

|  | 5 |  |  | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:11PM 1 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Notice of | 07:34PM | 1 | (Whereupon, all present members respond |
| 12:11PM 2 | this meeting has been given pursuant to the Open | 07:34PM | 2 | in the affirmative.) |
| 12:11PM 3 | Public Meetings Act N.J.S.A. 10:4-6 et seq, in order | 07:34PM | 3 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Opposed |
| 12:12PM | to comply with | 07:34 | 4 | (No response.) |
| 12:12PM 5 | Governor in order to follow the best practices | 07:34 | 5 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: All right. |
| 12:12PM 6 | recommended by the CDC and in compliance with the DCA | 07:348 | 6 | The first thing we have is a sign |
| 12:12PM 7 | requirements for emergency meeting protocol. | 07:34P | 7 | request for the PTO, temporary signs for food trucks, |
| 12:12PM 8 | This meeting has been held virtually | 07:34PM | 8 | right? |
| 12:12PM 9 | for all board members, board professionals, the | 07:34PM | 9 | MS. SNYDER: Yes. |
| 12:12PM 10 | applicant and the applicant's professionals, | 07:34PM | 10 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I think |
| 12:12PM 11 | interested parties and members of the public. | 07:34 | 11 | this is a pretty normal thing, they've done it |
| 12:12PM 12 | Notice has been posted in the Borough | 07: | 12 | before. |
| 12:12PM 13 | website, at Borough Hall in compliance with the DCA | 07:34 | 13 | MS. SNYDER: Yeah, it's going to run |
| 12:12PM 14 | requirements for emergency meeting protocol and has | 07:3 | 14 | for several months so they were told to continue to |
| 12:12PM 15 | been given to The Echo Sentinel, Courier News and The | 07:34PM | 15 | come back to us for the 30-days extension. |
| 12:12PM 16 | Star Ledger. Notice has been filed with the Borough | 07:34PM | 16 | places they wanted to have them. They have to have |
| 12:12PM 17 | Clerk. | 07:34PM | 17 |  |
| 12:12PM 18 | If we all could please stand to salute | 07:34PM | 18 | approval by the property owners in order to do that. |
| 12:12PM 19 | the flag. | 07:34PN | 19 | MS. SNYDER: Righ |
| 12:12PM 20 | (Whereupon, all rise for a recitation | 07:34 | 20 | CTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Or the |
| 12:12PM 21 | of the Pledge of Allegiance.) | 07:34 | 21 | borough. |
| 12:12PM 22 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Excellent. | 07:35PM | 22 | MS. SNYDER: Right. |
| 12:13PM 23 | Can we call the roll please? | 07:35PM | 23 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: If they |
| 12:13PM 24 | MS. SNYDER: Mr. Hunsinger? | 07:35PM | 24 | said the corner of a road, that's owned by somebody, |
| 12:13PM 25 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Here. | 07:35PM | 25 | so they have to get approval, they know that, right? |
|  | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 |  |  | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 |
|  | 6 |  |  | 8 |
| 12:13PM 1 | MS. SNYDER: Mr. Brown? | 07:35PM | 1 | MS. SNYDER: Yes. |
| 12:13PM 2 | MR. BROWN: Here. | 07:35PM | 2 | CTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: All right. |
| 12:13PM 3 | MS. SNYDER: Mr. Kita? | 07:35PM | 3 | All in favor of accepting -- any |
| 12:13PM | MR. KITA: Here. | 07:35PM | 4 | discussion? |
|  | MS. SNYDER: Ms. Fechtner? | 07:35PM | 5 | (No response.) |
|  | MS. FECHTNER: Here. | 07:35PM | 6 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Questions? |
|  | MS. SNYDER: Mr. Taraschi? | 07:35PM | 7 | (No response.) |
|  | MR. TARASCHI: Here. | 07:35PM | 8 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: No? |
|  | MS. SNYDER: Mr. Hanlon? | 07:35PM | 9 | All in favor of accepting the signs as |
|  | MR. HANLON: Here |  | 10 | requested say "Aye." |
|  | MS. SNYDER: Dr. Steinfeld? |  | 11 | (Whereupon, all present members respond |
|  | DR. STEINFELD: Here. |  | 12 | in the affirmative.) |
|  | MS. SNYDER: Amanda Wolfe? |  | 13 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Opposed? |
|  | MS. WOLFE: Here. |  | 14 | (No response.) |
|  | MS. SNYDER: Steve Warner? |  | 15 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: |
| 12:13PM 16 | MR. WARNER: Here as well. |  | 16 | Abstentions? |
| 12:13PM 17 | MS. SNYDER: David Stires? |  | 17 | (No response.) |
| 12:13PM 18 | MR. STIRES: Here. |  | 18 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: All right. |
| 07:34PM 19 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Excellent. | 07:36PM |  | That brings us to the case in point, |
| 07:34PM 20 | The minutes have be distributed | 07:36PM | 20 | BA 21-05 S/K Morris Township Associates, LLC, |
| 07:34PM 21 | beforehand, are there any changes, deletions to the | 07:36PM | 21 | 1375 Plainfield Avenue, Block 710, Lot 9. |
| 07:34PM 22 | minutes? | 07:36PM |  | Do I have to read the rest of it or is |
| 07:34PM 23 | (No response.) | 07:36PM | 23 | that good? That's good, right? |
| 07:34PM 24 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Hearing | 07:36PM | 24 | MR. WARNER: Yes. |
| 07:34PM 25 | none, all in favor of accepting the minutes? | 07:36PM | 25 | MR. TUVEL: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, |
|  | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 |  |  | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 |

Members of the Board, Jason Tuvel attorney for the applicant.

Before we get started, I just wanted to thank the board for having a special meeting for us. I know everybody's time is very valuable, so -- and this is not your normal meeting date, so we thank you on behalf of the applicant for doing that.

As the board recalls we were here on March 10th, we provided testimony from the applicant, from our professional engineer and our traffic engineer, as well as on this application.

Since then, we had good dialogue at that meeting in terms of (Audio Distortion.) Let me see, close that.

Okay. I think we had a good dialogue at that meeting in terms of comments to the plans and a healthy discussion.

So between the first meeting at this meeting we submitted one revised sheet showing changes that we were willing to make based on, really, the feedback that we got from the board, from the public as well as your professionals during the course of the process.

I'll summarize them very briefly and then I'll let the applicant go through them in a
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little bit more detail.
But first and foremost, we did provide the sidewalk that the board as well as Mr. Stires had indicated was important to Plainfield Avenue in the event that there was a bus stop in the future that the board of education wanted there for schoolchildren.

So that's been on the revised plan that you're going to see and that was provided to you as SK-5.

In addition to that, the driveway, lengths of the driveways for the homes was increased from 18 feet to 19 feet. That was something that was discussed.

In addition to that, the cartway width of the roads that lead to the homes was increased from 20 to 22 feet.

And if you recall, there was some discussion on that at the last meeting.

We also included the landscape retaining wall. We included a trench drain that was asked for by one of the board members.

And then we also made sure that the attic spaces were prewired for solar panels, which is something else that the board felt, I believe, was
important.

So those are the changes that we made in connection with the application since the last meeting.

I have two witnesses, Mr. Chairman, that I plan on calling this evening, although all of our witnesses are here and available in the event that there are any questions.

So I have Noah Chrismer, I'm going to bring him back, who's the applicant's representative. He'll go through the changes that we made to the plans.

And then I'll have our professional planner, Charles Heydt, go through the variance testimony that's required in connection with this application.

So, again, I think we've made some good progress based on the comments that were made at the last meeting and, Mr. Chairman, unless you have any questions for me, I would ask your permission to call Mr. Chrismer back.

You're on mute, Mr. Chairman.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: One
comment, I would just ask everyone to mute their microphones while we're -- while the applicant is
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testifying so we don't have any feedback, and that's
it.

## Proceed.

MR. TUVEL: Great. Thank you very much.

So I'd like to recall Mr. Chrismer. He
was under oath at the last meeting, but if you'd like we could re-swear him in.

Mr. Warner, it's up to you if you'd
like me to do that.
MR. WARNER: No need, as he recognizes
he remains under oath.
MR. CHRISMER: I do.
NOAH CHRISMER,
520 US Highway 22, Bridgewater, New Jersey,
having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. TUVEL: All right, thank you.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. TUVEL:
Q. So, Noah, if you could just go through some of the changes that we made that I outlined, but in a little bit more detail for the board since the last time we were here.
A. Sure.

Hi. Thank you, everybody, Mr. Chairman
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that we have a sidewalk infrastructure here (indicating) put in place largely for accessibility and in particular for the affordable units, but that there is a likelihood that some children could live at this site, and how would they get down without having to walk in the driveway, how would they get down to a potential bus stop.

So we were able to move the building over, we shifted Building 1 to the east, and that allowed us to accommodate a sidewalk down to Plainfield, and we have terminated that sidewalk at a proposed little landing area there.

Of course this would have to be coordinated with the school district, but we've confirmed that we have enough room for a sidewalk adjacent to the drive aisle there. We've put a crosswalk in between Buildings 2 and 3, and that would provide access down to, you know, again, the potential of a school bus stop there. So that was the first thing that we did.

And if the board doesn't mind, I will sort of walk through these and then if there are any questions on it, we could take them, as Jason said, we do have Patty here tonight if there's any real technical question that the board has.
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So that was the first thing we did, provided a sidewalk for the potential of a bus stop for the children.

Next, we were able to increase, as Jason alluded to, and you'll see it here, the driveways now for each of the buildings are 19-feet deep.

So we had talked before and you'll remember the testimony from Mr. Staigar that the driveways were 18 -feet deep which met the sort of requirement for the largest vehicle on the road today, and I think, if I'm remembering Mr. Staigar's testimony correctly, the average of the top 20 selling cars in the United States is 15 -feet deep.

We now are providing 19, 'cause I think there was, again, another legitimate comment that, well, if you do have a number of these large trucks and they don't want to park right to the door of the garage, if they're going to be the second car or a visitor, then maybe an extra foot would be helpful.

So we've provided an extra foot, 19 foot in depth from the face of the garage to the end of the parking space behind the garage.

And in addition to that, we've added 2 feet to the cartway taking it from 20 feet to 22.
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## right?

There's a court between Building 1 and 2 and a court between Building 3 and 4 . We've increased each of those courts by a total of 4 feet, which is a pretty significant increase there in the amount of room that one has to maneuver and has to park. So we felt pretty good about that.

And that condition is repeated between Buildings 3 and 4 as we're sort of zooming in there as well. So you have that condition, 19 -- 19 plus 22 in the middle now consistent among the two courts between Building 1 and 2 and between Building 3 and 4.

I will say that the other little things that are shown on here, I know that Mr. Panzarella had some concern in a severe storm about water going out onto Plainfield. There is currently on the site a certain portion of that driveway that just drains onto Plainfield. We have decreased the amount of the driveway that drains onto Plainfield and, along with Mr. Panzarella's recommendation, we've include a trench drain.

And this one, we actually went back and
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So the sum total of that when you look at these courts, there are two courts here (indicating),
$201-641-1812$
forth on a little bit, making sure that we could get that trench drain at a height that exceeded the 100 foot -- the 100-year flood elevation and tie it into those -- the inlets there that eventually feed into the basin.

So it works from an engineering standpoint, and it should even further reduce any potential of stormwater in a severe storm coming out and just sheet flowing onto Plainfield.

So there's a couple other changes that were made in here. You know, we've noted here that the attic spaces will be prewired, but by and large the changes that we made to the layout plan were really focused on internal circulation, again, both pedestrian with the sidewalk and the bus stop, and vehicular with the expansion of the driveways and the cartways.

And we just wanted to give the board the benefit of sort of walking through that. And if you have any questions on it, I'm hoping to answer those as well.

MALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: There is some big money here.

FEMALE AUDIENCE MEMBER: Oh, yeah.
Well, they stand to make a lot of money.
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Building 2 and 3, ma'am.
MS. FECHTNER: Okay.
And again, I'm looking at your
Building 4 which I thought was terribly tight for parking for the cars. I don't recall -- I felt there was a problem there with your affordable housing. I think even on your plan, I couldn't figure out how people were going to walk in. You seemed to be missing a door, but I felt that was not a problem, your architect will come up with that.

But my other big concern of this property is parking. People will either live there and like what you're presenting for them to live in, but son of a gun, parking there, it has to be right on-site because there is no place you're going to park on Plainfield Avenue or on Drift Road.

So I shudder over people having -everyone has a car today. You're going to have multiple cars.

But you tell me you have accomplished the legal number of parking places, I worry, too, about people who plug into the electric, which -- and that electric -- those stations are not going to be running right away, are they? Or are they?

THE WITNESS: Oh, no, they'll be up and
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running right away.
Yes, ma'am.
MS. FECHTNER: So are they going to be high speed or no?

THE WITNESS: I don't know if they'll
be high speed or not. I would imagine that as we install these, we will install the latest and greatest, but I don't -- we certainly have not specced what those would be yet.

MS. FECHTNER: The only reason I question that is high speed, they could possibly do that in the morning, go off to work, whereas if it's going to be a number of hours, they're going to plug in and that takes care of parking spots.

THE WITNESS: You know what we would certainly be willing to do, and I think a lot of people do do this, we're happy to also prewire the garages for electric car vehicles, ma'am, and that way, residents, if they have an electric car, could charge their car in their own garage and you'd have extra car charging stations outside.

MS. FECHTNER: I -- wouldn't that
alleviate a lot -- if we're heading -- I'm not
thinking today, I'm not going to buy an electric car,
but I do think in ten years or so there's going to be
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a lot more electric cars and I'm learning a lot about it because my son just bought an electric car, so I'm paying attention and I'm thinking, okay, in the garage that gives them access for -- if they're going to have a slower electric, not taking up one of the outside parking places for that.

THE WITNESS: Certainly.
And I think, just to go back, Ms. Fechtner, I'm sorry, to your comment about the parking overall on the site, I think the testimony from Patty last time was that we exceeded the RSIS.

And RSIS, I think the testimony, again, is meant to meet not only residents but also their guests, and I think that we exceeded it, if I'm remembering the testimony correctly, and did not take credit for the reduction in spaces that you're allowed by putting the electric car charging stations in.

So I think we're feeling pretty confident there. And certainly if we thought we were short, we would have a pretty good concern about that as well because certainly parking is one of the more -- it's one of the things that folks focus in on, you know, when you're marketing a home.

MR. TUVEL: Yes, and two things on
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07:55PM 1 that. aisles.

So, Steve, we're technically allowed the charging stations, to count each one as double. We're not doing that, we're not taking the credit that the new state statute allows.

And, Noah, just -- as the applicant, when you were developing the site, compliance with RSIS was critical in importance as an applicant.

Is that correct?
THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
MR. TUVEL: And we're over on the parking based on the state standard, which is the more conservative standard.

MS. FECHTNER: Okay. I -- what the requirements are. I worry about it because I've had too many experiences trying to visit people who are in the high density of apartments and it always seems the FedEx truck comes and takes up a lot of room or whoever else, and suddenly we're terribly blocked.

I think -- we've discussed the safety. I think you've hopefully addressed that 'cause that has to be number one.

