchung site, which include Union,
15 Livingston, Parsippany, Denville. There's centers
16 in Bloomfield, Paramus, Tenafly, Cedar Grove,
17 Roseland. And there are several -- there's a brand
18 new center that's under construction in South
19 Orange. One in Wayne as well. Just to have some --
20 a sense of proximity.
21 This particular center, if approved and
22 opened, as I stated earlier, would be for children 6
23 weeks of age to 6 years of age. It will be a
24 license capacity, again, of 154 children. It's
25 licensed by the Department of Children and Families.
```

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

Jarmel - Direct 1 The way childcare centers get licensed 2 in New Jersey is they have to have approval from the 3 DEP in terms of what they call a preliminary 4 assessment where there's soil testing and vapor 5 mitigation testing before they can be licensed. 6 They also need to have a certificate of occupancy 7 from the municipal construction code official. And 8 then, once the building is built and has a C.O., 9 licensing comes and inspects it. And I believe that 10 the license in New Jersey is every two years they 11 get renewed. 12 This facility would operate Monday 13 through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. 14 The building -- and just to give you a 15 guick understanding of operations, the children --16 because of the age of the children, parents are 17 required to park and walk their children into the 18 center. I think Mr. Gesario showed you how we have 19 parking spaces directly in front of the front door 20 of the building. Typically a parent will park, walk 21 their child in. There's a vestibule. The front 22 door to the vestibule is unlocked during hours of 23 operation, and then the inner door has a key fob 24 that's -- only a parent or a caregiver of a child 25 can get into the facility. And then there's a touch Jarmel - Direct 1 screen at every reception desk where each individual 2 child has a pin, and they're logged in to the 3 facility. 4 Typically the drop-off/pickup takes 5 place from about 6:30 in the morning to 9:00 or 6 9:30. Unlike a public school or some private 7 schools where -- where you would say K through 12, 8 they don't have a set time where they open and all 9 the children show up at the same time. It's really 10 scattered significantly over about a three-hour 11 period in the mornings and afternoons. 12 Some interesting facts that we've 13 learned with The Learning Experience operating close 14 to 300 centers -- which, by the way, approximates --15 we'll say with -- when the centers are full, and 16 obviously the world we're living in is a little 17 different right now with COVID and some additional 18 restrictions on childcare, but they're taking care 19 of about 30,000 children a day throughout the 20 country. 21 To give you an idea of their ability to 22 study statistics, they find that on any given day, 23 10 percent of the children are out sick. And on any

24 given day, or most centers, about 25 percent of the 25 sibling -- I'm sorry, 25 percent of the children are

### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

Jarmel - Direct 81 1 siblings; they come in the same car. And I think 2 our traffic engineer will talk a little bit about 3 that and that the ITE data assumes that those 4 occurrences don't happen. 5 I previously noted the building's two 6 stories. It's 10,792 square feet or 5,396 square 7 feet per floor. To support the 154 children, again, 8 I had testified earlier that there'd be 20 9 caregivers and 2 administrative staff, for a total 10 occupancy of 176. 11 There'll be an outdoor playground, 12 which is suggested in the regulations. This 13 particular playground is 3,810 square feet. That 14 actually would allow for about 108 children on the 15 playground. However, they never do that; they will 16 have a maximum of two classes out there at any given 17 time, weather permitting, and that would be about 40 18 children. Playground's designed into -- separated 19 into two sections for age appropriateness. And it 20 has a 6-foot solid fence around it. The fence is 21 designed to be solid so the children don't look out 22 and are distracted. And nobody can look in and see 23 them. Tall enough so, God forbid, no one that would 24 try and pull a child over the fence can do that. 25 Playground has playground surfacing material, most Jarmel - Direct 82 1 of which is pervious and allows water to flow 2 through it. 3 The building itself -- and I understand 4 earlier there was some questions, I believe, from a 5 firefighter or a captain on your fire department. 6 The building as designed is what's called an 7 institutional use group and an educational use group 8 under the building code. "Institutional" meaning 9 that the children in it are -- either do not have 10 the physical or cognitive ability, or both, to exit 11 the building without help. So as a result of that, 12 we have higher life safety designed into the 13 building. There'll be no children older -- or I'm 14 sorry -- younger than the age of 2 1/2 on the second 15 floor. So any children less than 2 1/2 that might 16 need to be carried out of the building or pushed out 17 in a crib will be on the first floor of the 18 building. 19 Every room that has more than ten 20 occupants will have two means of egress. All other 21 uses in the building code don't require a second 22 means of egress until you have 50 -- 50 occupants in 23 a room. And the building is required to have a full 24 sprinkler system as well. So it will be