THE WITNESS: That was our hope, both in the sidewalk and in the widening of these drive
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|  | 29 |  | 31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:56PM 1 | MS. FECHTNER: Okay. All right. I | 07:58PM 1 | DR. STEINFELD: How many visitors does |
| 07:56PM 2 | yield my time, as they keep saying in Congress. | 07:58PM 2 | the state permit? |
| 07:56PM 3 | MR. TUVEL: No, thank you very much for | 07:58PM 3 | MR. TUVEL: Okay. |
| 07:56PM 4 | your questions. | 07:58PM 4 | So I could have Mr. Heydt go into that, |
| 07:56PM 5 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I have a | 07:58PM | it's usually |
| 07:568PM | nt. | 07:58PM | the parking standard. |
| 07:56PM 7 | My biggest concern as I left last | 07:58PM | state standards go for |
| 07:56PM 8 | meeting was the distance between these, and adding | 07:58PM 8 | visitor and resident parking, the application exceeds |
| 07:56PM 9 | that 4 feet, in my opinion, is really going to mak | 07:58PM 9 | what is required. And we're not taking credit, which |
| 07:56PM 10 | the congestion issues in there a lot better | 07:58PM 10 | we could, up to ten percent based on the ECB |
| 07:56PM 11 | think it's a great improvement | 07:59PM 11 | stations. We're not -- we're playing |
| 07:56PM 12 | Any other questions or comments? | 07:59PM 12 | conservative, as they say. |
| 07:56PM 13 | MR. STIRES: One thing | 07:59PM 13 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Any other |
| 07:56PM 14 | Noah, do you know what the impervious | 07:59PM 14 | questions? |
| 07:56PM 15 | coverage chang | 07:59PM 15 | sponse |
| 07:56PM 16 | MR. TUVEL: Yeah, I can answer tha | 07:59PM 16 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: If not, we |
| 07:56PM 17 | So we ran that calculation, Mr. Stires, | 07:59PM 17 | can proceed to the next |
| 07:56PM 18 | Steve and I discussed it to make sure we knew what it | 07:59PM 18 | MS. FECHTNER: I'd like to make |
| 07:56PM 19 | was if the board were to approve it, that we would | 07:59PM 19 | comment, if I may? |
| 07:56PM 20 | have the amount | 07:59PM 20 | I'm looking at the latest -- received |
| 07:56PM 21 | It was 64.3 percent when we filed the | 07:59PM 21 | day and I see that, going back to the one that we |
| $07: 57$ PM 22 <br>  <br> 03 | application. Per Ms. Ruskan's comments to me, it's | 07:59Рм 22 | approved on -- they did have impervious coverage of |
| 07:57PM 23 | now going to 65.9. So only -- I'll call it 66 just | 07:59PM 23 | 45. We are -- I want to note that we're up to 65. |
| 07:57PM 24 <br> 07.57PM 25 | to be on the safe side. So it went up 1.7 percent, | 07.59PM 24 | If you manage to control your water, |
| 07:57PM 25 | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 |  | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 |
|  | 30 |  | 32 |
| 07:57PM 1 | R. STIRES: Okay, thanks. | 07:59PM | know we've discussed it and you've said it can be |
| 07:57PM 2 | R. TUVEL: Sure | 07:59PM 2 | ne. I can't remember where you said you'd do your |
| 07:57PM 3 | MR. WARNER: And the applicant is | 07:59PM 3 | snow, but you'll take care of it, I just think we |
| 07:57PM 4 | excuse me -- amending their application, I | 07:59PM 4 | ought to note that we are being requested to change |
| 07:57PM 5 | to seek variance relief for impervious coverage not | 08:00PM 5 | the impervious coverage. I'd like to note that one. |
| 07.57PM 6 | pe | 08:00PM 6 | This is the highest density of anything |
| 07:57PM 7 | JVEL: Correct, | 08:00PM 7 | we've ever been requested. We have had other |
| 07:57PM 8 | ARNER: Thank | 08:00PM 8 | apartment areas. This is higher. |
| 07:57PM 9 | DR. STEINFELD: How many guest parking | 08:00PM 9 | But I'd like to also say I very much |
| 07:57PM 10 | spots are there per unit? | 08:OOPM 10 | e the idea of preparing the garages for the |
| 07:57PM 11 | MR. TUVEL: Based on -- Mr. Staiga | 08:00PM 11 | ventuality of people having their own charging |
| 07:57PM 12 | testified to that at the last meeting, but we mee | 08:00PM 12 | stations. I think that would, in ten years, be a big |
| 07:57PM 13 | the RSIS requirement of 1.8 parking spaces per a | 08:00PM 13 | help to people who live there. |
| 07:57PM 14 | one-bedroom townhome unit, 2.3 for a two-bedroom, and | 08:00Pm 14 | MR. TUVEL: Yes. |
| 07:57PM 15 | 2.4 for a three-bedroom, so you meet all the state | 08:00PM 15 | nd also, we talked about this at the |
| 07:57PM 16 | standards regardin | 08:00PM 16 | st meeting, and the area between our property line |
| 07:58PM 17 | DR. STEINFELD: So people having | 08:OOPM 17 | and the right-of-way is completely pervious area and |
| 07:58PM 18 | large party, where are the guests that exceed tha | 08:00pm 18 | visually it doesn't look like it's part of the site |
| 07:58PM 19 | number supposed | 08:OOPM 19 | but technically it's not, and we're not allowed to |
| 07:58PM 20 | MR. TUVEL: No, so -- again, I could | 08:00PM 20 | take credit from that from a percentage standpoint, |
| 07:58PM 21 | have Mr. Heydt, Charles, our planner testify to this | 08:00Pm 21 | but if we did, our overall number would be a lot |
| 07:58PM 22 | That includes the visitor, so we meet the parking | 08:00Pm 22 | less, but it -- that is -- visually, from pure |
| 07:58PM 23 | requirement both owner of the home and visitor. It's | 08:01PM 23 | development standpoint, looks like it's one part of |
| 07:58PM 24 | all inclusive. We meet the requirement that the | 08:01PM 24 | the development and our planner will go through that |
| 07:58PM 25 | state requires. | 08:01PM 25 | during his testimony. |
|  | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. |  | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. |
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MS. FECHTNER: Your surrounding property is advantageous, too, because you do have a cemetery there, so right there you have greenery, and then on the other side, Drift Road, you've got quite a wide expanse of greenery there, too, so --

MR. TUVEL: You're making my arguments for me.

MS. FECHTNER: I'm recognizing what is a positive for the people who are going to be living there.

MR. TUVEL: No doubt.
MS. FECHTNER: Real living, breathing people.

MR. TUVEL: No doubt.
Thank you.
THE WITNESS: I think, Ms. Fechtner, there are a couple of unique things with this site included in that 30-plus foot, you know, right where the DOT actually owns, right, whereas in the standard, you know, sort of like on Plainfield, for us it's a variable right-of-way of, you know, anywhere between 5 to 10 feet would be more normal, right?

And then I think there's a couple other things with the project on Mountain Boulevard that
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the underlying residential zone from permits office use.

And -- and the really, you know, honestly with Marc's work on the architecture we hope is going to provide a really attractive solution both to the reuse of the site and to the provision of inclusionary housing.

So with that, I'm happy to turn it back and look forward to Charles' planning testimony and appreciated the questions and hope that some of what we've shown you here tonight with the widening of the driveways and stuff has been responsive to your comments from the last hearing.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Excellent.
So we'll move on to the planner then.
MR. WARNER: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, did you want to have members of the public ask each witness questions since we only have two this evening or did you want to wait until the planner was done? The discretion of the chair, of course.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: It doesn't matter to me. I mean, we can see -- so the questions would only be on the changes because we've already questioned this witness, so if anybody from the public has any questions of -- on these changes only,
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we'll have a period of time for each of the other witnesses and we'll also have a time for comments at the end of this application as well.

So, is there anyone from the public that has any question about the changes?

Mr. Avalone, are you looking to make a comment? No?
(No response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: So hearing
no one come forward, we'll close the public portion.
Again, you'll have an opportunity to ask questions later on, and we will proceed to the next witness.

MR. TUVEL: Great.
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
So the next witness we have is Charles Heydt, our professional planner, so we're going to just scoot him into the picture.

So, Mr. Warner, Charles was not sworn in at the last meeting.

MR. WARNER: Please raise your right
hand.
Do you swear to God or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. HEYDT: I do.
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|  | 37 |  | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:04PM 1 | CHARLES HEYDT, PP, | 08:06PM 1 | Mr. Chairman, I would ask that the |
| 08:05PM 2 | 1 Evertrust Plaza in Jersey City, New Jersey, | 08:06PM 2 | board accept Mr. Heydt as an expert in the field of |
| 08:04PM 3 | having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: | 08:06PM | essional planning. |
| 08:04PM 4 | MR. WARNER: Thank you. | 08:06PM 4 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I think we |
| 08:04PM 5 | Name and address, business address is | 08:06PM 5 | n definitely do that, no objections. |
| 08:04PM 6 | fine, for the record, and I'm sure Mr. Tuvel will | 08:06PM 6 | TUVEL: Great. |
| 08:05PM 7 | voir dire you and elicit your qualifications | 08:06PM 7 | hank you so much. |
| 08:05PM 8 | R. HEYDT: | 08:06PM 8 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 08:05PM 9 | Hi , good evening, everyone. My name is | 08:06PM 9 | BY MR. TUVEL: |
| 08:05PM 10 | Charles Heydt, last name is spelled H-E-Y-D-T. | 08:06PM 10 | Q. So, Charles, just the typical |
| 08:05Pm 11 | I am a professional planner with the | 08:06PM 11 | foundational questions for you before you get into |
| 08:05PM 12 | firm of Dresdner Robin | 08:06PM 12 | the substance. |
| 08:05PM 13 | We have our headquarters at 1 Evertrust | 08:06PM 13 | You visited the site and th |
| 08:05PM 14 | Plaza in Jersey City, New Jersey, as well as a | 08:06PM 14 | surrounding area? |
| 08:05PM 15 | office in Fairfield at 55 Lane Road, Fairfield | 08:06PM 15 | A. Yes. |
| 08:05PM 16 | Jersey | 08:06PM 16 | Q. Okay. |
| 08:05PM 17 | VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION | 08:06PM 17 | You've reviewed the Master Plan for the |
| 08:05PM 18 | BY MR. TUVEL: | 08:07PM 18 | unicipality, as well as the Zoning Ordinance? |
| 08:05PM 19 | Q. All right. Charles, if you could just | 08:07PM 19 | A. Yes, I have. |
| 08:05PM 20 | give the board the benefit of your educationa | 08:07PM 20 | Q. You've reviewed all the application |
| 08:05PM 21 | background, licenses held and experience testifying | 08:07Pм 21 | aterials, plans, reports, and have heard all the |
| 08:05PM 22 | as a professional planner before land use boards i | 08:07PM 22 | stimony and viewed all of the exhibits that have |
| 08:05PM 23 | the State of New | 08:07PM 23 | been part of the application? |
| 08:05PM 24 | A. Yes. | 08:07PM 24 | A. Yes, I have. |
| 08:05PM 25 | I have an undergraduate degree in | 08:07PM 25 | Q. <br> Great. |
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| 08:05PM 1 | economics from The College of New J ersey. I also am | 08:07PM 1 | So why don't we just jump right into |
| 08:05PM 2 | benefitted of a master's degree in city and regional | 08:07PM 2 | it, and why don't -- we have several variances that |
| 08:05PM 3 | planning from Rutgers University. | 08:07PM 3 | have to speak about, so why don't we just start, I |
| 08:05PM 4 | I should point out, Rutgers is actually | 08:07PM 4 | guess, with the D-1 variance |
| 08:05PM 5 | the third-ranked city planning school. Harvard is | 08:07PM 5 | A. Yeah, I do appreciate that. |
| 08:05PM 6 | sixth. | 08:07PM 6 | We do have a couple of site photos just |
| 08:05PM 7 | . WARNER: Wait a minute. If I | 08:07PM 7 | to reorient the board of the existing conditions of |
| 08:05PM 8 | understand correctly, was it Mr. Kushner who went to | 08:07PM 8 | the property. In terms of the variances, we do seek |
| 08:05PM 9 | Harvard? | 08:07PM 9 | to what we call D variances as they're listed in the |
| 08:06PM 10 | WE WITNESS: Yes | 08:07PM 10 | Municipal Land Use Law; one for a use not permitted |
| 08:06PM 11 | MR. WARNER: United we stand | 08:07PM 11 | within a zone, and then a building height variance |
| 08:06PM 12 | Anyway | 08:07PM 12 | with respect to exceeding a 10 percent or 10 feet |
| 08:06PM 13 | THE WITNESS: It's a testament to the | 08:07PM 13 | reshold, so those are the D variances, and they're |
| 08:06PM 14 | program. I am a staunch | 08:07PM 14 | related to the intensity and use of the property so I |
| 08:06PM 15 | And I also, in terms of professiona | 08:07PM 15 | will be focusing on them. |
| 08:06PM 16 | qualifications, I do hold my professional planner's | 08:08PM 16 | We do have additionally six bulk |
| 08:06PM 17 | license in the State of New Jersey | 08:08PM 17 | variances. They range from different issues from |
| 08:06PM 18 | I also am a member of the American | 08:08PM 18 | setback to coverage to signage, so I will get into |
| 08:06PM 19 | Institute of Certified Planners. That was a national | 08:08PM 19 | those details as they relate to various aspects of |
| 08:06PM 20 | certification program | 08:08PM 20 | the testimony. |
| 08:06PM 21 | And I like to say this is my full-time | 08:08PM 21 | And then, lastly, there are the three |
| 08:06PM 22 | night job. I routinely appear before boards | 08:08PM 22 | design waivers, mostly related with the parking and |
| 08:06PM 23 | throughout the state on a wide range of development | 08:08PM 23 | rculation, so I will briefly touch on those at the |
| 08:06PM 24 | and multifamily housing. | 08:08PM 24 | end in terms of the variances. |
| 08:06PM 25 | MR. TUVEL: Thank you, Charles. | 08:08PM 25 | So as we start, I just wanted to share |
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with the board these photos. These were taken ahead of the previous buildings.

MR. TUVEL: Just counsel, just so
you're aware, these were part of the original 32
slides on $\mathrm{A}-1$, we just didn't get to them the last
time because Charles did not testify.
BY MR. TUVEL:
Q. So, Charles, if you could just identify
them as, you know, taken by your office and representative of the existing conditions.
A. Yes, these are photos taken I think the two days before the last hearing by myself on one of my site visits. They are a set of four photos.

So if we could just jump back quickly, this photo is from Plainfield Avenue, it shows the main driveway entrance as well as the existing two-story office structure. There is a vacant office structure. I believe you've heard testimony as to the history and status of the office use at this location.

The second photo is from Drift Road, which is in the foreground, and the main façade along Drift Road.

It's helpful with this photo to really grasp the distance of this, what we call buffer area LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
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and fourth photos. This third photo is of the adjacent cemetery, St. Mary's Cemetery.
Again, it's a very passive use. This is the only adjacent use to the property. So from a physical standpoint, we have one adjacent cemetery use and two frontages in terms of characteristics.

The fourth photo -- and I believe I said four, there is actually five photos. This is a photo of the Berkeley Square multifamily development which is just due west of the cemetery, so it's within close proximity. The unique aspect of this property is actually that it's bisected by the municipal boundary between Watchung and Berkeley Square, but it is a very consistent multifamily development within 200 feet of the property -- or within 300 feet.

The last photo -- I'm forgetting how many photos we had. This is a photo from the subject property (indicating). The main driveway is just off LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
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along Drift Road. So there's a marginal slope in this area, but it is a substantially pervious area that will be maintained, I think that's one of the critical aspects of this development, and it is within the right-of-way along Drift Road.

So, we can move on to the second, third
201-641-1812
to the right (indicating).
And it's viewing north, so this is capturing some of the vegetation along Plainfield Avenue which is in the foreground. The homes beyond the vegetation do front onto Hampton Drive, so I think that we had some residents from that neighborhood attend the prior hearing. I am sure they're here.

But this just gives you a perspective.
These homes -- obviously that's the rear yard. The homes front further north onto Hampton Drive, which is north of Plainfield Avenue.

And then this final photo is of the
Stonegate development, which is just east of the subject property across Drift Road.

Again, it is a single-family
townhouse-style development that's kind of a little unique because it's integrated into the existing topography of the property whereas, you could see from the initial two photos, the subject property that we're here presenting on tonight has already been previously approved, is relatively flat in slope, and -- and has basically an office building in the center of it with surrounding parking areas, so there is not much existing landscaping on the subject
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property tonight, but here's a photo of a similar multifamily home as well within the area.

I think that's it for the photos.
So with respect to the variances -- you can stop sharing, I think. The board is aware that D-1 use variances are really derived in terms of the burden of proof the Medici case, there are subsequent cases after that, but that case really established the language and the test that use variances must meet in the State of New Jersey.

And for the most part, the framework is the particular suitability test. And what I mean by that is, are there particular and unique aspects of the specific property in question that would lend itself to be utilized for the proposed use.

And I will go through that, there are several factors where I think that this property and application do meet that threshold.

I also, in this test, want to touch on and I will touch on a few issues. I'll speak to density because it is a multifamily development. I will also speak to the building height. We are requesting a $\mathbf{D - 6}$ building height variance where two-and-a-half stories, 35 feet are permitted in the RR District, the Single-Family Rural Residential
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:13PM 1 | District, and three stories is proposed with one of | 08:16PM 1 | to a density of approximately 12 units per acre, so |
| 08:14PM 2 | the buildings having a maximum height of $\mathbf{4 0}$ feet | 08:16PM 2 | that's the $\mathbf{2 7}$ total units over the -- well, what |
| 08:14PM 3 | 8 inches. | 08:16PM 3 | would be approximately $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ acres. |
| 08:14PM 4 | The last one that Ithink is really | 08:16PM 4 | That really is a product of the type of |
| 08:14PM 5 | related to the use is a bulk variance with respect to | 08:16PM 5 | design and development. We're talking about |
| 08:14PM 6 | net habitable floor area. When you review this | 08:16PM 6 | townhouse-styled units. They're primarily efficient |
| 08:14PM 7 | requirement as it relates to single-family homes it | 08:16PM 7 | because each unit is a vertical construction. |
| 08:14PM 8 | makes sense. The minimum requirement is $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 0}$ square | 08:16PM 8 | The ground floor provides for all of |
| 08:14PM 9 | feet of net habitable floor area. | 08:17PM 9 | the market-rate units, the parking space and some |
| 08:14PM 10 | For a townhouse development that is not | 08:17PM 10 | auxiliary storage and hallway space to get up to the |
| 08:14PM 11 | necessarily appropriate. That would be a very large | 08:17PM 11 | -- staircase space to get up to the upper floors. |
| 08:14PM 12 | townhouse development in terms of net habitable floor | 08:17PM 12 | The second and third floors are for the living and |
| 08:14PM 13 | area. | 08:17PM 13 | bedrooms. |
| 08:14PM 14 | What we're proposing with this design | 08:17PM 14 | The types of units are also comfortable |
| 08:14PM 15 | and use is when you calculate it out is $\mathbf{6 6 9}$ square | 08:17PM 15 | in terms of they afford the three-bedroom units, so I |
| 08:14PM 16 | feet. So the variance is being requested, but | 08:17PM 16 | think that's an important element in terms of |
| 08:14PM 17 | obviously it's related to the type of development. | 08:17PM 17 | establishing that these aren't small in terms of |
| 08:14PM 18 | So I think that gives the board a | 08:17PM 18 | market units, in terms of size, as well as |
| 08:14PM 19 | general framework for the use variance. I will run | 08:17PM 19 | appropriate area and bedrooms. |
| 08:14PM 20 | through the positive criteria at first, touch on some | 08:17PM 20 | MR. TUVEL: And, Charles, just to |
| 08:14PM 21 | of the bulk requirements, bulk variances, as they | 08:17pm 21 | confirm there are six stacked flats as well? |
| 08:14PM 22 | relate to the application, and then finish up with | 08:17PM 22 | HE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 08:15PM 23 | the negative criteria. | 08:17PM 23 | And the six stacked flats are the |
| 08:15PM 24 | A few of the elements in terms of the | 08:17PM 24 | special aspect to this property because -- and |
| 08:15PM 25 | particular suitability relate to lot characteristics, LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 | 08:17PM 25 | project because they are able to be accommodated in a LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 |
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| 08:15PM 1 | consistency with existing land use pattens, and then | 08:17PM 1 | multifamily use and we are creating them as -- |
| 08:15PM 2 | the adequacy of the site plan and site design as it | 08:17PM 2 | setting them up to be the affordable component with |
| 08:15PM 3 | relates to the size of the property, so in this | 08:17PM 3 | the overall development. |
| 08:15PM 4 | instance I want to hone in on the parking and | 08:17PM 4 | And I will get into some of the |
| 08:15PM 5 | circulation and then also the landscaping and | 08:17PM 5 | affordable issues as it relates to the town's |
| 08:15PM 6 | screening. | 08:17PM 6 | ordinances and objectives in the Master Plan later. |
| 08:15PM 7 | With respect to lot characteristics, we | 08:18PM 7 | One of the other aspects in terms of |
| 08:15PM 8 | have a substantially large property. It's | 08:18PM 8 | the particular suitability that I also referenced was |
| 08:15PM 9 | approximately 91,670 square feet. The minimum in the | 08:18PM 9 | consistency with the existing land use pattern. This |
| 08:15PM 10 | requirements is $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ square feet, so we do exceed | 08:18PM 10 | property is actually a very unique property. |
| 08:15PM 11 | that comfortably. And, again, the zoning anticipates | 08:18PM 11 | Its only adjacent use, as I mentioned |
| 08:15PM 12 | one home on $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ square feet, a very low density | 08:18PM 12 | earlier in the review of existing conditions, is the |
| 08:15PM 13 | manner. | 08:18PM 13 | cemetery. |
| 08:15PM 14 | I would first say that a single-family | 08:18PM 14 | Within adjacent properties beyond Drift |
| 08:15PM 15 | home in this location would probably not be an | 08:18P⿳ 15 | Road and further west are two multifamily homes, |
| 08:15PM 16 | appropriate use given the isolated nature of it and | 08:18PM 16 | multifamily home developments. Those -- the |
| 08:15PM 17 | the frontage on two main roads. We also have | 08:18PM 17 | development at Stonegate is comprised of 41 |
| 08:15PM 18 | substantial frontage, so we're able to accommodate a | 08:18PM 18 | townhouses. |
| 08:16PM 19 | driveway, basically reutilize the existing main | 08:18P⿳ 19 | The development at Berkeley Square, |
| 08:16PM 20 | driveway for a two-way driveway entrance, as well as | 08:18PM 20 | which is partially in Berkeley Heights, partially in |
| 08:16PM 21 | the circulation, internal circulation road. | 08:18PM 21 | Watchung, is a total of 37 townhouses. |
| 08:16PM 22 | The overall property size when you look | 08:18PM 22 | So in terms of total unit count, we're |
| 08:16PM 23 | at it from a density standpoint, and I know this was | 08:18PM 23 | actually pretty consistent if not less with the |
| 08:16PM 24 | referenced in the board engineer and planner's recent | 08:18PM 24 | proposed 27 units on this property. And I say that |
| 08:16РМ 25 | memo. When you do calculate it, you do calculate out | 08:18PM 25 | because I think it's part of a scale and overall |
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civil design for the layout.
But I do find that it's consistent with the appropriate -- the adjacent uses within this location.

The other two aspects in terms of particular suitability relate to the site design.

And I know the board had some serious concerns. We have made amendments to the overall circulation and -- and parking.

First and foremost, I want to reiterate that we do meet the minimum requirement for parking that's set forth in the RSIS standards. We are providing 67 spaces in total for the development where 64 are required.

And just to be clear to break that down, in terms of residential visitor spaces, 14 are required where we're proposing 25 . Some will be general visitors. Some of those surface spaces will be used for the stacked COAH flats as well.

And we are also including 21 spaces dedicated in each garage for townhomes, as well as 21 parking spaces in the driveways.

So each townhome essentially has dedicated two parking spaces for the further tenants.

We also mentioned that we are providing
LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
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the 11 spaces for electric vehicles which is part of the new legislation to encourage energy-efficient automobile purchases and provision of charging equipment throughout the state.

This is, in terms of planning, one of the more cutting edge recent actions that the state legislature has taken to help guide us to a more sustainable future.

We just heard additional testimony that we would also be able to go above and beyond that and provide for the make-ready provisions for electrical supply for the garage parking spaces in each of the townhouse units, so usually the leading indicator for site adequacy and able to accommodate residential units is parking, and in this case I think we have met that by providing an appropriate number and an appropriate breakdown of those parking spaces.

We really honed in on circulation for this application. We have made recent revisions and I'll just review them again quickly. The main drive aisle along the western boundary adjacent to the cemetery is combined at 24 feet. That's the main two-way access.

The courts that were referenced earlier, the roadways and parking areas between the
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Just to be specific, along Plainfield
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townhomes are -- were originally designed at 20 feet in width and have been -- now been increased to 22 feet, as well as the increase in the overall depth of those parking spaces, and that was borne out of conversation and questions from the board in terms of adequacy, so we were able to make those adjustments.

And I think it just, again, in relating it to the planning testimony for the particular suitability of this property, I think it's a further testament as to why it is particularly suitable because we are able to accommodate those changes for better circulation.

Lastly, I would want to discuss the adequate screening.

So first and foremost, we are an isolated property. There is vegetation across Plainfield Avenue that you saw in the existing photos. So from the single-family homes there is already some existing screening. We are proposing a substantial amount of increase in landscaping on the property. It is a wide range from shade trees, evergreen trees, as well as ornamental trees and bushes and even perennial grasses, perennials and grasses.

Avenue we are proposing five red maple trees, and
these will be some of the taller trees on the property that will end up growing substantially taller than any of the other landscaping and will help provide that visual screen from the buildings and to help set it in place for a very nice aesthetically pleasing residential character.

In addition to the five red maples, there are 30 other street -- shade trees, deciduous trees throughout the property. Along the eastern property line that -- it runs along Drift Road and along the western property boundary next to the cemetery, we're providing additional evergreen trees. That will provide for adequate shielding of any headlights, as well as just a little bit more screening at the pedestrian level for future reference -- for future residents.

In total, we're providing 43 evergreen trees in those areas. So I think we do meet that test in an effort to situate these proposed structures in a nice aesthetic residential landscape design, and that will be appropriate landscaping not only for adjacent -- adjacent uses, but also for future tenants.

If we could, I think there was the --
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one of the renderings that we had provided in the exhibit, we'll bring it up now, but it does a good job of illustrating some of the trees. Yeah, you just had it.

MR. TUVEL: Now you pay the architect a compliment.

THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.
The one thing I wanted to call out is that it's often in these renderings that we show street trees at planting height, but we did confirm with the landscape architect the deciduous trees, certainly the red maples along Plainfield Avenue and other trees, will grow taller than what's illustrated here (indicating).

The intent in this photo is to really highlight the buildings, not necessarily the landscaping, although they both work together very well (indicating). So I just wanted to call that out.

I think we can leave this photo up because I do want to shift into the building height, the D-6 building height variance.

So, again --
MR. WARNER: Before you do, if I may, Mr. Chairman, was this part of the architect's
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exhibits or part or Exhibit A-1?
MR. TUVEL: Yes, Steve, this was all part of A-1, nothing's new.

We just -- the planner just wanted to refer back to some of the prior exhibits. That's all.

MR. WARNER: That's what I thought, I just wanted to make sure we had that on the record. And it has a tab on it, A-12, but I guess that's an architect's sheet $\mathrm{A}-12$ or something?

THE WITNESS: Yes, we weren't sure how we were going to mark them originally and we thought let's just mark it all as one exhibit, it was easier. That's all.

MR. WARNER: Okay. So it's an architect's rendering, we'll call it. Okay.

MR. TUVEL: Yes.
And it was previously marked and discussed.

MR. WARNER: Part of A-1.
MR. TUVEL: Yes.
THE WITNESS: So we did hear a lot of detail in term of the design of these buildings and I just wanted to revisit that and highlight that as it relates to the D-6 density variance.
two-and-a-half story 35 -foot requirement was really intended to regulate a single-family home, and that's common throughout the state, those dimensions, both in storage and feet.

For townhouses, even within the borough it's -- within the borough of Watchung it's actually regulated a little bit higher and more conservatively at three stories is permitted.

So in this design we are proposing three stories. We have a set of architectural elements just to help break up and harmonize the overall massings, have it more relatable to the specific townhouse units, so we have some vertical elements of the brick and the various materials.

We also have, if you can note on the -where you see the parking, parked vehicle in the garage parking space, there is a course of gray brick at the base that helps break up the vertical element and feel for these townhomes as well.

So in short, I think there's a really sensitive touch to the design of these homes to help relate it more to a low scale, lower density overall development and all the buildings -- there is not necessarily one prototype for each building, so it's
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not the same design over and over again, but they have been hand crafted to have some variety, which again, I think breaks up the overall visual aesthetics here, so I think that helps mitigate the three stories and 40 feet.

In terms of the specific feet, as you recall from last meeting, the overall maximum building height that we're requesting a variance for is for 40 feet 8 inches. That occurs in Building 3 which is centered. It's the one, you see the red brick center in the image (indicating). That is actually a very appropriate location.

It mitigates that impact from the sheer excess in height of what would be 5 feet 8 inches on any adjacent property.

And just to remind the board that in terms of massing, again, we have very little impact on any adjacent property. We have a cemetery immediately adjacent to us and two main roads, so -BY MR. TUVEL:
Q. And, Charles, I think one of the board members, it might have been the Chairman at the last meeting, identified that that building that does have that 40-foot-8-inch dimension was several hundred feet away from the nearest residential property,
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correct?
A. Correct, yes.
Substantial distance to help mitigate as well.

So in totality, $I$ think the main impact here is aesthetics. And as we've all discussed and I reiterated tonight, between the landscaping and the design of the structures, I think we've mitigated the impacts of the requested building height variance.

The element of density, as I mentioned before, 12 units, I know there was some comparisons made with respect to other developments in town.

Again, the board should be aware that every use variance application needs to be related to the particular suitability of that property. There really is no comparisons to other property that needs to be made in terms of establishing the case and proof of burden, but we did do a bit of an analysis there.

This is a density as calculated at
12 units per acre. The other zone that permits multifamily does have a maximum of six units per acre. That was referenced in the memo.

And there was another development that
was recently approved and under construction on
LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
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Mountain Boulevard. That was an interesting project.
When it was raised in the memo we did look into some
of the details there. I believe this board did review that application.

So just to recall some -- some detail
that I think differentiates this property, there was
several unique aspects in there. There was three
different properties, property owners, and four different parcels. I think we have a -- we prepared an exhibit just to speak to that $I$ think would be helpful.

MR. TUVEL: So, Steve, this we'll have
to mark as A-4.
MR. WARNER: Yup.
BY MR. TUVEL:
Q. And, Charles, if you could just
identify it for the record and explain who prepared it.
A. Yes, we'll put it up momentarily. I
started speaking about it and then remembered that we did do a quick comparison exhibit.

So, again, there is no design related
with these --
(Whereupon, Property Comparison
Analysis prepared by Mr. Heydt is marked as LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812

Exhibit A-4 for identification.)
MR. WARNER: Sorry, just describe the exhibit and who prepared it first.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
So this is a property comparison analysis prepared by myself. It's utilizing the New Jersey State DEP GIS program where we have recent aerials overlaying with the digital tax parcel file.

So you can see on the right the recency of the photos of the aerials with the -- what I'll refer to as 708 Mountain Boulevard development under construction.

So, in comparison, again, we're dealing with different settings. On the right there,
Mountain Boulevard, you're adjacent to some
commercial uses along Mountain Boulevard (indicating).

To the rear you have single-family homes. That was probably the most unique aspect in my opinion as a planner as to why the densities are different.

In 708 Mountain Boulevard there are
three existing homes that are related to that development, and the approval rested on providing access through the townhouse development into the
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acre, thus increasing the overall unit count and probably making it very comparable to what we're proposing on our property.

Two other elements that we did look into in terms of tax records, there are easements on this property that had to be negotiated.

One was a driveway, a utility easement, as well as a water main easement that further restricted the property from development.

In terms of numbers, the one aspect that we asked ourselves is, well, how does the area compare from these two developments.

So our property, 1375 Plainfield
Avenue, is approximately one point -- 2.1 acres. If you count -- and what we've been doing is referencing the adjacent land along Drift Road which is in the right-of-way but it will not be improved, it will remain as pervious coverage, pervious surface.

If you account for that area, which is approximately .43 , we would have an adjusted density
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of approximately 10 units per acre.
And that's not even considering that the adjacent property is a cemetery.

When we move over to 708, there's --
the single-family home in the rear of Lot 32.01
that's approximately sitting on an acre of land
that's more or less contributing to that
single-family home, you can see in the construction the earthwork there and whatnot. When you net out the single-family home in that acre, you're actually calculating an adjusted density for that development of nine -- approximately nine units per acre.

So in an effort to make a real
apples-to-apples comparison between these two, we're speaking between nine units and ten units an acre, and in my planning experience that's an equivalent density.

It's not the same, but it's in the equivalent neighborhood of level of intensity for the uses.

So with that, we just want to touch on
the density aspect.
BY MR. TUVEL:
Q. So before you get further into the testimony on the positive and negative criteria, on
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the $\mathrm{D}-5$ and the $\mathrm{D}-6$, the Grubs case focusing on a $D-5$, the Grasso case focusing on the D-6, it's your opinion that the site can accommodate the density under the D-5 test based on parking, circulation, traffic, and same with the Grasso test for the height, the site can accommodate the additional several feet of height based on the fact that compliance with parking, compliance with stormwater, minimal traffic impacts; would you say that that's correct?
A. Yes, that's essentially the test. When you look at density and building height, the site accommodation test.
Q. All right, please continue.
A. Okay.

So that's really the guts of the D-1 use variance obviously referencing density and building height.

I will move to some of the other bulk variances. We are requesting setback variances, one for front yard setback, as well as signage setback and signage square footage. I think they're all kind of related because they deal with the appropriate buffering and distances from the main roads.

The board is aware that the minimum LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
setback along Drift Road is being proposed at 12 feet. What is required is $\mathbf{5 0}$ feet.

Again, 50 feet is intended to regulate
a single-family home. In this case we have a 12-foot setback to the property line. Along Drift Road it's approximately -- it varies from either $\mathbf{3 0}$ to $\mathbf{4 0}$ feet, but there is an additional $\mathbf{3 0}$ to $\mathbf{4 0}$ feet of yard that is within the right-of-way to the curb line, so that further helps mitigate that front yard setback variances because it does exist.

And we are providing an appropriate
setback. As was discussed, there are no impacts to sight triangles for anyone stopped at the light on Plainfield Avenue traveling east. We're not encroaching and impacting any safe vehicle traveling along Plainfield Avenue.
Q. So, Charles, so visually from a setback standpoint, I know Ms. Ruskan testified about this earlier, it will look between 40 and 50 -- the homes will look between 40 and 50 feet away from the street if you were a traveling motorist, correct?

## A. Correct.

With respect to the signage, the
required setback is $\mathbf{2 5}$ feet. We're proposing the sign at a setback of 5 feet, and the sign is located LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
along Plainfield Avenue.
And again, even in that location, there
is an additional between eight and 10 feet of additional yard from the property line to the curb line of Plainfield Avenue. That will be maintained and there will be sufficient visibility of the sign, I think that was our most critical aspect in locating the sign, to provide for appropriate visual identify for vehicles traveling along Plainfield Avenue to know the -- know the property and locate it near the main driveway entrance.
Q. Same thing, Charles, with the sign; no sight distance issues, no obstructions, it's perfectly and safely within an appropriate location for motorists to be able to leave the site or come into the site, correct?
A. Correct.

And lastly, with the sign, the size,
again, one square foot is permitted for a single-family home. What's being proposed is 20 square feet. We actually took lead from other townhouse developments which do propose a 20-square-foot sign, so we scaled it in comparison to those tow nhouse requirements.
Q. And you feel that that is a sufficient
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amount for motorists who see it to be able to identify the site and know where to turn?
A. Correct, correct.

And we did, we did present sign
details, so the sign will be designed to be
consistent with the overall architecture of the property and also have some base landscaping.

The other two bulk variances that I wanted to address would be related to maximum building coverage and maximum lot coverage. The maximum building coverage in the rural residential district is $\mathbf{1 5}$ percent.

Again, that's for a single-family home. The maximum lot coverage is $\mathbf{2 5}$ percent. So naturally, these are types of variances that I would say are subsumed and directly related to the type of use.

With respect to that, we are proposing a building coverage of $\mathbf{2 4}$ percent of the overall property and a lot coverage of what was previously 64 percent and now has been increased to 66 percent.
Those are all related to the fact that we have multiple structures on the property. This is a townhouse cluster development where we have clusters of townhomes, groupings, and four total buildings.

LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
201-641-1812
66

We also have appropriate driveway aisles and courts, as we referenced, as well as surface parking to provide for the necessary parking to be accommodated on the site.

So that all amounts to the need for an increase in building coverage and an increase in lot coverage beyond what's permitted in the zone.
Q. And, again, the coverages would be a lot less if we included the right-of-way area in our calculations, but we are not.

## A. Correct.

No, we are -- we are required to request that maximum based on the property size.

I should also point out that with
respect to building coverage, we are decreasing the building coverage compared to existing conditions.

So currently the existing conditions on the property is approximately $\mathbf{7 0}$ percent. With the reduction of four percent we're proposing a 66 percent building coverage.

So, again, that's an improvement in and of itself.

I will also address stormwater as it
relates to the negative impact of negative criteria.
The one last bit of testimony on the private -- on
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the positive criteria relates to Municipal Land Use Law. There are special reasons that you need to address in terms of why this proposed project advances the Municipal Land Use Law.