25 sprinklered.

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

Jarmel - Direct 1 I believe there was a question about 2 the -- oh, I'm sorry. Also, they'll -- they'll have 3 regular fire drills at least once a month. And 4 they'll coordinate those fire drills with your 5 police and fire departments as well. They'll 6 discuss with them, if the building has to be 7 evacuated, where the children can gather on-site. 8 And then in the situation where a building may need 9 to be evacuated can't be reentered -- as an example, 10 there was a center that I designed a few years ago. 11 There was a gas leak in front of the building, not 12 at the building. The operation license will require 13 them to have a provision to take the children 14 somewhere else. You know, a license with a bus -- a 15 contract with a bussing company to do that. 16 Obviously if you have to call parents at, say, 17 1:00 in the afternoon and if they're at work, you 18 have to have an ability for them to get there to 19 pick up their children. 20 There was a question about deliveries. 21 Deliveries are really very infrequent. There is --22 once the building is open and all the furniture's 23 been delivered, there's really no need for trucks. 24 There will be a pantry in the building and a food 25 program. Food's delivered based on need, but it's Jarmel - Direct 1 no more than two times a week, and it usually comes 2 in what I'll call a short van or a UPS-/FedEx-sized 3 truck would come. 4 The interesting thing about these 5 centers is that although you need staff parking 6 throughout the day -- and there'll be a lot of 7 testimony on parking -- but you usually only need 8 about six or eight parking spaces for parents during 9 the drop-off and pickup. And the parking lot sits 10 mostly empty, except for staff, throughout the day. 11 So there's ample room for -- to have a truck -- or a 12 small truck make a delivery midday when there's not 13 a lot of activity in the parking lot. 14 I'd like to kind of switch and share my 15 screen, if I can. Let's see. 16 This is a rendering that we prepared of 17 the building. Previously this was marked as 18 Exhibit A-3, so it's been -- it's been shown 19 previously on the record. And this is our proposed 20 building. And what you'll see is we have a variety 21 of interesting materials. The entire base of the 22 building will have a brick -- brick to just under

23 the window sills or water table. The corners of the

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

24 building will also have brick. We've -- we have 25 what we'll call an AZEK moulding that trans Jarmel - Direct 85 1 horizontally across the entire building that kind of 2 defines the difference between the first and second 3 floor. 4 We use as infill material in between 5 the brick, a stucco material -- a synthetic stucco 6 material. We use different colors to kind of accent 7 some of the architectural features in the building. 8 The building has what I'll call gable elements, and 9 we have what's called a -- what I'll call a sloping 10 mansard roof. So the build -- the roof of the 11 building is flat behind this slope, but the slope 12 allows us to create a well behind it. It's 13 approximately 6 feet high, and we screen all our 14 mechanical equipment in that well. So you will not 15 be able to see any mechanical equipment from the 16 ground. 17 At the entry to the building, we have a 18 portico. The portico also has a gable roof. It 19 includes The Learning Experience signage, which 20 complies with your ordinance. And then there are 21 columns that support the portico that also have 22 graphic symbols that are designed to look like 23 children's play blocks -- 1, 2, 3, 4, A, B, C and D. 24 I think one of the questions from your 25 professionals is, "How does this building fit in Jarmel - Direct 86 1 with the character of the neighborhood?" And I 2 would like to, you know, basically say -- let's see 3 if I can figure out how to stop sharing my screen. 4 Sorry. Let's see. No. 5 Can someone advise me how I do that? 6 I'm sorry. 7 ATTORNEY HEHL: Find the youngest 8 person around. 9 THE WITNESS: Let's see. "Options." 10 BOARD SECRETARY: You just have to 11 choose your new file. You're already sharing your 12 screen. Yeah, there you go. Just choose what you 13 want to show. 14 THE WITNESS: So here is -- I just 15 called up -- and I don't know how to call this, if 16 this is an exhibit or if it isn't an exhibit. This 17 is Google Maps. I typed in the address. I don't --18 it's not an exhibit. It's just a screen share.

19 You can see Union Ave, New Providence

20 Road. This undeveloped corner right here is our