I will run through a few as I see them from a planning standpoint. First off, Purpose $A$, to guide appropriate development in the State of New Jersey. The action by this board in reviewing this application is definitely aimed at identifying an appropriate location for an appropriate use.

So if this board were to approve the application, it would be furthering Purpose $\mathbf{A}$.
$I$ also believe we're furthering
Purpose $C$, to provide for adequate light, air and open space to surrounding properties consistent in the State of New Jersey given the context.

So in this setting, we are maintaining safe distances between buildings, as was mentioned earlier and in the architect's testimony. We are also providing substantial setbacks from the curb line, as was mentioned, in term of the front yard setback variances.

And in terms of impact on any massing to adjacent properties, again, we have two main roads and a cemetery so there's, in my opinion, very little LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
impact from the massing of the structures.
So we don't have -- we are providing
adequate light and air in the surrounding context.
Another aspect is Purpose $E$, which is
the establishment of appropriate densities I do believe that this is an appropriate scale development that's being proposed. I do have a little bit of information as it relates to other aspects of establishing appropriate populations and densities as it relates to the Master Plan and the Zone Plan, so I'll get into that in a little bit.

Lastly, Purpose $I$ is to promote a
desirable visual environment through creating design techniques. It's been a pleasure working with this project team. I can stand firm in saying that we have designed a desirable environment that does promote high quality aesthetics in the overall area, given the mix of materials, the arrangement of the material for the buildings, as well as the landscaping that's being provided on this development.

I think we're going to bring up the
rendering.
Q. Yeah, Charles, I just wanted to -- just
a few follow-ups on the positive criteria before we
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switch over.
And a lot of these might also apply to the negative, meeting the negative criteria as well?

How does providing the affordable housing at an excess of 20 percent, does that support, buttress, your analysis on the affordable housing -- on the positive criteria, and if so, how?
A. So, yes, I was saving the discussion on affordable housing for the Master Plan because it does relate to that, but we do have an opportunity to implement the borough's ordinance that requires a development like this to provide affordable housing for future residents in Watchung, and that is definitely a positive aspect of this overall development.

So we are proposing the six affordable units that are going to be integrated into the overall development that's being proposed.
Q. Would that further also Purpose A that's promoting the general welfare?
A. Yes, yes, it does promote the general welfare. Affordable housing and housing in general is a large issue in the state. You're finding it more common for adaptive reuse and infill for older, outdated properties in suburban settings to provide
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for opportunities for either smaller households or younger professionals who are still looking to stay within the state, stay close within suburban settings such as Watchung, and to access all the commercial businesses and opportunities for jobs within the area.
Q. Okay. And the fact that we're upgrading the stormwater management, having a low-traffic generator in terms of the use and providing substantial landscaping and vegetation, how does that play into the positive criteria analysis for you?
A. Yes, so all of those aspects are improving upon existing conditions. And with respect to stormwater, we are upgrading the existing stormwater basin into a bio-retention basin, so that will meet the current stormwater rules in the State of New J ersey.

With respect to traffic -- and, again, $I$ understand the building is vacant so there is no traffic generated today, but in operation, comparatively, the proposed trips from a residential use are far less than what would be generated by a fully operational office building or any of the other -- well, I think we can just keep it at a fully
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operational office building because that's what's existing today.

So, yeah, there are improvements with
respect to stormwater and traffic as well.
Q. Does the EV charging station
requirement by statute, as well as the board's
request for that additional conduits that would be installed, make ready within the garages, does that play into your analysis of the positive criteria as well?
A. Yes, it is a requirement. We are going above and beyond that by providing the make ready spaces in the garages, as well as the provisions for future solar with providing the electrical setup for any future solar installations.

So that is aimed at being more sustainable, reducing the demand for fossil fuel, fossil fuel vehicles for future tenants.
Q. Great. Okay.

Why don't you address the negative?
A. Okay, so I will shift gears to get into some of the negative criteria.

This board is aware there's two prongs.
And thank you for bearing with me. I know planners LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
201-641-1812
get a bad rap for talking a lot, but we did review the negative criteria with a very keen sense because we know there is a sensitive nature about this application. It's in a very notable intersection between Plainfield Avenue and Drift Road.

With respect to the first prong, no substantial detriment to the general welfare, the main issue that we did hear testimony on was with respect to traffic.

So as we just mentioned, in some of the positive aspects that we're reducing traffic, I also think we're mitigating that traffic impact by providing sufficient off-street parking for the future tenants, so we won't have any potential need for vehicles to park elsewhere in the vicinity and walk to this, this will be a fully accommodated site with respect to off-street parking.

As it relates to trips, I just wanted to reiterate that we are estimating a total maximum peak hour trips of 14 . That's the p.m. peak hour. In the a.m., the peak would be 11 total trips. And that was borne out of calculations done by the project's traffic engineer, Mr. Staigar.

With respect to aesthetics, I think we were pretty thorough in establishing that we are
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reducing aesthetic impacts with respect to landscaping, the design of the buildings and whatnot.

Lastly, we're going one step beyond in
terms of promoting the general welfare by providing new housing opportunities, and this is in two forms. It's with single-family townhouses that are more affordable than single-family detached homes.

I usually reference these, and I see it often, that people who enjoy the borough, who enjoy the overall area, are able to move in. They may not have kids, they might be working professionals, and then they're able to understand the neighborhoods, what homes they might want to buy in the further; so it's really a stepping path for future homes.

So smaller households will be able to enjoy being residents of Watchung.

Also, you do have empty-nesters who are
looking to downsize, that's a common trend, and still stay within the state, not necessarily have to move out because of increasing housing costs and all of that.

And, lastly, the affordable aspect. I think this, this project does not serve as a detriment ut also as a benefit to the general welfare by providing the affordable housing.
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The last topic, so it's always nice when I get to say the last topic, is the substantial impairment of the Zone Plan and Zoning Ordinance.

So there's an enhanced burden with use variances and we just want to be clear that we did take time to review the Master Plan and the Zoning Ordinance as it relates to this project.

First and foremost, it's a residential use. It's generally a low-intensity use. That is consistent with the residential use that rural residential district permits.

Obviously we're talking single-family homes and multifamily homes, so the intensity is more, but the use category residential is appropriate. We're not contemplating an industrial property, we're not contemplating self-storage or any other more intense commercial property with this application.

So I think we are consistent with the overall use for this zone.

In reviewing the Master Plan there aren't any specific references to this property, but I did want to relate a few elements.

First and foremost, impervious surface coverage was discussed for residential properties.
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The Master Plan recommended considering impervious coverage requirements in residential districts. That obviously has been implemented with this project. We're meeting the state stormwater rules by providing the upgraded biosystem. We're also decreasing impervious coverage and increasing overall landscaping on the property which helps absorb the surface stormwater runoff.

Another aspect of the Master Plan
touched on population, and this is really what generated some of the discussion on household size. There is a fact from the Master Plan that the population in Watchung is aging faster than both Somerset County and the state.

So what that means is that households overall in terms of populations are, their average ages are older rather than younger.

It's clear that in a setting like this, in a suburban setting, that most younger households, younger people are looking to move out. Likely one of those factors is the available provision of affordable housing and more efficient housing, so this opportunity with this project does aim at addressing the aging population.

Two-fold because, as I mentioned LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
earlier, any older individuals who are looking to downsize, who don't need the space of a single-family detached house, now have an opportunity to rent -- to live in one of these townhouses.

The last two elements of the Master Plan speak to affordable housing, and that's really what I wanted to hone in on, on the no substantial impairment to the zone plans.

In 2018, the borough did a vacant land use analysis and that kind of sets up what the borough has to offer in terms of development opportunities to provide affordable housing.

And I will quote from the Master Plan from that inventory.
"Most of the vacant lots are affected by one or more significant development constraints such as a lack of sanitary sewers, lack of road frontage and/ or presence of steep slopes, floodplains or wetlands."

## So in this instance, we have an

 opportunity with this property that wasn't included in vacant land because it was improved with the office building to accommodate housing and affordable housing on a property that does have sewer and water infrastructure, has appropriate frontage, has -- doesLAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
not have presence of steep slopes, so we're not in any sort of environmentally-challenged property, or floodplains or wetlands.

So I think this is a testament to another opportunity aimed at transitioning vacant property, underutilized property, to appropriate development.

And, lastly, I think it's an important aspect to reiterate, with respect to the affordable housing, in 2019 the borough did prepare its Affordable Housing Element and Fair Share Plan. That plan referenced the borough ordinance, it's called the borough set-aside, which does require a certain percentage of overall units in an instance of a use variance application to be provided as affordable units.

We're meeting that requirement with this application, and thus, not -- for not establishing a project as impairment of the Zone Plan but in reverse, we're actually implementing the intent of the Zoning Ordinance.

That's the direct testimony related to the variances. I should note we do have three design waivers. Ms. Ruskan covered these in her initial testimony. These are four, just to reiterate, LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812

24-foot-wide drive aisle.
And lastly, the design waiver for setback, parking setback to buildings is a requirement of 6 feet is required and 4 feet is proposed.

Again, this only occurs in certain locations where landscaping and sidewalks are provided throughout the property.

Those design waivers, in my opinion, do meet the threshold that they're practical alternatives that are reasonable in terms of achieving the same design intent, and I can see how those would be granted along with this application as well.

With that, I hope the board members are LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
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still with me. I hope members of the public understood my testimony and I'd be happy to answer any questions.
Q. Just a few things, Charles.

So just from a big picture standpoint, your testimony is geared towards this property in terms of its unique characteristics, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Like this property, in your
professional opinion as a planner, has very neat and distinct characteristics over other properties that it lends itself to meet the particular suitability standard?
A. I do, yes. I think I have highlighted a number of different characteristics of the property, consistency with the surrounding properties as well as the site accommodation.
Q. And the site, in your professional opinion, can accommodate the height deviation based on the Grasso test, based on parking, stormwater, traffic; all the things that you mentioned during your testimony?
A. Correct, I do.
Q. Okay. And then in terms of the
negative criteria, the project as proposed with
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respect to the use and the bulk standards and the
bulk variances won't have any negative impacts on stormwater management in your opinion?
A. No, I think we're making substantial improvements with the design.
Q. Okay. It won't have any negative impacts with respect to traffic?
A. Again, I think the proposed development is actually going to be less intense related to a fully operational commercial.
Q. And won't have any negative impacts with respect to parking?
A. Parking, I think, is one of the most sensitive elements to this application. All of the townhouses, we'll provide two dedicated parking spaces, the stacked flats will have surface parking spaces, and we exceed the state standards for parking.
Q. And in terms of adjacent properties, you don't see any negative impacts to the adjacent properties, again based on the unique positioning of this lot?
A. Correct.

There's only one immediately adjacent property which is the cemetery, and obviously that's LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
a traditionally impassive use, and I don't see any impacts on this proposed development on that property.
Q. In terms of the second prong of the negative criteria, substantial impairment to the Zone Plan and Zoning Ordinance, I won't make you reiterate your testimony.

Based on all the testimony that you provided, you believe that we do meet that standard and that -- as well as that reconciliation test under the Medici criteria.
A. Correct. I think we took a little bit of a deep dive, $I$ hope the board stayed with me on the Zoning Ordinance and Master Plan review, but I don't believe we pose any substantial impairment to the Zone Plan or any detriment to the general welfare.
Q. Okay. And as to the C variances, is it your testimony that the benefits of granting those C variances would substantially outweigh any detriments?
A. Yes.

I didn't reference the burden of proof for $C$ variances. I do think that the flexible test is appropriate in this instance, given we're
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proposing a full design for the site, but also as I mentioned earlier and I echoed throughout, all the bulk variances are really related to the fact that this is a use variance application. The requirements aren't intended to regulate development.
Q. And, therefore, they would be subsumed in the use variance based on the Price vs. Himeji case?

## A. Correct.

Q. But, nonetheless, you still went through the test and you believe that the C-2 criteria is met?
A. Yes, yes.
Q. Great.

And in terms of this being a vacant
office building that was tried to be re-tenanted by
the applicant and was unsuccessful in doing so, you believe that repurposing or redeveloping this site which is a vacant office building has a benefit to the community?
A. Yes. There are many benefits, not only in terms of the design but the overall use and the activation of the property, bringing this back online, it allows to the tax base, it will provide for a variety of housing options for further tenants. LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
Q. Okay. Thank you very much. MR. TUVEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and
Members of the Board, for your patience.
We just had to get a lot on the record with the planning testimony. I think it's important for the board to hear.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Thank you.
Real quick, I had some housekeeping for
Mr. Warner. There was a letter from the fire department, there was a letter from the Environmental Commission and there was a memo from Mr. Stires.

That's all a part of the record; is that correct, Mr. Warner?

MR. WARNER: That's correct.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Okay. And
then last --
MR. WARNER: It's also my understanding that the applicant, and maybe the applicant can confirm, that the applicant is stipulating, as conditions of approval should the board approve the application, to the items listed in the
aforementioned memos.
MR. TUVEL: I believe we stipulated to
work with the fire official to get his or her sign-off.
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That's correct.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: And one
more question, Mr. Warner. Should we put it on the record that Dr. Steinfeld has listened to the tapes, he had connection problem the last presentation and so he has listened to the tapes and he is eligible to vote today.

MR. WARNER: That's my understanding. I believe I even saw the certification from Theresa, but he's still -- there he is.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: He's there,
yep.
MR. WARNER: He can reconfirm same on the record.

Is that correct, Dr. Steinfeld.
DR. STEINFELD: Absolutely correct.
MR. WARNER: Thank you, yes, we do have a full complement of seven board members to hear and decide this $D$ variance application requiring five -out of seven for passage.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Thank you.
I should have taken care of that earlier, I just wanted to mention it.

Okay. Having said that, I will open it up to members of the board who have any questions for
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this witness.
DR. STEINFELD: Yes, I have some questions I'd like to ask.

Could you please clarify the path for vehicles entering and then leaving the property?

It's my understanding that all traffic will enter and exit off of Plainfield Avenue.

THE WITNESS: Correct, yes.
That is the main driveway. It's a two-way driveway, two-way directional drive aisle, driveway curb cut.

There is also an emergency access that was coordinated with the DOT and the Borough to Drift Road.

But, again, that's only in emergency and it's a engineered lawn grid that will also be pervious.

DR. STEINFELD: Okay.
So now when a vehicle exits the property and wants to make a left turn, they have to be concerned with traffic coming down and up Diamond Hill Road.

Is that correct?
MR. TUVEL: That was dealt with in the traffic testimony, and I believe Mr. Staigar
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1 indicated that in the peak hours the trips were so minimal that there would be no negative impacts and that all the Levels of Service at the driveways would operate safely and efficiently. I don't -- we did cover that, I believe, at the last meeting.

If you didn't hear that, I apologize, but Mr. Staigar did cover all that.

DR. STEINFELD: Okay, but I can anticipate difficult making a left turn off of Diamond Hill Road coming up from Valley Road into the property, you know, during peak traffic hours.

And even though there is not, you know, excessive traffic from residents of the property, you've still got, you know, excessive -- during rush hours there is a lot of traffic going up and down Diamond Hill Road that the residents are going to have to contend with.

MR. TUVEL: Yes.
I could have Mr. Staigar reiterate, but his testimony was compared to retaining the office space, the traffic would be substantially less and there wouldn't be even close to the amount of trips coming in and out of the driveway or conflicting with any other cars on the roadway.

But if you -- Mr. Staigar is on and
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when we're done with Mr. Heydt I could have him answer that question if you'd me to.

MS. FECHTNER: May I correct, it's not
Diamond Hill Road, it's Plainfield Avenue.
DR. STEINFELD: Right, right.
Absolutely. I am sorry.
Okay. But the other thing is even though there's little coming in and out onto the property, if there is a lot of traffic, one car waiting to make a left turn coming up Plainfield Avenue can set off a major -- well, more than a minor traffic --

THE WITNESS: I can respond generally from a planning standpoint. One of the beneficial attributes of this property is that we are close to a signalized intersection, so by virtue of that signal, it will regulate travel flows and there will be breaks in the traffic at all hours of the day so a vehicle who is looking to make a left will benefit from vehicles who are either stopped waiting for the light to turn green so they can proceed east on Plainfield Avenue and that would naturally give a vehicle with a turning signal an appropriate opportunity to make a left into the building.

That's an existing condition today.
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The office building operated with that left-turn movement into the driveway. We are just going to be experiencing a reduced number of those movements now. Substantially reduced numbers.

So, again, relating it back to the existing operations of that driveway and turning movements, the conditions will actually improve.

MR. WARNER: Mr. Chairman, if I can add -- and I apologize if I'm interrupting Dr. Steinfeld's flow of questioning, it's not my intention, but just to stay on that one topic, benefit the board, the traffic engineering testimony that was heard by Mr. Staigar, that is the only expert traffic testimony the board has heard. Correct?

MR. TUVEL: That's correct, Mr. Warner.
MR. WARNER: And the site plan approval for this particular site in and of itself primarily focuses on ingress, egress and site circulation, off-site traffic only comes into play with respect to two variances, correct?

MR. TUVEL: Correct.
And also the off-site traffic would be regulated by DOT and the county, based on the fact that these are -- the abutting roads are DOT and
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county roadways.
MR. WARNER: Okay.
But Dr. Steinfeld is, to at least an extent, asking about ingress/egress, correct?

DR. STEINFELD: Exactly.
MR. WARNER: Well, thank you, Doctor.
I was actually positing it to applicant's counsel and the witness.

But, yes, I think it was a rhetorical question. So I'll leave it at that. Just wanted to get some clarity to the context of the questions and what may and may not be the parameters of the board's authority with regard to same.

MR. TUVEL: Yes.
And I'll ask Mr. Heydt just also as --
if this site is going to be redeveloped, this is probably, from a traffic standpoint, the least intense use that it could be redeveloped with, correct?