```
21 proposed site, and you see there's not really any
22 buildings around us. You know, across New
23 Providence Road is the guarry that we've been
24 talking about. Across Union Avenue is an athletic
25 field. There are apartments behind us. I'm going
Jarmel - Direct
87
1 to switch to the 3D view, which you can see are kind
2 of just your standard, run-of-the-mill apartments
3 with regular siding and sloped roofs, not unlike
4 what we're doing. And then you have several
5 buildings down on Route 22 that are all commercial
6 in nature.
7 So I would -- I would venture to say
8 that our building is very attractive, at least in my
9 subjective opinion as the architect. It uses
10 high-quality materials, and it's really kind of
11 isolated because there are no -- a lot of buildings
12 around it. But it fits in and sort of has what I'll
13 call a mixed commercial/residential character.
14 Now, I know there was also a question
15 about building heights. So I'm going to go to what
16 is known as Drawing SA-2.2. This was also
17 previously shown, and it wasn't marked as an exhibit
18 because it was part of the site plan submission.
19 And hopefully I can do the same this evening.
20 And this is drawings that represent all
21 four elevations of the building, wherein the
22 rendering is only showing you two. What may or may
23 not be apparent is we propose for all four sides of
24 the building to have similar materials. We're not,
25 you know, cheapening up the materials on any side of
Jarmel - Direct
88
1 the building whatsoever.
2 I'm going to zoom in on what I'll call
3 the south elevation, which is our main entry. And
4 there was -- there was a question about building
5 height. So your ordinance allows a maximum height
6 of two and a half stories and 35 feet in this zone.
7 The way that's measured, it's the vertical distance
8 measured from the lowest exterior finished grade
9 elevation adjacent to the building to the mean level
10 between the eaves and the highest point of the roof
11 in the case of pitched roofs.
12 So our finished floor in this building
13 has an elevation of 166.65. We call it "zero-zero"
14 on the architectural plans. And I would state that
15 that is what we would call the mean level of -- I'm
16 sorry, the lowest finished grade around the
17 building. Because you'll notice in the site plan
18 that we have walkways all the way around the
```

### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

19 building that lead children to the playground and 20 make the building handicapped accessible. So for --21 the grade doesn't really start to slope away from 22 the building until you're 6 feet away from the 23 building on all sides. 24 So from measuring from there to the 25 mean height of the roof, which I'm pointing at right Jarmel - Direct 1 here because it's a sloping roof, you'll end up with 2 a height of 29 feet, 4 inches, which is 3 significantly about -- a little less than 6 feet 4 below your allowed height. You have a maximum 5 height of 35 feet. And, just by comparison, our 6 maximum height from the finished floor that -- or 7 that lowest grade to what I'll call the top of the 8 sloping mansard meets 35 feet. So either way you 9 look at it, if you were to argue that this wasn't a 10 flat roof, it -- it still -- it still meets the 11 criteria. 12 That essentially completes my 13 testimony. And I'd -- I'd be very pleased to answer 14 any questions the board or the public have of me 15 this evening. 16 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: All right. 17 Very good. 18 Board members, questions? 19 Any experts have any questions? 20 BOARD TRAFFIC ENGINEER: 21 Mr. Vice-Chair, I do. This is Joe Fishinger. 22 Now, Matt, real quick, there was, on my 23 letter and a few others, questions about special 24 events -- graduations, Halloween parties -- is any 25 of that going to occur at this site, and how will Jarmel - Direct 90 1 you -- and how will that operate if it isn't going 2 to occur? 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. I -- I meant 4 to address that for you. I apologize for not 5 going -- so The Learning Experience has a policy 6 that they don't do group events or back-to-school 7 nights and things. When they -- they do have events 8 like that, but when they do them they typically will 9 lease space off-site, like at a local hotel, to do 10 that. And keep in mind, the kids are of varying 11 ages, so there's never, like, really a graduation 12 until you kind of leave the -- leave kindergarten. 13 They would -- they would -- and if the 14 board felt it necessary, they could -- you could put 15 that in as a condition of approval. 16 There was also, now that I recall, if I 17 can continue speaking. There was a question about

18 maintenance, I think, and landscaping. So my

### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
19 client, who's the developer of this project -- even
20 though I do many designs for The Learning
21 Experience, I work directly for the developer in
22 this case -- has a, what's called, a triple net
23 lease with the tenant. And what that means is that
24 the tenant will be 100 percent responsible for
25 maintaining the building. That -- that includes
Jarmel - Direct
1 things like trash removal. That includes
2 landscaping, mowing the lawn, snowplowing and so on.
3 And The Learning Experience has provisions, and
4 usually the individual operator will take care of
5 that on a regular basis.
6 We find that trash will be privately
7 hauled based on need. Initially it'll probably be
8 once a week and, you know, probably maximum two to
9 three times a week. They coordinate the hours.
10 Again, we're not really in a residential area, but
11 they -- they tend to do that, pickup either midday
12 when there's not a lot of activity going on with
13 parent drop-off or pickup, or they do it before or
14 after hours.
15 There was a -- there was a question, I
16 think, from a member of your environmental
17 commission about what types of fertilizers and
18 things would be used. I don't have an answer for
19 that, but I could say that if the environmental
20 commission made recommendations to us as to what
21 they thought were environmentally safe and
22 appropriate for the area, we would certainly
23 consider our tenant would -- sharing that with our
24 tenant so that they could use that.
25 MEMBER PENNETT: Okay. What -- this
Jarmel - Direct
92
1 is Karen. I will submit something. There's more or
2 less items that we do not want you to use. One of
3 the big items is we don't want you to use the
4 Roundup. It's very effective, but it's very
5 hazardous to the environment and can also be
6 hazardous to people and especially young children.
7 So we will probably more or less give
8 you things not to use rather than, you know, what to
9 use, but we'll give you something in the next couple
10 weeks.
11 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah. I think
12 that would -- and we would be -- certainly be
13 pleased to share that with our operator.
14 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Any further
15 questions? Joe Fishinger. Go ahead, Joe Fishinger.
16 BOARD TRAFFIC ENGINEER: I'm sorry.
```