THE WITNESS: Certainly.
Residential uses are of a less intense
than the overall uses that could be discussed for the property, and certainly any other commercial use.

MR. TUVEL: Okay.
DR. STEINFELD: Also I understand that
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25 stipulating to ensuring that the fire official signs
in a previous meeting you addressed the availability of emergency vehicles on the site, and has anybody calculated how many emergency vehicles, you know, the size of, say, a fire engine would be able to function on that site at the same time if necessary?

MR. TUVEL: We -- we -- our engineer, I believe, and the board engineer -- actually I'm not sure of the board engineer, but we spoke to the fire official regarding fire trucks access and modeled the fire truck access on the property.

DR. STEINFELD: Okay. And -MR. TUVEL: And as Mr. Warner indicated, Dr. Steinfeld, this approval, if it were approved, it would be conditioned on the satisfaction of meeting the fire official's approval and I guess other emergency entities as well, whether it be ambulance or police, et cetera.

MR. WARNER: And in particular, you're referencing the February 20, 2022 memo of our office of fire prevention -- bear with me -- and our fire official, Christopher Czuba.

And all the items contained within that
five-page report you're stipulating to, correct.
MR. TUVEL: We're -- yes, we're
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off on the project.
That's correct.
MR. WARNER: And everything in -- all
the comments and requirements set forth in the
February 2, 2022 fire official site plan review letter, correct?

MR. TUVEL: Yes, they will be
addressed. That's correct. To his satisfaction, you're correct.

MR. WARNER: Okay. Thank you.
I'm sorry, Dr. Steinfeld.
DR. STEINFELD: Okay.
MR. WARNER: I want to get him on the record for that one.

Please go ahead.
DR. STEINFELD: All right. But like he's saying is that nobody has really determined what the maximum number of emergency vehicles would be in case there was some catastrophe occurring on that site.

MR. TUVEL: I mean, all I could say is
that our professionals, and I know that the application materials are given to all the appropriate emergency services.

We've met with the fire official, which
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is typical, but as a condition if the board feels -and we'd be happy to do it, met with police and EMT and fire, we're happy to do that.

But we're comfortable that the site is designed per RSIS standards and would meet all the applicable requirements and code requirement as well.

Sorry, Steve.
Go ahead.
MR. WARNER: No, no, no, go ahead. I think you're probably going to say what I'm going to say.

Go ahead.
MR. TUVEL: No, I was going to say, RSIS contemplates these issues, which is why we're RSIS compliant on these types of issues and why also we have the second emergency access as well.

So the Residential Site Improvement Standards which govern, Dr. Steinfeld, the issues that you're appropriately bringing up, we have abided by. That's what I wanted to say.

MR. WARNER: Right.
And my question was going to be is
there anything more theoretically possible that an applicant can do than to comply with the fire
official, police and other emergency services
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09:10PM 1 | providers' requirements, as well as the RSIS | 09:12PM 1 | blast. |
| 09:10PM 2 | requirements. | 09:12PM 2 | MS. FECHTNER: Well, let me see if I |
| 09:10PM 3 | What else is there? | 09:12PM 3 | can get closer to my -- |
| 09:10PM 4 | MR. TUVEL: Right. | 09:12PM 4 | MR. TUVEL: We can hear you now. |
| 09:10PM 5 | No, that's all we can do, Counsel. | 09:12PM 5 | MS. FECHTNER: Is this better for you? |
| 09:10Рм 6 | DR. STEINFELD: Okay. I have one final | 09:12PM 6 | MR. TUVEL: Much better, much better. |
| 09:10PM 7 | question. | 09:12PM 7 | MS. FECHTNER: Okay. These are not |
| 09:10PM 8 | MR. TUVEL: Sure. | 09:12PM 8 | major things, but I'm very pleased about the electric |
| 09:10PM 9 | DR. STEINFELD: And that is, what is | 09:12PM 9 | charging stations being built in. I'm trying to be a |
| 09:10pm 10 | the total number of parking spaces available for | 09:12PM 10 | future-focused person. |
| 09:10Рм 11 | guests on the entire property? | 09:12PM 11 | Now, that was number one. |
| 09:10Рм 12 | THE WITNESS: So yes, we have that. | 09:12PM 12 | Number two, I saw your very attractive |
| 09:11pm 13 | The requirements is .5 , so the total, it would be 14 , | 09:13PM 13 | pictures of a woman pushing a baby carriage, somebody |
| 09:11PM 14 | but we are providing a total of 25 surface spaces | 09:13PM 14 | else on the sidewalk. Having had a lot of experience |
| 09:11pM 15 | that will be utilized -- that could be utilized for | 09:13Рм 15 | with our, we call them pathways in Watchung, as I |
| 09:11PM 16 | guests. | 09:13Pм 16 | said I'm on the committee, we talked about |
| 09:11pM 17 | DR. STEINFELD: Okay, 25. | 09:13PM 17 | maintaining them. |
| 09:11pm 18 | MR. TUVEL: Yes, so we have more than | 09:13PM 18 | And there was a resolution there in how |
| 09:11PM 19 | the required guest parking that is required under | 09:13Рм 19 | they were going to be maintained that didn't happen |
| 09:11pM 20 | RSIS. | 09:13Pм 20 | at all. |
| 09:11PM 21 | DR. STEINFELD: Okay. Thank you. | 09:13Pм 21 | Is there a way of putting into the |
| 09:11pm 22 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Looks to me | 09:13Pм 22 | community maintenance plan that when these things |
| 09:11PM 23 | like Dr. Steinfeld's frozen for a second. | 09:13Pм 23 | break down, I see you're putting that Belgian curbing |
| 09:11pM 24 | Okay, there we go. | 09:13PM 24 | on the side, so the concrete is going to wear and |
| 11Pм 25 | DR. STEINFELD: I'm finished. | 09:13Pм 25 | it's going to crack in winter. |
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| 09:11PM 1 | MR. TUVEL: Thank you, Dr. Steinfeld. | 09:13PM 1 | And then before you know it, nobody is |
| 09:11PM 2 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I think | 09:13PM 2 | taking care of them. And, you know, that is a |
| 09:11PM 3 | Mrs. Fechtner has some questions. | 09:13PM 3 | concern of mine. |
| 09:11PM 4 | MS. FECHTNER: Well, I'm curious, I | 09:13PM 4 | MR. TUVEL: So all I would say is I |
| 09:11PM | don't recall the bulk coverage of the office building | 09:13PM 5 | don't know if those previous communities had approved |
| 09:11PM 6 | being 70 percent and you're coming back 66 -- is that | 09:13PM 6 | site plans based on, you know, when they were built, |
| 09:11PM 7 | what we did, we gave 70 percent of the office? | 09:13PM 7 | but with an approved site plan we have to keep the |
| 09:11PM 8 | MR. TUVEL: Yes. | 09:13PM 8 | property in the exact condition as the board approves |
| 09:11PM 9 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, the office building | 09:14PM 9 | it. That's one. |
| 09:11pm 10 | was built in the '80s, and by today's standards it is | 09:14PM 10 | But I have no problem if Mr. Warner |
| 09:12PM 11 | not sustainable, meaning that the building and | 09:14PM 11 | wanted to write a condition into the resolution that |
| 09:12PM 12 | surface parking are impervious coverage and they have | 09:14PM 12 | all pathways, sidewalks, you know, have to be |
| 09:12PM 13 | limited landscaping on the property, so we are making | 09:14PM 13 | maintained in the proper condition to the |
| 09:12PM 14 | substantial improvements with the proposed design. | 09:14PM 14 | satisfaction of the board engineer. |
| 09:12PM 15 | MS. FECHTNER: That's the first time | 09:14PM 15 | I have no issue with that whatsoever. |
| 09:12PM 16 | I've been aware of that, so that, to me, was an | 09:14PM 16 | MS. FECHTNER: It cleans it up for |
| 09:12PM 17 | important factor | 09:14PM 17 | years down the road. Yes, somebody wants to say |
| 09:12PM 18 | Now, I just have some housekeeping | 09:14PM 18 | something? |
| 09:12PM 19 | things that might be included in -- when this thing | 09:14PM 19 | MR. TUVEL: And also, Ms. Fechtner, if |
| 09:12PM 20 | is passed. | 09:14PM 20 | I could -- again, Steve, could I just have |
| 09:12PM 21 | Number one, I would like to see the electric charge. | 09:14PM 21 | Mr. Chrismer address it as well from the applicant's |
| 09:12PM 22 |  | 09:14PM 22 | perspective? |
| 09:12PM 23 | Can you see those? | 09:14PM 23 | Is that okay? |
| 09:12PM 24 | MR. WARNER: I'm sorry, I can barely | 09:14PM 24 | MR. WARNER: Discretion of the Chair, |
| 09:12PM 25 | hear Board Member Fechtner and I have mine on full | 09:14PM 25 | always. |
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ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Absolutely, please.

MR. TUVEL: Go ahead, Noah.
MR. CHRISMER: Thanks, Jason.
And, Ms. Fechtner, we do this routinely
and we're very happy to do it here. We're happy to
enter into a developer agreement that would -- and to
make covenant that will operate the property wholly
as a Class A property. That would include
maintenance of all of the driveways, of the sidewalks.

But in addition, the stormwater management facilities and the landscaping.

So we're happy to do that. We have that same interest as you.

MS. FECHTNER: I know you have it. And
where I'm coming from with this application is thinking of people living there.

And, yes, I do have the concern of people coming up Springfield Avenue and making that left turn. We've done it before. They have to do it into Stonegate. It is a problem. We can't make that nice wide road with a dedicated turning route, I know that, so I am not even questioning that.

But the other thing, I know you're
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going to do but you need your outside water supply to water those lovely plants and green grass.

MR. CHRISMER: Certainly. Certainly. And I --

MS. FECHTNER: -- and I thought okay, just question to make sure that didn't get away from me.

MR. CHRISMER: Yes, and I'll make one final statement.

I think that a covenant like that would run with the land. So 50 years from now, that same covenant will hold, right.

MS. FECHTNER: Nothing to me, I'm living in my house 67 years so...

UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: It will hold 67 years.

MS. FECHTNER: Okay. Just a question,
it's not going to matter but I'm curious, how wide is
the entrance as people come off Plainfield Avenue?
How wide is that?
MR. TUVEL: Charles, do you know the
answer to that?
THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
question?
MR. TUVEL: Ms. Fechtner, you wanted to
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question and the concern. I think the future location of any bus stop will be off to the side, not near this drive aisle or driveway and curb cut.

MS. FECHTNER: I'm sure -- I'm not
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know how wide the entrance is on Plainfield?
MS. FECHTNER: Yeah, it doesn't flare open right there, does it?

THE WITNESS: It's a normal design
where like the drive aisle would be 24 feet, but then
it is flared out to the curb for appropriate
circulation and turning radius.
MS. FECHTNER: Okay.
Where I'm going with that again is the clearance and we have eight, ten children -- a lot of three-bedroom apartments and I predict we will have children there, I want to make sure they're not -- as people are getting impatient to get to work. Because people going out of the property will also have to cut into traffic if they're going towards Berkeley Heights, so they get a little impatient, you know, we kind of gun it when we see there's a break.

So if you're flaring and then kids have
a place to not stand right in the middle of the street but be safer.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand the
sure, I'm not on the board anymore so I don't know
what -- the bus, but yes, these are things that I
think make quality of life and safety available.
And that's on an everyday level -that's it.

MR. TUVEL: Agreed.
MS. FECHTNER: I've got my little notes and I've got my answers. Thank you.

And you did a tremendous job in your presentation.

MR. TUVEL: Appreciate that.
Thank you, Ms. Fechtner.
MS. FECHTNER: It's hard to continue -but thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Does anyone else have questions?

MR. WARNER: Board Member Taraschi
might, but he's on mute.
MR. TARASCHI: I'm sorry that I was on mute so nobody would hear me, I apologize.

Getting a four-year old to go to bed.
MR. WARNER: What's the truck? No, never mind.

MR. TARASCHI: I wish I knew.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Any other
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| 1 | board members have questions for this witness? | 09:20PM 1 | THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. |
| 2 | DR. STEINFELD: Yes, I do. | 09:20PM 2 | MR. TUVEL: Yes, Steve. |
| 3 | Has any decision been made on whether | 09:20PM 3 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: How high is |
| 4 | or not these properties are going to be rentals or | 09:20PM 4 | that sign, the one that we need the variance for? |
| 5 | they will be for sale, and if not, when do you | 09:20РM 5 | MR. TUVEL: I believe that complies. |
| 6 | anticipate announcing that? | 09:20PM 6 | There was no standard, but -- so the architect is |
| 7 | MR. TUVEL: Okay, I'll let Mr. Chrismer | 09:20PM 7 | saying that it's a 3-foot sign on a 1-foot masonry |
| 8 | answer that again as the applicant. I think he's the | 09:20PM 8 | base. So it's 4 feet in total off the ground. |
| 9 | best person to answer that question. | 09:20РM 9 | MR. WARNER: The height's not the |
| 10 | MR. CHRISMER: Doctor, we have not yet | 09:20PM 10 | problem, but the square footage of the sign is |
| 11 | made that decision and that decision, I think, would | 09:20pm 11 | because a single-family dwelling sign is a lot |
| 09:19PM 12 | come likely, you know, these homes, when they're | 09:20Рм 12 | smaller. |
| 13 | build out -- I'm just going to give you an estimate | 09:20pm 13 | MR. TUVEL: Correct, correct, correct. |
| 14 | here -- as Charles has mentioned, the site's already | 09:20Рм 14 | That's right. |
| 15 | improved. | 09:20Рм 15 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I was |
| 16 | I would estimate the build-out of these | 09:20Рм 16 | asking because since we are giving variance for the |
| 17 | homes would start to finish will take no longer than | 09:20РM 17 | square footage, I'd like to know how high it was and |
| 18 | two years, sir. | 09:21PM 18 | -- okay. |
| 19 | And a decision would be made sometime | 09:21PM 19 | You gave testimony that Stonegate was |
| 20 | during that timeframe. Marketing for these, whether | 09:21PM 20 | 41 units, I was shocked, I didn't realize it was that |
| 21 | as for sale or rental, would likely start to occur as | 09:21PM 21 | big. And then the other unit, Berkeley Square, is |
| 22 | -- if for sale, when the first sort of block of homes | 09:21PM 22 | 37. |
| 23 | came available and we were able to have adequate and | 09:21PM 23 | I pass this property pretty much every |
| 24 | safe access there. | 09:21PM 24 | day, I have almost never seen anyone coming out of |
| 25 | And for rental units, some -- you know, | 09:21PM 25 | Stonegate, and I don't see a traffic issue there. |
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| 1 | three to six months in advance of when you'd be | 09:21PM 1 | Taking a left, it is something that I did consider, |
| 2 | wanting to rent them in the market. | 09:21PM 2 | Dr. Steinfeld, as well, you know, would you be able |
| 09:19PM 3 | So some time, if the board were to | 09:21PM 3 | to take a left or a right. |
| 09:19PM 4 | grant approval, we would likely move forward with the | 09:21PM 4 | But, having, you know, gone by this |
| 09:19PM 5 | project upon getting all the rest of the entitlements | 09:21PM 5 | pretty often, I don't see that being an issue for |
| 09:19PM 6 | that we need to get, and then would start | 09:21PM 6 | those two properties, so I don't foresee that being |
| 09:19PM 7 | construction shortly thereafter and make the decision | 09:21PM 7 | an issue for this property. |
| 09:19PM 8 | within that timeframe as we're constructing the | 09:21PM 8 | Do we know what the density is on those |
| 09:19PM 9 | homes, sir. | 09:21PM 9 | properties per square foot by any chance? |
| 09:19Pm 10 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Anyone else | 09:21pM 10 | THE WITNESS: No, the properties are |
| 09:19PM 11 | have any questions? | 09:21PM 11 | unique in shape, so I wasn't able to get an easy |
| 09:19PM 12 | MS. FECHTNER: What about your signage? | 09:21PM 12 | calculation of area. |
| 09:19Pm 13 | To me it's critical that people know in advance so | 09:21PM 13 | But they're similar in terms of, like, |
| 09:19PM 14 | that they can see it. | 09:21PM 14 | clustered attached townhouses in both settings. |
| 09:19Pм 15 | Is this lit for people to come home in | 09:22PM 15 | The Berkeley Square development had |
| 09:20Рм 16 | the winter after 5:00 when it's dark? I'm going to | 09:22PM 16 | some two and also some three-story structures, just |
| 09:20Рм 17 | -- safety aspect. | 09:22PM 17 | in terms of comparing it, so it was consistent in |
| 09:20Рм 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am, adequately -- | 09:22PM 18 | terms of scale and design. |
| 09:20Рм 19 | I think the testimony from our engineer Patty was | 09:22PM 19 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: And you |
| 09:20Рм 20 | certainly it is lit. It's adequately lit. It's not | 09:22PM 20 | talked a lot about all the trees you're going to |
| 09:20Рм 21 | internally lit. It's externally lit. And that's | 09:22PM 21 | plant. Is the net result of this going to be more |
| 09:20Рм 22 | part of the borough standards. | 09:22PM 22 | trees on the property when you're done than there are |
| 09:20Рм 23 | MR. WARNER: If I recall correctly, | 09:22PM 23 | currently? |
| 09:20Рм 24 | both sides, the signage is on both sides and lit on | 09:22PM 24 | THE WITNESS: Substantially more. |
| 09:20Рм 25 | both sides, correct? | 09:22PM 25 | The current property is an office |
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building and surface parking. We are --
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Because
you're going to take some down, but you're going to
be putting a bunch up, correct?
THE WITNESS: Correct.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Okay. And
I think you gave testimony, I just want to verify,
that the landscaping, as part of your covenant, will
be maintained so that those trees and all of that
will not die and --
MR. TUVEL: That's correct,
Mr. Chairman.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Excellent.
That's the questions I had. Does
anybody else have any questions?
(No response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Hearing no
one...
MR. WARNER: Mr. Chairman, I have a few
if none of the board members --
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: All right.
MR. WARNER: Once all the board members
are --
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: You want to
do the public first or you want to go first? You can
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go first.
MR. WARNER: Well, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'll jump in, and my first one is for Mr. Stires actually.