17 One more question, Matt. Is all -- are

```
18 all of the parents -- are all the kids there dropped
19 off and picked up by parents? Does the school -- or
20 does the facility have a plan on having any
21 arrangements with the school to provide aftercare or
22 something along those lines, or is this solely
23 parents or caregivers pickup and drop-off?
24 THE WITNESS: That's a very good
25 question. The goal of the facility is to be solely
Jarmel - Direct
93
1 for childcare-aged children.
2 Now, with that said, if there's a
3 community need and they have room in the center,
4 they would do before and aftercare programs. They
5 do not own vans. The Learning Experience, they
6 don't operate vans. They don't transport children.
7 So for example, if there was a need for an aftercare
8 program and they had room for it, the parents would
9 have to arrange to get the child from the public
10 school or the private school to The Learning
11 Experience for aftercare.
12 There are some facilities that I'm
13 aware of that do that, and my understanding is that
14 if there's bussing that's done, it's usually done
15 with what they call the short bus, and the public
16 school system runs it. So what you might find is,
17 you know, two or three kids get dropped off at -- I
18 don't know -- 2:30, 3 o'clock in the afternoon, and
19 then the childcare center would -- would accept
20 them.
21 BOARD TRAFFIC ENGINEER: That's all I
22 have, Mr. --
23 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Steve.
24 Okay. Thanks, Joe.
25 Steve. Steve Pote?
Jarmel - Direct
94
1 MEMBER POTE: Thank you, Chairman.
2 A couple of questions. Again, I'm
3 still trying to reconcile the number of parking
4 spaces with drop-offs, et cetera.
5 Is it 32 or 31 spaces that we're
6 talking about now?
7 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. That's
8 really more of a civil engineering question, but I
9 believe we have --
10 MR, GESARIO: It's 31.
11 THE WITNESS: Gerry Gesario just
12 spoke, and 31.
13 MEMBER POTE: 31. Okay.
14 And again, you talked about drop-off
```

```
15 points being 6:30 in the morning to 9 or 9:30. I
16 didn't hear the pickup times. Again, if you could
17 just repeat those?
18 THE WITNESS: Sure. So the -- the
19 center has a combination of what I'll call half,
20 three-quarter and full-day programs, you know. And
21 basically it's designed to cater to working parents
22 and to their needs. So it wouldn't be unusual for
23 some students to kind of be picked up midday or
24 maybe as early as 4 o'clock. Our traffic engineers
25 could probably speak better than I can on this.
Jarmel - Direct
95
1 I'll answer your question, but I would defer their
2 testimony as more expert because they've actually
3 studied operating centers. But you'll find that the
4 pickups are from about 5:00 to 6:30 in the
5 afternoons, 4:30 to 6:30.
6 MEMBER POTE: Okay. And I know we'll
7 have more discussion on traffic, but what you had
8 said earlier was you've got 20 -- 20 supervisors or
9 teachers and 2 administrators. So that's 22, and
10 that -- again, that's largely 22 spaces that are
11 going to be --
12 THE WITNESS: So --
13 MEMBER POTE: -- required of those 31,
14 riaht?
15 THE WITNESS: So let -- correct. But
16 let me kind of just reinforce something.
17 So the center has a goal to get license
18 capacity for 100 -- 154 children. Okay? One thing
19 I didn't mention -- and I apologize for that --
20 there's a room in the center that we call
21 Make-Believe Boulevard, which is an indoor playroom.
22 That room can physically hold 21 children, but it's
23 actually a -- it's an indoor play space that's used
24 during inclement weather, cold weather. And you --
25 the analogy I always make, it's sort of like a
Jarmel - Direct
96
1 conference room in an office. It holds people, but
2 the people are already in the office.
3 So arguably, the actual amount of
4 children that would be coming to the center is
5 probably more like in the 135 range. So when we put
6 together a licensing chart for the state -- and it's
7 attached to the drawings that were submitted -- we
8 show the maximum capacity of the building and the
9 maximum amount of caregivers that would be needed.
10 So if this building was 100 percent full, you would
11 have 154 children and 20 caregivers, plus -- plus or
12 minus, you know, two staff. So worst-case scenario.
```

### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

13 But the reality of it is, it's probably about 80,

```
14 85 percent of that.
15 MEMBER POTE: Okay. So that 80, 85
16 percent opens up other spaces at other times.
17 THE WITNESS: Correct.
18 MEMBER POTE: I just had trouble
19 understanding the six to eight spaces for parents
20 and reconciling that to different groups that
21 potentially could be there.
22 The other question I had was -- and
23 maybe this is for later, but the emergencies were
24 brought up earlier in the discussion. And can you
25 just show, or just tell me that it'll be done later,
Jarmel - Direct
97
1 where everybody would go during an emergency, say
2 the fire -- say there's a fire in the building. Can
3 somebody --
4 THE WITNESS: So we could. I mean,
5 usually that's decided not by me.
6 MEMBER POTE: Okay.
7 THE WITNESS: But it's usually an
8 operational decision that's -- that's coordinated
9 with a state licensing person and actually the local
10 fire department, in doing that. So what -- what
11 I'll tell you is usually what happens. And I'll
12 just mention, my office where I'm sitting in right
13 now in Livingston, New Jersey, is attached to a
14 Learning Experience. So this is a multi-tenanted
15 building, where obviously what we're proposing in
16 Watchung is a single-tenanted building. So they
17 have been a tenant of mine for 18 years in this
18 building. So speaking not just as an architect and
19 an expert, I have actual anecdotical experience
20 watching this facility operate for 18 years.
21 So what they typically do is they take
22 the kids as far away from the building as possible,
23 which -- which is usually the far side of the
24 parking lot.
25 MEMBER POTE: Okay. And that would be
Jarmel - Direct
1 potentially in the parking lot?
2 THE WITNESS: It would probably be,
3 like, on the edge of the parking lot, you know.
4 Keep in mind, this is a very large site. But
5 because of environmental restrictions, we're only
6 allowed to develop a small percentage of it. So
7 there's a lot of open area around this site where
8 they could gather.
9 MEMBER POTE: Okay. Thank you.
10 THE WITNESS: Yeah. And just, if I
11 could continue, Mr. Pote?
12 MEMBER POTE: Yes.
13 THE WITNESS: Once they make that
14 determination with the fire department when they do
15 their fire drills, that's where they'll take the
```

### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

16 children each drill. So they'll all have experience 17 going there. 18 MEMBER POTE: Okay. Thanks. 19 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Board members? 20 COUNCILMAN MARTINO: Yeah, Mr. Chair, 21 one question. Councilman Martino. 22 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Go ahead. 23 COUNCILMAN MARTINO: This may go back 24 to the engineer again. Sorry. 25 I don't see any sidewalks. Do you guys Jarmel - Direct 1 plan on putting any sidewalks in behind the curb? 2 THE WITNESS: I would defer that to 3 Mr. Gesario. Perhaps he could come back on. There 4 he is. 5 MR. GESARIO: Sure. I'm here. 6 Yeah. That was actually brought up in 7 one of the review letters. Let me get back on 9 And yeah, this is obviously -- Union 10 Avenue is obviously a county road, and we said we 11 would defer to the county. If the county had no 12 issue with us putting a sidewalk in their 13 right-of-way, we could show it along our -- our 14 frontage. 15 COUNCILMAN MARTINO: Because what I 16 will do -- going off of Mayor Pote's comment, coming 17 from a city fire department, a lot of times during 18 an evacuation, we prefer that the children leave the 19 site completely just because of the size of the 20 apparatus, and the sidewalk would be able to take 21 them further away. 22 Like I said, I'm not 100 percent sure. 23 I know we cross the border into Scotch Plains, so 24 I'm not sure if there's an area that they can go 25 beyond the facility itself, but sidewalks always Jarmel - Direct 100 1 help us out with situations like that. 2 Thank you. 3 MR. GESARIO: Okay. 4 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Next. Board 5 members. Still with board members. 6 I -- I have a question, Mr. Jarmel. 7 And I'd like to go back to the issue of the 8 neighborhood, the building fitting in the 9 neighborhood. And could you bring up that -- I 10 guess that was a Google site or whatever you brought 11 up. 12 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Is that 13 working? 14 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Yeah. Yeah. 15 That -- that's it. And what I remember when you

16 showed us this, you showed us the Route 22 17 buildings, and the apartments, and the woods,

18 et cetera.

### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
20 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: But you -- you
21 didn't focus on the other side of the street as to
22 whether it fits in or not. "The other side of the
23 street" being the other side of New Providence Road.
24 THE WITNESS: Right. So you know, on
25 the other side of the street, there really
Jarmel - Direct
1 are -- actually, I could go to a street view.
2 So this is the building that's directly
3 across the street from us, which I guess is a --
4 looks like it's a truck-weighing scale at the entry
5 to the guarry. And you don't see, although I know
6 there are buildings uphill, you don't see them from
7 here. So this building has sloping roof, brick
8 facade and some sort of residential-type sidings,
9 obviously significantly smaller. There are no other
10 buildings around. I'm kind of circulating around
11 the intersection.
12 So this is our site where it says "2.23
13 acres." I'm now looking -- I guess I'll call it
14 easterly -- northeasterly on New Providence Avenue.
15 There's only one building. If I look to the north,
16 there's nothing but driveway. And then when I look
17 to the west on New Providence Avenue, you can see
18 the light. Off in the distance, you can see it's a
19 document center.
20 So there are no other buildings. Our
21 building has elements of brick in it, which would be
22 a similar traditional brick color as this building
23 across the street. We have a sloping roof that
24 would also have probably a nicer shingle than this.
25 This is kind of like a --
Jarmel - Direct
102
1 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay.
2 THE WITNESS: It's like kind of a
3 run-of-the-mill residential shingle.
4 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: The -- I'm --
5 any other questions, board members? Then I'll go --
6 MEMBER PENNETT: Wait a minute. Can
7 you hear me, Don?
8 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: I heard --
9 MEMBER PENNETT: It's Karen.
10 When he was -- when he was showing the
11 screen, I saw a "no left turn" sign. Are you
12 allowed to make a left-hand turn from New Providence
13 to Union?
14 THE WITNESS: I think that's probably
15 a question for Mr. Gesario, if you could --
16 MEMBER PENNETT: When you were showing
17 us the side view, I saw a "no left turn" sign. I
18 wasn't sure whether that's for Union Avenue or not.
19 MR. GESARIO: Yeah. I -- this is
20 Gerry. I would defer that to the traffic testimony
```

21 when they go through everything.

```
22 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay, Karen,
23 to be decided.
24 MEMBER PENNETT: Okay. Yeah. I just
25 -- I saw it within the picture, so I was wondering
Jarmel - Cross
103
1 if that would cause a problem for parents entering.
2 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: All right. I
3 want to open up to Mr. Butler.
4 Questions, Mr. Butler?
5 ATTORNEY BUTLER: I only have a few,
6 Chairman Speeney.
7 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Only a few?
8 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Only a few. I want
9 to keep you happy.
10 The -- Mr. Jarmel testified in
11 December 17th, 1919 [sic], and much of his testimony
12 tonight -- well basically what he said on
13 December 17th, 1919. I asked him, first of all, in
14 his opinion if this use, as it's going to be
15 developed, is safe for these little infants. And I
16 think he said, "Yes."
17 CROSSEXAMINATION?
18 BY MR. BUTLER:
19 Q. Is that correct, Mr. Jarmel?
20 A. Well, I don't recall word-for-word my
21 testimony from last December. But if you're asking
22 the question again, I would say, yes --
23 Q. Okay.
24 A. -- it's very safe.
25 Q. And on December 17th, I asked you as
Jarmel - Cross
1 part of your due diligence whether you or anybody on
2 behalf of the application ever did any study to
3 determine flooding in the area, and you said, "No,"
4 and I want to know whether you've done any
5 investigation regarding flooding in the area since
6 December 9 -- 17th, 19 -- 2019.
7 MR. JARMEL: Okay. Mr. Butler, again,
8 I don't remember my exact testimony. But candidly,
9 I'm an architect. I'm not a civil engineer. So
10 discussions about flood studies would probably be
11 more appropriate for Mr. Gesario, my colleague. But
12 I'm sure he would testify that this is a -- you
13 know, he's testified pretty extensively about the
14 flood hazard, the riparian buffer, the need for
15 NJDEP approvals here, and I would defer, you know,
16 specific response to that to him, if I may.
17 Q. Well, you testified to business
18 operations, so I thought you would be in a position
19 to know whether the applicant has done any study
20 regarding flooding in the area.
21 THE WITNESS: When you say,
22 "Applicant," if you mean The Learning Experience as
23 a tenant, I can -- I can say --
```

### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

24 Q. I'm talking about the applicant that's 25 before the board tonight. Jarmel - Cross 105 1 A. Again, any -- any work that our civil 2 engineering department has done has been based on 3 surveys, data provided by the DEP. So it's not 4 specific, but that data has been available to us to 5 study it. 6 Q. And -- and have you determined that 7 there's no flooding in the area? 8 ATTORNEY HEHL: I think that's -- he's 9 already said he's the architect. If those 10 questions --11 MR. GESARIO: This is Gerry. You 12 know, it's not -- the applicant doesn't have to do 13 their own study. The state of New Jersey has done 14 the study for the Green Brook. The flood hazard 15 area is represented on the drawings based on the 16 state's study. 17 So we know we're in a -- partially 18 within a flood hazard zone, and we know we need to 19 meet the regulations of the DEP for developing this 20 site that's partially in a flood hazard zone. 21 So, you know, the answer is the 22 applicant doesn't do the flood study. The state's 23 already done the flood study, and those -- the 24 limits are represented on our plans. 25 ATTORNEY BUTLER: I respectfully Jarmel - Cross 106 1 disagree with you, but we're going to move on to 2 another one. 3 BY MR. BUTLER: 4 Q. Have you or the applicant, to your 5 knowledge, done any study to determine accidents on 6 the roadway in the area? 7 A. I -- I have not. I believe our 8 traffic -- that's a question that's probably better 9 posed to our traffic engineer. 10 Q. Okay. And do you know whether your 11 traffic engineer has performed any accident reports 12 or investigations? 13 ATTORNEY HEHL: That's going to be our 14 next witness --15 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Why not wait 16 for the traffic engineer to give testimony. 17 BY MR. BUTLER: 18 Q. Let me ask you this. You're an 19 architect; is that correct? 20 A. I am, sir, yes.

21 Q. I have an architectural plan in front

22 of me that has your firm's name on it. I assume it 23 was done either by you or somebody under your

### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

24 supervision; is that correct? 25 A. The architectural plans on this Jarmel - Cross 1 application were done by people under my 2 supervision. The civil engineering plans were done 3 by people under Gerry Gesario's supervision. 4 Q. I'm talking about the architectural 5 plans right now, sir, not the engineering plans. 6 A. Yes, they were done under my 7 supervision. 8 Q. And the license calculations plans that 9 appear in it, were they also done by you or somebody 10 under your supervision? 11 A. They were done by one of our 12 associates under my supervision. 13 Q. Okay. Could you tell me why you don't 14 take kids between 12 months and 18 months old? 15 A. I think that's a fair question. And 16 looking at the chart, I would say it's an error. We 17 do. We take -- we take -- the center is designed 18 for children from 6 weeks to 6 years. 19 If you look at the Infant B category 20 where it says, "Age group, 6 weeks to 12 months," 21 that should actually say, "6 weeks to 18 months." 22 That's an error on our part. 23 Q. Okay. All right. 24 So you do take kids 12 months to 25 18 months; is that correct? Jarmel - Cross 108 1 A. Yes, it is. 2 Q. And you don't show that on your chart, 3 do vou? 4 A. I just said that was an error on the 5 chart. 6 Q. And, therefore, you're going to have 7 more than 154 infants at -- at this site; are you 9 A. We will not because --10 ATTORNEY BUTLER: You will not? 11 THE WITNESS: We will not. 12 BY MR. BUTLER: 13 Q. All right. Would you please redo this 14 chart and show where -- what slot the 12 months to 15 the 18-months-old kids are going to be, how many you 16 think you're going to have, and how many classes 17 you're going to have? 18 A. Mr. Butler, I don't mean you any 19 disrespect, but you ask me the same questions in 20 different forms, you'll get the same answer.

21 They go into the room that's identified

22 as Infant B. The only mistake on that chart is on 23 the age group. Where it says, "6 weeks to