And that is, Dave, is there any
timeframe when the borough needs to know whether it's
going to be for sale versus rental units; i.e., how
long prior to any building permits or COs and the like?

Is there anything from your perspective
or from your end on that?
MR. STIRES: Not that I'm aware of, no.
MR. WARNER: So what the applicants
have offered which is a fair, a period prior to final COs would be sufficient?

MR. STIRES: You're asking me? Yeah.
MR. WARNER: I'm asking you, Dave.
MR. STIRES: Yeah, you know, my
question would be whether or not that has to be
stipulated in the developer's agreement in any
situation. I don't know that.
MR. WARNER: Yeah.
Well, the developer's agreement, and it
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will be reviewed and approved by borough attorney as
well, but it's up to -- it's really -- it's not
required. The type of ownership, you know, whether it's for sale or rental, it's not a factor for the board to consider, I just wanted to make sure from a timing standpoint the borough is okay with it, so you're the representative I'm asking.

MR. STIRES: Yes, I would think either way, it doesn't really change the timing.

MR. WARNER: Okay. The -- Mr. Heydt,
on the positive criteria for the $D-1$ use variance when you were talking about the particular site suitability, just to be clear for the members of the board, particular site suitability does not, not require a site to be uniquely suitable for a particular use: i.e., it doesn't have to be the only one, it just has to be particularly suitable for sufficient reasons.

## Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
I would also venture to say that this property is probably particular and unique. You don't find very many properties like this in the context here.

MR. WARNER: Now, you referenced the
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rendering that was part of A-1, the architect's
rendering, and -- with the various materials, colors
fenestration, articulation of the buildings, et cetera.

Is the applicant stipulating as a condition of approval that the final architectural elements, color, style, mass and facades, et cetera, would be substantially similar to that rendering.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MR. TUVEL: Yes, Mr. -- yes, Steve.
Sorry.
THE WITNESS: And the architectural
plans do call out those materials with labels as well.

MR. WARNER: And so the applicant has no problem with that being a condition of approval,
should there be an approval.
MR. TUVEL: That's correct, Steve.
MR. WARNER: And I know you didn't say
this, so -- but I just -- but just to be clear, the fact that the project proposes 22 percent affordable housing does not raise it to the level of being an inherently beneficial project that would automatically satisfy the positive criteria and hence, that's why you argued the particular site
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suitability for the positive criteria, correct?
THE WITNESS: Correct.
I do think it adds to the element of positive attributes which I did discuss, but I think more importantly it's a factor in the negative criteria with respect to showing no impairment of the Zoning Ordinance. I think we're implementing the Zoning Ordinance as intended.

MR. WARNER: And I just wanted to confirm the variance relief as I had it very quickly, 'cause the board is going to need to know what it's deciding, whether it's a yes or a no. They're going to need to know what to say yes or no to.

So formally you are requesting a D-1 use variance for multifamily residential use not permitted in a zone where single-family residential is permitted, correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. WARNER: And a D-6 height variance
for a 40.8 foot at its highest building three, if I recall correctly?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. WARNER: Versus
MR. WARNER: Versus 35 feet permitted because it's a single-family zone and 35 is always the height maximum permitted for -- just about for
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single-family dwellings, correct?
THE WITNESS: Correct, yes.
MR. WARNER: And the third of the three
D variances that result in this board having to get five affirmative votes if it's going to approve this application out of the seven is the D-5 density variance.

I understand that you argued that D-5 and $D-6$ are subsumed within the $D-1$, but regardless it's my understanding you're also opining that you've independently satisfied each one of them, the D-1, D-5 and D-6, correct?

MR. TUVEL: Yes.
So, Steve, we were conservative. There
was no density standard, but we put on that
testimony, we thought it was prudent. So to the extent the board feels it's something that should be granted, we did that, but I leave that to the board to decide whether they think it's an appropriate variance to give.

MR. WARNER: Right.
And the reason you say that, as I
09:29Pм 23
09:29рм 24 09:29PM 25
understand it, correct me if I'm wrong or for the benefit of the board, the -- it's approximately 12 or so, roughly 12 units, dwelling units per acre when
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calculated, maybe even a little closer to 13 perhaps, Mr. Heydt, but the -- but it -- what's that?

THE WITNESS: It's 12.8, Mr. Warner.
MR. WARNER: You even do a little math every now and again.

But the -- the -- but, there is no -since it's a single-family dwelling zone, there's no density per se because single-family dwellings are not subject to density requirements, so, therefore, there is no such requirement for this zone; hence, the D-1 subsumed.

But if there were one, it would be basically one per every what, 65,000 square feet roughly.

THE WITNESS: I refer to that as the implicit density. You'd have to manufacture the use and the lot area, but, yes.

MR. WARNER: All right. Okay.
So, well hopefully that doesn't make it more complicated for the board. It might even make it more understandable, I would hope.

So those are the three D variances.
The bulk variances are $C$ variances, side yard setback, 12 feet versus 15 feet from Drift Road, now --
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THE WITNESS: Twelve feet versus 50 -MR. WARNER: I'm sorry, 12 feet versus

50?
setback.
THE WITNESS: Front yard, front yard

MR. WARNER: Oh, I'm sorry, front yard
-- I don't know why -- okay, somebody sent me the wrong -- okay.

And building coverage, is that still 24 percent even though the impervious went up?

MR. TUVEL: Correct, the buildings -the sizes did not change.

MR. WARNER: Right.
So 24 percent building coverage versus 15 percent permitted, 66 percent total lot impervious coverage now versus 25 percent permitted. Net habitable floor area, you have one unit, if I recall correctly, as small as 669 square feet whereas a minimum of 2,000 square feet is required because it's normally single-family homes, as Mr. Heydt testified, not townhouses which are -- well, he made the testimony.

Number of stories, three stories versus
2.5 stories. When you're dealing with number of stories, that's not a D-6.
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MR. TUVEL: Correct.
MR. WARNER: It's the height in feet, linear feet that --

MR. TUVEL: I'm so glad you said that because so many people don't understand that, so...

MR. WARNER: I have gotten -- my boards
always understand it. But I can't do that with a D-6 on stories, but it's only on linear feet.

MR. TUVEL: Correct, correct.
MR. WARNER: Sign setback, 5 feet proposed versus 25 feet required.

And sign size, 1 -square-foot sign area
versus 20 -- I'm sorry -- 20-square-foot sign area versus 1 -square-foot maximum, I guess.

Again, all those bulk regulations being tied to the fact that it's a single-family zone, not a multifamily townhouse zone.

MR. TUVEL: Right, yes.
MR. WARNER: Are those all the variances?

MR. TUVEL: Yes.
And there were some design waivers
as --
MR. WARNER: Design waivers I have are drive aisle width, it's now 22 feet versus 24 feet.
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MR. TUVEL: That's correct.
MR. WARNER: Access drive setback is approximately 7 feet proposed versus 10 feet required.

## Is that correct?

MR. TUVEL: Correct.
MR. WARNER: And parking setback to building, approximately 4 feet proposed versus 6 feet required?

MR. TUVEL: Correct. Yes, I am just --
Steve, I'm just checking Dave Stires's letter just to make sure we didn't miss anything.

MR. WARNER: Sure.
No, I appreciate you doing that. I right, and we know exactly what the board is voting
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on either side.
MR. TUVEL: Yes.
No, I'm just looking here to make sure
there is nothing in Mr. Stires' testimony that we may have overlooked, but it looks like we hit everything.

MR. WARNER: And street trees, is that
also a --
MR. TUVEL: Yes, design waiver, correct.

MR. WARNER: Design waiver, not proposed along Drift Road.

What about buffer width, 20 feet required along Drift Road?

Technically that's not there because of the right-of-way situation.

MR. TUVEL: Yes, I would just -- I
would say yes, just to be on the safe side.
MR. WARNER: Okay. And Mr. Stires is
listening, too, so he could weigh in.
Vegetation within the buffer area, I
guess that's the same issue, Dave?
MR. STIRES: Yeah.
MR. WARNER: Okay. And then the tree removal, more than 50 percent of the existing trees proposed to be removed, but you mentioned it --
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MR. TUVEL: We're putting way more back.

MR. WARNER: I heard that technical testimony, way more back, but -- but the tree replacement -- the tree replacement -- that's -- the tree replacement is at least that much if not more than is required, correct?

MR. TUVEL: Yes.
MR. WARNER: Okay. And did that cover all the variances, the three $D$ variances, the seven bulk variances and the roughly half a dozen design waivers?

MR. TUVEL: I believe you have it, Mr. Warner.

MR. WARNER: Okay. Plus preliminary and final site plan approval, so okay. Everybody has their score card hopefully corrected.

MR. STIRES: Steve, one thing, you know, going back to what you were talking about before. They didn't submit for a subdivision. You know, if these are for sale, so they would have to do a condo association.

MR. TUVEL: Yes, that's what it would be. That's what it would be, Mr. Stires. It would not be individual lots, that's correct.
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MR. WARNER: Okay.
MR. STIRES: You'd have to work with the tax assessor and get the lots --

MR. TUVEL: Yes, no, that's completely understood, they would not be divided into separate lots.

That's correct.
MR. WARNER: And in the event there is a homeowners' association, the affordable housing units would have an equal representation, at least proportionately to the market-rate units as required under UHAC, correct?

MR. TUVEL: Of course, yes.
And as you indicated to me earlier today, Mr. Warner, we would comply with all the applicable UHAC regulations for the property.

MR. WARNER: Right.
For the recollection of the board, that includes certain bedroom distributions which appear to be satisfied for the number of one-bedroom, two-bedroom and three-bedroom affordable units, as well as the phasing requirement, affordable units would have to be built --

MR. TUVEL: Yes.
MR. WARNER: -- there's a requirement
LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
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basically that means while you could start your market rates a little early, you have to finish all your affordables before you finish your market rates so as to avoid someone finishing the market rates and not finishing the affordables, if you get my drift, road.

The unit tenure will be 30 foot on the -- 30 years on the affordables, minimum. Correct? They'd be deed restricted for 30 years, correct? MR. TUVEL: Whatever the requirement is, but I believe it is 30 .

MR. WARNER: It's 30 years.
And they would have to comply with other requirements such as a certain percentage, 13 percent would have to be set aside for very low income as opposed to just simply low or moderate income.

So, again, it's a blanket stipulation by the applicant to comply with the UHAC affordable housing requirements in toto, correct?

> MR. TUVEL: Yes.

MR. WARNER: Thank you.
Nothing further at this time,
Mr. Chairman.
Thank you.
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ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Thank you
for dotting Is and crossing our Ts, I always appreciate it.

MR. WARNER: My pleasure.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: If no one
else on the board has any questions, I will open it up to the public.

So let's do that. Let's open this up to the public for questions for this witness.

Anybody in the public want to come forward with any questions for this witness?

This is just a time for questions, not a time for comments, we'll have that -- after we're done we'll have a time for comments.

If you do want to come up, please just state your name and address.

DR. STEINFELD: Mr. Chairman, before we open it up to the public, I don't want to beat a dead horse, but I'm concerned about the fact that there is no off-site parking available.

And what does the applicant propose as a solution if, on New Year's Eve, five or six residences decide to have large parties which will exceed the 25 guest parking spaces? Where are these people going to go?
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MR. TUVEL: So as I would -- I would
defer to your Counsel, but that's why we have the RSIS standard, because the RSIS standard contemplates the way that residential complexes operate, right?

So -- and we exceed that.
So I think we'll be fine. I will also use the comment that I hear from a lot of traffic engineers during testimony for retail; that you don't design a site for Black Friday where, you know, a lot of people come to, you know, on the same day.

But I think all we can do,
Dr. Steinfeld, is comply with RSIS and we exceed it. So we have the requisite visitor parking, I think we actually exceed the requisite visitor parking.

So under the law we've done all we're supposed to and a little bit more, so that's all I could say.

MR. WARNER: I have a question on what that is.

So the requirement would be what,
12-and-a-half and you're providing 25 or 13-and-a-half, you're providing 25.

MR. TUVEL: Yeah, I think it's 14 and we're -- 25, correct.

MR. WARNER: So you're 11 more than
LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812

|  | 121 | 123 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09:39PM 1 | required. | 09:41PM 1 | application. |
| 09:39PM 2 | MR. TUVEL: For visitor parking, that's | 09:41PM 2 | So let's -- we opened it up to the |
| 09:39PM 3 | correct. | 09:41PM 3 | public, so anyone in the public who wants to ask a |
| 09:39PM 4 | MR. WARNER: And, Mr. Chairman, to the | 09:41PM 4 | question, please just state your name and address and |
| 09:39Pm | extent it was raised, you know, from a legal | 09:41PM 5 | ask your questio |
| 09:39PM 6 | perspective, I cannot -- I don't want to say I do not | 09:41PM 6 | Anyone from the public want to ask a |
| 09:39PM 7 | -- I cannot dispute, from a legal perspective, what | 09:41PM 7 | question |
| 09:39PM 8 | was represented. | 09:41PM 8 | MS. SNYDER: Phil is raising his hand. |
| 09:39PM 9 | It may be appropriate, although I'm | 09:41PM 9 | 111: Yeah, I didn't -- I wasn't sure |
| 09:39рм 10 | staring at a beautiful bay window, for Mr. Stires to | 09:41PM 10 | how it worked exactly so I did the hand up, I figured |
| 09:39рм 11 | weigh in if he has any comment with respect to the | 09:41PM 11 | that was the way to go here. |
| 09:39PM 12 | parking requirement as he is our board engineer, | 09:41PM 12 | MR. WARNER: Yeah, Theresa, maybe after |
| 09:39PM 13 | although right now I guess the focus is on | 09:41PM 13 | Mr. Linder, with the Chair's permission, asks his |
| 09:39PM 14 | landscaping when I look at his box there. | 09:41PM 14 | estion, maybe you can give a little bit of a |
| 09:39PM 15 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: We can come back to Mr. Stires after we hear from the public. | 09:41PM 15 | tutorial to ensure that every member of the public |
| 09:39PM 16 |  | 09:42PM 16 | who wishes to ask a question or make a comment has an |
| 09:39PM 17 | MR. WARNER: Maybe we can come back to | 09:42PM 17 | opportunity to do so. |
| 09:39Pм 18 | him. | 09:42PM 18 | 111: Sorry about tha |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09:39Рм } 19 \\ & \text { 09:39Рм } 20 \end{aligned}$ | is. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 09:42PM } 19 \\ & 09: 42 P M \end{aligned}$ | do. MR. WARNER: Explain to them what to |
| 09:39PM 21 | MR. WARNER: Oh, David. How are you? | 09:42PM 21 | MS. SNYDER: Okay. |
| 09:39PM 22 | The -- I don't know if -- it might have | 09:42PM 22 | 111: So, my name is Phil Linder. |
| 09:39PM 23 | been a good time when you left, perhaps, but did -- | 09:42PM 23 | I live on Hampton Drive, 125 Hampton |
| 09:39PM 24 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Did you | 09:42PM 24 | Drive. I'm the first house in off of Horseshoe. |
| 09:40PM 25 | hear the question? There's some concern about | 09:42PM 25 | There is -- this is sort of, |
|  | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. |  | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. |
| 201-641-1812 |  |  | 201-641-1812 |
|  | 122 |  | 124 |
| 09:40PM 1 | parking requirements and particularly the ones -- the | 09:42PM 1 | Mr. Steinfeld, I believe it is. My question's |
| 09:40PM 2 | extra parking for guests. | 09:42PM 2 | and-in-hand with yours. There is no off-site |
| 09:40PM 3 | R. WARNER: Yes. | 09:42PM 3 | parking on Plainfield, correct? |
| 09:40PM 4 | And our board member, Dr. Steinfeld, | 09:42PM 4 | THE WITNESS: I think what you'r |
| 09:40PM | asked again if there was any way in which there could | 09:42PM | referring to is on street parking |
| 09:40PM 6 | be, I guess what would have to be off-site parking | 09:42PM 6 | Correct, there is no on street parking |
| 09:40PM 7 | for the on-site development if they were to have a | 09:42PM 7 | 111: So there is no on street parking |
| 09:40PM 8 | big party or have multiple big parties, but | 09:42PM 8 | there, and I don't think it's Black Friday or New |
| 09:40PM 9 | notwithstanding the fact that they more than comply | 09:42PM 9 | Year's Eve or whatever, it may be an average Saturday |
| 09:40PM 10 | with the parking requirements under RSIS. | 09:42PM 10 | in the summer. |
| 09:40PM 11 | MR. STIRES: Yes. Well, obviously they | 09:42PM 11 | Therefore, the closest on street |
| 09:40PM 12 | meet the RSIS requirements. You know, if you have | 09:42PM 12 | parking would be Hampton Drive, which is all -- on |
| 09:40PM 13 | four or five parties at one time, this is an isolated | 09:42PM 13 | the other side of Plainfield. |
| 09:40PM 14 | situation with no off-site parking besides what's | 09:42PM 14 | And my question then is, is there a way |
| 09:40PM 15 | within parameters of the property, so, you know | 09:43PM 15 | that we're going to regulate traffic or a crosswalk |
| 09:41PM 16 | potentially that could be an issue, but it's like | 09:43PM 16 | from Hampton Drive across Plainfield to the -- I |
| 09:41PM 17 | what we were just talking about, you know, Black | 09:43PM 17 | guess the area in question, because it is a dangerous |
| 09:41PM 18 | Friday, you know. | 09:43PM 18 | street and Plainfield has a lot of cars and curbs on |
| 09:41PM 19 | There's a -- you know, can it happen? | 09:43PM 19 | both sides. |
| 09:41PM 20 | Yes. | 09:43PM 20 | THE WITNESS: So it - |
| 09:41PM 21 | But are they complying with the RSIS? | 09:43PM 21 | MR. WARNER: Just a reminder, if I may, |
| 09:41PM 22 | Yes, they are. | 09:43PM 22 | Mr. Chairman, because I know that it's hard for all |
| 09:41PM 23 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I have an | 09:43PM 23 | of us to ask a question without editorializing and |
| 09:41PM 24 |  | 09:43PM 24 | mmenting a bit, but no one is under oath yet in the |
| 09:41PM 25 | argument in the borough. It's probably a bigger | 09:43PM 25 | public so please keep it to questions as much as you |
|  | issue on Johnson Drive than it is in this <br> LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 |  | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. |
|  |  |  |  |