```
24 12 months," it should read, "6 weeks to 18 months."
25 Those same --
Jarmel - Cross
109
1 Q. Okay. But this would put in another
2 age group; would it not?
3 A. Sir, you're talking over me. I'm
4 sorry. Can I finish?
5 Q. Go ahead.
6 A. There's only eight children allowed in
7 that room. So that the overall license capacity of
8 the center will not increase based on the age -- on
9 that particular age group. We're not making the
10 building bigger. We're just allowing -- we're not
11 kicking a child out of the room when they turn to 13
12 months of age. They could stay.
13 Q. Are you willing to -- to -- now that
14 you found out the error that I pointed out to you,
15 are you going to redo the license calculations to
16 provide for 12 and 18 months old?
17 Because I think some group has to be
18 eliminated, either the 24 months, or the 30 months,
19 or the 3 years, or the 5 years if you're going to
20 stick to 154? I think the board should know exactly
21 what --
22 A. I --
23 Q. -- the categories.
24 A. Sir, again, I just testified that
25 license capacity of the building will not change,
Jarmel - Cross
110
1 just the age group of the children in that room.
2 There will be no change. The room --
3 Q. Well how many kids -- how many -- how
4 many kids are you going to have between 12 months
5 and 18 months?
6 A. I have no idea. The room will be
7 licensed for children between 6 and 18 months.
9 Q. But how can you --
10 A. -- you can't have more than 16
11 children in the building that are between the age of
12 6 weeks and 18 months because there are only two
13 rooms that are licensed for that age group.
14 Q. Well, now that you found out your
15 error, why don't you just change the licensing
16 calculations to make it correct?
17 A. I believe I said we would do that, but
18 I also --
19 Q. Oh, you would do that?
20 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Mr. Butler.
21 Mr. Butler, the witness said they're going to change
22 it. Please proceed if you have any new questions.
23 If not, I'll open it to public.
```

### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
24 ATTORNEY BUTLER: I didn't -- no, I
25 have no further questions. I didn't interpret his
Jarmel - Cross
111
1 response that he was going to redo it now that he
2 found out it was wrong.
3 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: You're going
4 to redo it?
5 ATTORNEY HEHL: It will be -- it will
6 be redone and --
7 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Right.
8 ATTORNEY HEHL: -- but the total
9 number doesn't change.
10 THE WITNESS: Correct.
11 ATTORNEY BUTLER: It won't be redone?
12 ATTORNEY HEHL: It will be redone.
13 ATTORNEY BUTLER: It will.
14 ATTORNEY HEHL: But the total number
15 doesn't change.
16 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Okay. Well, we'll
17 see what it looks like.
18 Thank you.
19 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay.
20 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Thank you,
21 Mr. Chairman.
22 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay. You're
23 welcome, Mr. Butler.
24 Members of the public?
25 Hearing none, I'll close that portion
112
1 of the hearing here.
2 MR. JARMEL: Thank you-all for your
3 time this evening.
4 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Did I miss
5 something?
6 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman.
7 I was just thanking you for your time this evening.
8 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Oh, okay.
9 Mr. Hehl, it's the witching hour. And
10 what I'd like for you to do is just do a short
11 wrap-up so we can then continue to the next hearing
12 next month.
13 ATTORNEY HEHL: Oh, so you don't want
14 us to start on the traffic expert this evening?
15 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: No.
16 ATTORNEY HEHL: I had -- I had to give
17 it a try.
18 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: All right.
19 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yeah. So --
20 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Is that what
21 you want to start next week -- next month, with
22 traffic?
```