|  | 125 |  | 127 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | can. | 09:45PM 1 | : That was just to clarify. |
| 09:43PM 2 | 11: Gotch | 09: | The second thing was that the first |
| 09:43PM 3 | Are we going to put a crosswalk from |  | so when the variance was applied for, for |
| 09:43PM | Horseshoe Drive -- from Hampton Drive across to Plainfield? |  | ight of the buildings, the reference was to the |
| 09:43PM 5 |  |  |  |
| 09:43PM 6 | R. TUVEL: That would be completely a | 09:45R | SS: Co |
|  | ty issue. |  |  |
| 09:43PM 8 | If | 09:4 | nc |
| 09:43PM | unty would require that. In working on these |  |  |
| 09:43PM 10 | projects, and Mr. Stires can weigh in, usually mid | 09:46PM 10 | Is the first building above 35 feet |
|  | block crossings are discouraged. |  | orter than -- the front building above |
| 09:44PM 12 | So -- but that would be a county issue |  |  |
| 09:44Pм 13 | completely. Just like, just | 13 | THE WITNESS |
|  | be a DOT comment. | 09:468M 14 | So to just confirm, the front, |
| 09:44PM 15 | So -- and then I'll let Charles answe | 09:468M 15 |  |
|  | question, but, look, from a legal perspectiv |  | there is a variance |
|  | these standards, RSIS, they were implemented by the state decades ago to cover all these situations on |  | you're applying for, for all three -- for all the |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | how properties function like this, so that's why the applicant wanted to make sure that they not only met | 09:468. 19 | (TNESS: Correct |
|  |  | 09:46PM | When we cite variances we often require |
|  | but exceeded the state standard here. |  | aximum relief requested, but to clarify, that |
|  | So from a parking perspective, we are |  | t building is 38 feet. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | the letter of the law requires. | 09:46PM 24 | HAIRMAN |
| o9:44PM 25 | URA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 | 09:46P | ore we go on to other questions, if you do URA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 |
|  | 126 |  |  |
| 09:44PM | re? I mean | 09:46PM |  |
| 09:44PM 2 | THE WITNESS: Yeah, just to reiterate |  | button called |
| 09:44PM 3 | with respect to the parking provision, the RSIS | 09:468M | at, the |
|  |  | 09:46PM |  |
| 09:44PM | unit. | 09:468 |  |
| 4PM | And that -- how can you propose a half | 09:46PM 6 | w that you want to ask a question |
| 4PM | space, right? So the intent there is that on | 09:468 | think you |
| 09:44PM 8 | average, the overall development, the demand for | 09 | to |
| PM | or parking is at that rate of a half space pe | 09:47PM | k |
|  |  | 09: | es into Mr. Linder's question |
| 09:45PM | So, overall, a requirement for this |  |  |
| 09:45PM | development to meet the standard that is calculated and implemented in the Residential Site Improvement |  | NGER |
| 09:45PM 13 |  |  | tate |
| 09:45PM | 俍 | 09:47PM 14 |  |
| 09:45PM | parking spaces | 09: | ampton Driv |
| 09:45PM 16 | We understand the concern. Part of | 09:47PM 16 | eig |
| 09:45Pm 17 | this design increases the available surface parking | 09: |  |
| 09:45PM 18 |  |  | u |
| 09:45PM 19 |  |  | lation to th |
|  |  | 2 | en the implications to traffic |
| 09:4 | adequacy for on site parking for visitors. | 09: | nd those sorts of thing? Have they be |
| 09:45PM 22 | applying for variance on; yes. | 09:47PM 22 | Ited |
| 09:45PM 23 |  | 23 | ES |
| 09:45PM 24 | THE WITNESS: Parking is not one of them, but, yes. | 09:47PM 24 | ation, yes. |
| рм 25 |  | 09:47PM 25 | MR. TUVEL: Yes, we actually -- this |
|  | A A. CAR |  | A A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L. |
|  |  |  |  |

application -- I think Mr. Staigar, Mr. Miller, testified to this, but if he didn't, I'll address it. We applied to Union/Somerset County Planning Boards, as well as the DOT on this application.

MR. MILLER: Okay. Follow-up question then.

With relation to the traffic study or traffic data that was produced, what year was that data procured from out of curiosity?

I guess for Mr. Staigar.
MR. TUVEL: Yes, Mr. Staigar testified
to all that last time. I leave it to the Chair, but Steve, this -- all these questions were -- that question was already asked. I don't want to revisit what was discussed, but I leave it up to the Chair on that.

MR. WARNER: Well, Mr. Chairman, my advice would be that if they have that information, in fairness to everyone, they can reiterate what Mr. Staigar testified to and we could make sure what they're reiterating is accurate.

I don't want Mr. Miller or anyone else to not have it available to them.

MR. TUVEL: That's fine.
We did 2000 -- we did 2017 counts, LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812

1 p data in the area, I believe that was Mr. Staigar's testimony, based on pre-COVID data, and then Mr. Staigar's analysis factored in what industry standards require for growth in the area, as well as factors for COVID, so that's what was relied upon.

MR. MILLER: Thank you.
Just more of a procedural question.
Related to the 13 variances that are being proposed here, does the board vote on all variances individually or just the project as a whole?

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Usually we do it individually -- on the project as a whole, sorry.

MR. WARNER: Yes, the Chairman -- if I may, Mr. Chairman, the Chairman is correct.

Unless, for some reason, the applicant
sought to, not bifurcate but proposed that the application be heard, you know, voted on in a different way, generally the project is looked at as a whole.

And since, as I mentioned, there are -there's at least one $D$ variance, and in this case there's arguably three D variances, in order for the project as presented to be approved, it would require
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I have two questions. The first one
is, I guess it would have to be to the traffic study again also. Has there ever been a motor vehicle accident study done at the corner Drift Road and Plainfield Avenue?

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: So just to LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
five of our seven board members to vote yes. That's under the Municipal Land Use Law requirements.

MR. MILLER: Okay. And there will be a
time for comments as well in addition to just questions.

Is that accurate?
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: That is
correct.
MR. WARNER: Under oath.
And I suspect this it's going to be
very shortly; correct, Mr. Chairman.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Correct.
MR. MILLER: Thank you.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Thank you.
Mr. Foster, we have to have you unmute
yourself, please.
MR. FOSTER: Okay.
John Foster, 87 Hampton Drive, Berkeley
be clear, these questions are supposed to be for the witness who just testified.

But if you, by any chance, the applicant does know the answer to that question, I assume they probably do not, but...

THE WITNESS: Unfortunately that was not part of my planning evaluation, so I don't have an answer to that question.

MR. FOSTER: Okay.
So my second question is, are you --
the visitors spots that you're going to have on the property, are they going to be limited to just visitors or is it going to be people that live there can also park a second car on the property, or they're all -- that's already worked into the details of the half spot that you're talking about.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, so I did reference the parking breakdown. Every townhouse unit will be afforded two parking spaces; one in the garage, one in the driveway.

The intent is to meet that demand for
all of the units, and there will be 25 surface
visitor spaces that are really intended to be for visitors who come and go on an infrequent basis.

MR. FOSTER: Okay.
LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812n 25 proposed here, because all of these variances are

Then my next question would go to, as
the property gets further down the road and if these houses have more than two people in them and then get another vehicle, will that be allotted into allowing them to park in the visitor spots or now they have three cars, what is their -- what is the option or the plan on that?

Are you going to be limiting -- are you going to be limiting the people that move into the place to have two cars only?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't think there's a proposed indication on number of vehicles. Could someone own three vehicles? Yes.

Could someone own four, five, six? Yes.

But likely when you increase the personal demand for vehicles, a townhouse is not your preferred home, so that would likely be a decision factor for anyone living here, if they owned three, four vehicles, they likely would not purchase or rent a townhouse.

MR. TUVEL: Yeah, and that's why the RSIS has -- it sounds weird, Mr. Foster, but it has, for example, 1.8. Who has 1.8 cars? Nobody has 1.8 cars.
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But the reason the ratio is 1.8 is because somebody might have two, somebody might have one, so it kind of falls right in the middle where there is a range where they understand a one-bedroom, somebody might have one or two. Same thing with a two-bedroom.

So the RSIS factors in to different unit owners having a different amount of vehicles. Some may have less than what's legally allowed, some might have one more.

But that's why RSIS is the standard, because it balances and factors that in.

MR. FOSTER: Okay, thank you.
MR. TUVEL: Thank you.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Anyone else have any questions of this witness?

Mr. Miller?
MR. MILLER: Yeah, one last question.
I know a lot of the references to the variances are related to a residential -- a single, single-home residential dwelling.

I am curious as to whether or not
Watchung has any regulations around condo
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from the public have any questions for this witness?
(No response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Again, if
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related to a single-family dwelling in the zoning area.

Does Watchung have any regulations
around multifamily condo developments?
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Mr. Warner?
MR. WARNER: Yes.
Well, Mr. Stires provided a report, our
engineer, in this case referencing the RMLV, if I recall correctly, zone.

MR. STIRES: Yes.
MR. WARNER: He said, and please
correct me if I'm mis-paraphrasing you, David, might
be somewhat comparable as a -- you know, for
multifamily residential, and then he also referenced
a particular recent multifamily development that you
heard the applicant's planner do a, sort of,
distinction, comparison of that Mountain Boulevard one, if I recall correctly, versus this one.

So short answer is yes, we do, and it's part of the record.

MR. MILLER: Okay, thank you.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Anyone else
you need to ask a question, you go to reactions and
raise your hand. You know, we are virtual, so I want
to leave enough time to make sure everyone's
questions are answered.
I am looking, and Theresa, can you tell
me, I don't think anyone else is coming forward.
Little bit different than being in person, we want to
make sure everyone -- you know, normally in person I
wouldn't give much time, but it's kind of hard to work with technology so...

MS. SNYDER: I don't see anyone.
MR. MILLER: Sorry, just one last question then.

So is --
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I'm sorry, who's speaking?

MR. MILLER: This is Chad Miller, 111
Hampton Drive.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Okay,
sorry.
Go ahead.
MR. MILLER: So is part of this
application to -- if all of the current regulations
are around -- how do I ask this?
If all of the current regulations and
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the variances being sought for are related to a single-family dwelling, is there some sort of re -some sort of change that needs to happen in order to rezone this for a multifamily condo development?

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I think that's what we do is, as a board of adjustment is we take a property that's zoned -- that is not zoned for -- that's why they're -- that's why they're here. Because it's not zoned for that.

Am I right, Mr. Warner?
MR. WARNER: Yeah.
I mean, the bottom line, Mr. Miller, and everyone, is that every zone has its regulations and when someone seeks a D-1 use variance for a use that is not a permitted use in that zone, you know, the bulk regulations, setbacks, heights, et cetera, may not be tailored for something very similar to what that use is.

That's why they need the D-1 use variance, which is the hardest, so to speak, to get. That why you kept hearing the word "subsumed." Everything being subsumed within the D-1 use variance.

So the short answer is the regulations are what they are. They're generally often tied to LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C.
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an anticipated use or set of uses for that zone, but
that -- those are the requirements that any applicant who is developing on that zone has to meet, whether they may be applicable to what they're looking to do as a use or whether they may not be applicable to what they're doing as a use, that is just how it works.

And I probably -- I don't have a planning license and having given that explanation I'll probably never get one. So...

MR. MILLER: Okay. Thank you, that was helpful.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I see Mrs. Funcheon has a question.

I'm sorry, you're muted. You can unmute yourself.

MS. FUNCHEON: Sorry. Rachel Funcheon, 54 Cedar Road in Watchung.

Just a few questions about the trees.
I wanted to know the number of live trees that you're planning to take down.

Are you counting the arborvitae, the long line of arborvitae that go alongside the driveway on the right side of the driveway driving into the property? And will you be complying with
-
the tree -- the Watchung Tree Ordinance which requires a one-to-one replacement of -- for -- I'm sorry, for each live tree removed, a live tree has to be -- sorry, 2-and-a-half-inch caliper tree has to be planted, and the ratio has to be, according to the ordinance, 75 percent deciduous to 25 percent conifer?

MR. TUVEL: Mr. Warner, or, Mr. Chairman, could I answer that question?

Is that okay?
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Sure.
MR. TUVEL: Okay. So the answer is, thanks for the question, it was a good question, we are -- and maybe you didn't hear Ms. Ruskan's testimony the last time where we went over this, but we are complying with the ordinance in full, the Watchung Tree Removal Ordinance.

We are removing the trees you
indicated, 56 trees; however, we are replanting 95 trees. And we are replanting them in the percentage distribution that you indicated complies with the ordinance.

So 56 come out and 95 go in, with an additional 214 shrubs that will be in addition to the trees.
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I won't go into the grasses, grass, perennials, ground cover, but there's also that as well, but we are complying above and beyond what's required.

MR. WARNER: And you gave testimony that they will be maintained as well as part of your covenant, right?

MR. TUVEL: They will be maintained and planted at very good heights.

MS. FUNCHEON: Thank you for that.
But what about the arborvitae? 'Cause I counted about 130 arborvitae approximately.

MR. TUVEL: Those -- yeah, I don't believe that that's the case. We did a survey. That was submitted to the board in terms of the tree removal.

We have to provide a plan, and our survey indicates or the plan indicates that there's 56 being removed and 95 being put in.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: And,
Mr. Warner, as a -- if that's their testimony, they have to do that. Correct?

Just so the --
MR. WARNER: The tree removal and replacement plan -- and, David, correct me if I'm
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wrong, but are you the official who is -- that would have to be subject to your review and approval as being compliant?

MR. STIRES: Yeah, I'll take a look at that. I'm not sure arborvitaes are considered trees, so that might be an issue.

MS. FUNCHEON: Well, they -- there is some debate, but they are generally considered trees, to be trees, and there are many, many of them, and most of them are live.

MR. WARNER: Well, Ms. Funcheon, before you give expert testimony, qualified or not, I better swear you in, even for fact testimony, but --

MS. FUNCHEON: I'm not looking to give expert testimony, I'm just saying that there are a lot of arborvitae there. I was just curious how -- I heard last week the numbers that you said, the 95 trees, and I was interested in, you know, the number of -- I know there's a lot of mature trees that are coming down, and I heard, you know, why, because -- I guess the engineer said during construction that there would be too much -- it would be impossible to protect them during construction.

Do you have a list of those trees somewhere? The 56 trees?
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MR. TUVEL: Yes, there was a plan
submitted with the set. MS. FUNCHEON: But -MR. TUVEL: That's a checklist item. You have to submit that for Mr. Stires review.

MS. FUNCHEON: But the arborvitae weren't counted in there then, it sounds like.

MR. TUVEL: I don't know if they're supposed to be, so I leave that again to Mr. Stires. And we also, we have to comply with the ordinance, so we'll comply.

MS. FUNCHEON: Okay, thank you.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: And just to
clarify, you said 214 shrubs as -- in addition to the 95 trees.

Is that correct?
MR. TUVEL: Correct, Mr. Chairman.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Okay. Do
you have any more questions?
Her hand's up, but I'm assuming no.
Is there anyone else that has any
questions?
(No response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Looks like
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MR. WARNER: That's also the
d procedure, as the Chair knows.
MR. TUVEL: Thank you.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: So I will
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MS. SNYDER: I don't see anyone. ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I see no one.

So, seeing no one come forward, we'll
close that public portion of the meeting.
Are there any more witnesses?
MR. WARNER: Mr. Tuvel, no more
witnesses?
You're on mute.
MR. TUVEL: I'm sorry, I was -- I muted it so that you could go to the public if you had to.

No, that concludes our case,
Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, I reserve the right to give a summation, if that's okay, after
public comment, and also to the extent we have to respond to any public comment or questions from the board, I would just ask that we be able to do that if it's necessary.

That's all.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Thank you very much. 201-641-1812
go back to the board one more time.
Does anyone have any questions? And I
guess this is the time where we could also make comments from --

MR. WARNER: I think, Mr. Chairman, do
you want to go to the public first for public comment before the board essentially deliberates?

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: We can do
that.
Just real quick, though, any questions
that came up during the public portion that anybody on the board has?
(No response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Seeing no
one, then we will open it up to the public for comments.

This is the -- you can either for or against the application, you can make a comment at this time.

So, again, if you want to raise your
hand using the reactions on the bottom, you can do that.

So I'm opening it up to the public, anyone that wants to make any comment for or against this application.
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I think Mr. Linder has his hand up.
MR. WARNER: And I will have to swear
everyone in for this part because it is testimony,
has the full weight of fact testimony in the case.
So, Mr. Linder --
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: You have to
also, yeah, unmute yourself. Good.
All right.
MR. WARNER: Please raise your right
hand.
Do you swear to God or affirm that the
testimony you're about to give is the truth, the
whole truth and nothing but the truth?
MR. LINDER: Yes.
PHILLINDER,
125 Hampton Drive, Berkeley Heights, New Jersey,
having been duly sworn, testifies as follows:
MR. WARNER: Thank you. And one more
time, please, for the record, name and address.
MR. LINDER: My name is Phil Linder.
I live at 125 Hampton Drive in Berkeley
Heights.
MR. WARNER: Please proceed.
MR. LINDER: I have a couple comments.
I've been here with you guys for the whole time,
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25 think parking will take place on Hampton Drive.
since the 10th, and then the 10th before that when it was canceled.