23 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yes. Elizabeth Dolan

24 will be our next witness, followed by environmental

### TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

```
25 and then planning.
113
1 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay.
2 Traffic, environmental and planning; is that --
3 ATTORNEY HEHL: Correct.
4 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay. Thank
5 you. We'll try that -- we'll try that next month.
6 And if -- if you're in agreement, we'll continue
7 this application until November -- I'm sorry. Yeah,
8 it's already November. November 17th at 7:30 p.m.,
9 virtual.
10 ATTORNEY HEHL: Virtual. And --
11 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Are you in
12 agreement with that?
13 ATTORNEY HEHL: Yep. No problem. No
14 further notice required?
15 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: No further
16 notice.
17 ATTORNEY LINNUS: That's correct.
18 That's correct. This is postponed to -- will be
19 continued at the November 17th meeting, 7:30. It
20 will be done virtually.
21 And to the public, this is your
22 pronouncement of the meeting date and time and that
23 it's virtual. You'll get no further notice.
24 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Okay. Thank
25 you, Mr. Linnus.
114
1 Mr. Hehl, we're -- we're completed with
2 your process tonight, and the board will continue
3 with the next agenda item it has, which is executive
4 session.
5 ATTORNEY BUTLER: I would just like to
6 ask Mr. Hehl one question.
7 Who's the planner?
8 ATTORNEY HEHL: It's John McDonough.
9 ATTORNEY BUTLER: Thank you very much.
10 ATTORNEY HEHL: You got it.
11 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: He's going to
12 go beat him up.
13 ATTORNEY HEHL: All right. Have a
14 great night, everybody. Again, thanks for your
16 VICE-CHAIRMAN SPEENEY: Ah, you're
17 welcome. Thank you for participating in the review.
18 I found that very important for myself, and I hope
19 the board found it important.
20 ATTORNEY HEHL: Thank you for your
21 leadership tonight.
23 (Time noted, 9:57 p.m.)
24
25
```

115

TRACEE SCHAEFER, CHAIRWOMAN

1 C E R T I F I C A T E

2

3 I, ANGELA C. BUONANTUONO, a Notary Public and 4 Certified Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey 5 and Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby 6 certify that prior to the commencement, the witness 7 was duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth 8 and nothing but the truth. 9 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a 10 true and accurate transcript of the deposition as 11 taken stenographically by and before me at the time, 12 place and on the date hereinbefore set forth. 13 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a 14 relative, nor employee, nor attorney, nor counsel of 15 any of the parties to this action, and that I am 16 neither a relative, nor employee of such attorney or 17 counsel, and that I am not financially interested in 18 the action. 23 Angela C. Buonantuono, CCR, RPR, CLR NJ State Board of Court Reporting 24 License No. 30XI00233100 Dated: November 10, 2020

### **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Ms. Spingler read the Resolution PB 20-R12 into the record.

The motion by Mr. Pote to enter Executive Session, seconded by Mr. Fiorilla, was accepted and carried on voice vote.

### **DISCUSSION**

Mr. Ellis, who serves as a member of the Somerset County Planning Board, informed the Board that there was approval for 192 units of inclusionary housing to be located at the top of Hilcrest Avenue in Warren.

#### PUBLIC COMMENT

The meeting was open to the public. There were no comments from the public.

#### **ADJOURN**

The Board unanimously voted to adjourn the meeting at 10:24 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Theresa Snyder Board Clerk