The -- I appreciate the pains that people are going through for the aesthetics and I think this is going to be a beautiful development. Whether it gets approved or not obviously is out of our hands.

I live in Berkeley Heights. I know it's technically in Watchung, but everybody here can agree that it's like this much of Watchung that is north of 78 and then it's Berkeley Heights.

And thus, this development is happening very much in my backyard.

I think several of the board members
last time, and I don't know your names, and I
apologize for that, brought up that it seems to be somewhat overdeveloped. There's been -- while there may be less building coverage, which I recognize, there is a lot more buildings, more cars, and as people seem to be bringing up, less parking.

But that's fine. I hear that.
I think parking will be a factor, that
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There are no sidewalks on Hampton Drive. There's no sidewalks in our little community that I will reference in a second.

And adding cars to our traffic flow is going to be a non-negligible factor, whether we want to admit it or not.

I have several safety concerns about that. On one hand, the developer has brought up that if this building were developed fully, there could be 60 cars at peak doing trips in and out, right?

On the same -- but at the same time they're like, well, this is only going to be 14 at peak, so it's a 46 trip drop-off.

But the reality is, is I've lived here for several years, that building has been empty. It is not a 60-trip, it's zero trips right now.

And you also brought up that early on you couldn't pay people to stay there -- or the first statement was we tried to rent out the units and nobody wanted them, so we know that it's zero and it's going to be zero and if somebody came in to develop it, that would be a separate session like this one, I believe.

Obviously this false equivalency is an issue. I think there's a lot of comparisons that
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ainfield is dangerous. It will be dangerous.
There will be accidents. It could be tragic. That is a fact. Whether you want to acknowledge it or not, it is.

The New Year's Eve thing with people trying to get in there, the developers aren't going to be there on New Year's Eve but we are. They're not going to be there on the 4th of July, but we are.

I understand this thing goes late. I was here with you guys last time until 11:30. I think it is -- we need to understand the impact this is going to have on the community.

Horseshoe, which is a street that we
haven't really mentioned, goes into Plainfield Avenue not too far, on the other side of the traffic signal from the interstate at an awkward and dangerous point where there is no traffic signal. Tonight at 5:30 when I came home, there were nine cars on Horseshoe
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backed up waiting to make a dangerous turn onto the street.

If we're adding more cars to this part of our community, there will be more than nine cars there, which will do a couple things. One, there will be more dangerous turns onto Plainfield, and two, people will cut through Horseshoe -- Hampton. Hampton has no sidewalks. Not only do we have no sidewalks, but in the community of Hampton, Regent and Sutton, and those blocks alone there are -- and this is no joke -- 27 children under the age of 14 . I know this because we have a block party, you're all invited to come, in the summer.

There are a lot of children that are going to be in the street and are in the street all the time. There will be cars cutting through. If we do nothing to mitigate that issue, then this could be a tragic result as well, and I want it to be on the record that I put it out here right now.

We need speed bumps, we need stop signs, we need traffic lights. If this is going to happen, it is going to add cars to our neighborhood and it is going to be dangerous.

So, yes, I appreciate the aesthetics. I hear the issues of the school bus. That's a
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separate thing. But without any of those things, not adding speed humps, not adding stop lights, not adding crosswalks, all of those things are dangerous and will be dangerous in our community.

I love the idea that we're bringing new
people in. We have a great community, everybody should come and join it.

But I do think that we are missing some
of the danger because we're comparing it to a
fully-developed office building that hasn't been
fully developed in several years.
Thank you.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Thank you,
Mr. Linder.
Anyone else want to make a comment for or against the application?

Mr. Foster?
MR. FOSTER: Yes, John Foster.
MR. WARNER: Raise your right hand.
MR. FOSTER: Sorry.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: He needs to
swear you in.
MR. FOSTER: Thank you.
MR. WARNER: Thank you.
Do you swear to God or affirm that the
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testimony you're about to give is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. FOSTER: I do.
JOHN FOSTER,
87 Hampton Drive, Berkeley Heights, New Jersey, having been duly sworn, testifies as follows: MR. WARNER: Thank you. I would have believed you anyway when you gave your name and address even if I didn't swear you in first, but thank you.

MR. FOSTER: Okay.
I want to thank the board for doing
their due diligence here. I want to thank the people
that are doing the construction and want to put this
property in there. They're doing their due diligence also.

I just want to let the board know that as a police officer for 28 years I've seen communities change over 28 years, and change to the point of exactly what Mr. Linder was talking about. Change where there's kids in that neighborhood and there's nothing gets changed as far as the ingress and egress into the properties or anything changed about accidents.

You know, we as the police department
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try to do that, but we're only one leg of a
multifaceted government operation. You all people have the power to do what you need to do to either make this happen or make it go away.

And I have to agree with Mr. Linder
that if it happens it's going to create more
problems. And like I said, I've seen it over 28
years being on the police department of handling
accidents, of handling first-aid calls, of handling neighborhoods that are inundated by cars backed up and people can't get out of their own driveways and not even being able to get to work.

It's just -- it just makes things a
little more difficult. So I appreciate your time and I appreciate you allowing me to make the statement here and good luck.

MR. WARNER: Mr. Foster, 87 Hampton
Drive, correct?
MR. FOSTER: Yes.
MR. WARNER: Thank you.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Thank you,
Mr. Foster.
Anyone else from the public want to
make a comment for or against the application?
(No response.)
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 -- Mr. Warner, should we -- should the board be making comments first before he does his closing
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ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I'm
checking, Theresa, are you seeing anyone else come forward.

MS. SNYDER: I don't see anyone, no. ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: All right.
Seeing no one else come forward -- again, I have to be sensitive that it is technology, so I am giving a little extra time.

Seeing no one come forward, we will close the public portion of the meeting.

Do you want -- do you have a closing statement that you want to give?

MR. TUVEL: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'll try to be brief because I know it's late, but thank you.

I want to thank the board again for having a special meeting and also for all the time and attention that the board has given us during the course of these proceedings.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I'm sorry, could I interrupt you for one second. I'm sorry.

MR. TUVEL: Yes, go ahead.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Should we

## or --

make his summation because, again, when -- the board comments should be in the way of deliberations.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Thank you.
MR. WARNER: And then, ultimately, a
vote.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I'm sorry to interrupt you.

## MR. TUVEL: No, that's okay.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Sorry to interrupt you.

Proceed. Thank you.
MR. TUVEL: No, that's okay.
So, again, thank you for your time and especially the special meeting.

It's very rare as a land use attorney that I get to say that a site truly meets the criteria for a use variance. We make arguments like that a lot, but this is the quintessential case why it was made.

A single-family home would unlike -would be very unlikely in this location. Right now you have an existing office building that's vacant and a site that's in dire need of redevelopment both
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from an aesthetic and a stormwater perspective.

So in this situation we have site
characteristics that are very interesting. The property only abuts a cemetery. The property has frontage on three sides. The property is irregularly
shaped. The property only can have access or primary access in one location.

So we have a very, very unique property. And it's very, very rare that I get to say that. Normally I'm before a board, we have a rectangle as a lot, we have a square as a lot. It's very rare that you have circumstances like this. Which is why I think our planner spent so much time on the particular suitability argument.

So what are we doing here? We're doing a significant amount of improvements, and I think everyone could agree that aesthetics is one of them, so I'll start with that. The buildings here that are being proposed are going to enhance the community and this corner tremendously. We are increasing or bettering the stormwater management at this location.

As indicated earlier based on some of the questions, although we are removing 56 trees, we are going to be adding 95 and 214 shrubs to further better the property.
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We are adding affordable housing to the community that will go to the Borough's Affordable Housing Credit, and also provide families in need with the opportunity to have affordable housing in this location. And the applicant is providing, although slightly more, the 22 percent affordable units where 20 percent is required.

The applicant is also providing the EV charging stations as required by law, which will help in sustainability of the site. But as we work with the board throughout this process, we're also providing ready-made spaces within the garage which will also make the site better from a sustainability standpoint.

I also think that this process with the board and the public and the board's professionals worked out really well. We came to the board with an application. We got review letter from your professionals and from your outside agencies within the municipality, and we also heard comments from the board and the board members themselves, as well as from the public, and we made the project better, as Noah indicated at the beginning of his presentation.

The board had a concern regarding the drive aisle widths, we improved those. The board had
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a concern regarding the spaces by the garages, we improved those as well. We added the walkway from the site to a potential bus stop that we will work with the Board of Education to locate. That sidewalk, I think, will be a great help, and the board members and Mr. Stires had pointed that out, they thought that was a very valuable comment to this project.

We also installed a trench drain that was requested to even further enhance stormwater management. We are complying with the new regulations, both from the borough and from the state, and by doing that we also added another aspect to the project.

Also, again, on some of the variance relief, the project -- the site is interesting in a way that, although visually the right-of-way looks to be a part of the property, the property line cuts through the right-of-way.

So we are somewhat penalized by the unique or the interesting aspect of where the property line is located, but from an overall perspective, it will look like it's in conformance with most if not all of the bulk regulations with respect to setbacks.
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It's also, I think, very, very
important here that we comply from a parking standpoint. RSIS is a very universal standard and a very conservative standard.

In fact, in most municipalities for projects like this, they're going under RSIS with respect to parking requirements; going to 1.5 per unit, 1.75.

Here we're complying with RSIS, so we're at the 1.8 for the one-bedroom, and over two for some of the other units that are two-bedrooms and three-bedrooms, and we meet the visitor parking. And we're not providing any tandem spaces, anything like that. They're all -- all the parking on the surface outside will be visitor spaces that are completely unobstructed.

And I agree, you know, with counsel and with the board engineer that the state standard put this in place just for situations like this, and we account for both parking for the residents as well as parking for the visitors.

A lot was brought up on traffic. This site is going to be redeveloped, in one way or the other. Either the office would be re-tenanted, which the applicant tried to do, you heard that from
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Mr. Chrismer, and was unsuccessful doing. It will either be that or it will be something else.

In this specific situation you heard from our traffic engineer and the testimony was unrebutted that this is probably the lowest trip-generating use of anything that could go at this location. A single-family home is not going to go here. I think we could all understand that. Nobody is going to put one house on this island project.

But if you're going to put something, and I think everyone would agree that it's in dire need of redevelopment, this type of project has one of the lowest trip-generating numbers of any use that can go here.

An office building would generate more, retail would generate more. I mean, I don't even think light industrial would be proposed here, but if you did something like that, that would be more traffic.

So I think Mr. Staigar's testimony in that regard was very compelling. Yes, are there going to be car coming in and out of this driveway? Of course. But in the peak hour, the trips are so minimal at 10 to 14 trips in the a.m. and the p.m., any other use, whether it be a re-tenanting of the
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building or something else, would likely be substantially higher, as Mr. Staigar testified.

Not only that, besides for Mr. Stires reviewing the traffic analysis and the board hearing the traffic analysis, the county is going to hear -is going to get our traffic report and study the intersection and study the driveways. If they feel that adjustments need to be made, it's within their jurisdiction and they'll make them, and also the DOT with respect to the ramp.

So we have many levels of review with respect to traffic, especially a low trip generator here. So I have no doubt, from the applicant's perspective, that the traffic here has been vetted and that from a traffic perspective, this is the best possible use that could go in this location.

In terms of the Zone Plan and Zoning Ordinance, I think Mr. Heydt's testimony was right on the money. He cited to many excerpts from the Master Plan regarding affordable housing and other aspects of it that I think show that we meet our burden with the negative criteria with respect to substantial detriment to the Zone Plan or Zoning Ordinance.

With respect to substantial detriment to the public good, which is really a term for the
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effect of the surrounding properties, the same reason that the positive criteria is met, I think, is the same reasons that the negative criteria is met.

We're improving the site from a stormwater standpoint, not only by the infrastructure that Ms. Ruskan testified to, that it was low impact, has the new sustainable features that the new DEP and borough regs require, but also going above and beyond that with some of the other items that we're doing, and decreasing the impervious coverage from what exists today.

So I think that's one positive that also affects the negative criteria which is, would there be any substantial detriment from a stormwater standpoint, and the answer is no, it's actually being improved.

Same thing with respect to lighting. You have older lighting fixtures on the property. We're putting in new LED fixtures that won't only be good for the environment, but won't spill light the why that the older fixtures do.

And, again, not to just reiterate the traffic, but the traffic is so minimal, based on the testimony given, the studies done and the various levels of review that both counties in this regard
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1 might have, as well as your municipal engineer and the police department that will also review this application.

We comply with the parking standards, I
said that, I think I beat that one to death, in terms of RSIS from a legal perspective.

So, again, it's very rare that I can come to a board and say yes, particular suitability is met. This was the reason why that standard was put into effect by the statute and the case law, because of the unique shape of this property, the unique -- the particular traits of this property, and the fact that the current zoning for the property is not really applicable or functional.

So, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board, there were various conditions that I believe Mr. Warner will likely go over so I'll let him do that, but I would respectfully respect that the board grant the application for preliminary and final major site plan with the variances and waivers requested, with the stipulations and conditions that the applicant put forth on the record.

So, again, thank you, and I'm happy to answer any questions that the board may have.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Thank you
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very much.
So that brings it back to us, the
board, for any comments or questions that we are still remaining.

I do have one comment myself, thinking about this left-hand turn, thinking about the neighborhood across the street and, you know, you are our neighbors and your concerns are important to us.

If we were to -- if the applicant or somebody were to make no left turn here, can you -people would go right. And if they're going to go right, they're going to take a left up Horseshoe and they're going to go right through your neighborhood to take that left turn.

And I think it would exacerbate the traffic in your neighborhood.

It seems like you guys do have a number of people that cut through and that's an issue that exists today. And I think making a no left turn coming out of there might make it worse.

I mean, if I lived there and I'm coming out, if I can't take a left I'm going to take a right, I'm going to take a left up Horseshoe, I'm going to go right through your neighborhood to go to Berkeley Heights, or I'm going to go up Horseshoe,
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one or the other.
So I just don't see a fix to that particular issue and I think making no left turn would make it worse. Just, I grew up on Valley Road, I drive this road every single day and so that's sort of how I see that situation.

That's my one comment for now.
Anybody else on the board have any questions or comments?

MR. TARASCHI: I do real quick.
My comment is overall I was just very impressed with the applicant's cooperation here. Aside from having a solid, you know, first draft, I'll say, which I'm sure wasn't first draft to them but to us it was, their willingness to take last meeting's concerns into account and quite quickly try to solve some of these problems put in what is in my view very clearly and some costly improvements to address our concerns and meet every criteria that we've requested and that the borough professionals have, is somewhat remarkable and I want to give them credit for that.

I also take into serious consideration the Berkeley Heights residents, our neighbors, and their concerns with the traffic. And I have a couple
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of thoughts on that and will probably end with a question to Steve in the end.

But right now it's an abandoned building. It's allowed to be an abandoned building and it's allowed to be a non-abandoned building that will have a significant number of people in it.

They could potentially find renters, which I don't think anybody has disagreed with, would cause significantly more traffic and cars going in and out at peak hours than a townhome development.

We certainly can't keep it as an abandoned building with no cars going in and out, nor would that be the right decision of us to do. If we had that power.

I guess my questions is, should we be looking at this as if it were a single-family home only zoning or should we really be looking -- and the impact compared to that, or should we be looking at the reality here, which is it's not a single-family home, it's a building, an office building, that could be an office building again with renters in there, and that's what we should be considering.

Because, you know, where's the bar here, I guess, in terms of our consideration, and that's my question.
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-- I'll leave the professional planning to the testimony you already heard from Mr. Heydt and Mr. Stires can weigh in as well, but legally you have to consider the reality, as you put it. You cannot say to yourself, well, it's an empty building right now, it's got zero everything, so including, you
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MR. WARNER: Before I answer that
question, Board Member Fechtner, I know you stepped out a moment there, I want to repeat the question, and please, I do have to ask the board members, although it's draconian, we have to listen to everything or -- in order to be qualified to vote. So unless and until there's breaks, we all kind of need to stay in the camera.

But the question of Board Member Taraschi essentially was do we consider this simply as it's vacant now so everything is zero, or do we consider is as, well, it can only be, by permitted use, be a single-family dwelling, so consider the difference between this and what's proposed in a single-family dwelling, or can we consider all the various possibilities including the fact that as of right, this could continue to be an office building and a fully occupied one, or something else perhaps.

And the answer is legally, and I'm not
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know, very -- well, very little open space, but you have to consider it as certainly what it could be as of right, and, you know, which could be a continuation of a preexisting, not -- a previously approved, excuse me, office building which could be fully occupied.

Hope that answers from the legal perspective.

## MR. TARASCHI: It did.

So given that, and given the fact that the owner could, at any moment, occupy this building by just lowering the rent if it's disapproved and potentially occupying it or, you know -- it seems to me that the reality is, is that this will be less intrusive traffic wise on the neighbors if it's built than it would be if this was reoccupied, which they have every right to do.

And having an abandoned building dilapidate over the years doesn't seem to be in any community's best interest or highest investments in any way, so that's my comment on it.

But I do seriously consider the residents' concerns about safety and whatnot because we do have to take their thoughts and concerns into account, as we should, as I would hope their
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community would for us, so that's it.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Well said.
Anyone else on the board have any
comments, questions?
(No response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: So I'll make my comments.

You know, I came -- anybody who knows me knows that I have never been a fan of this type of a development. I've been on the board for, I think it's coming on my 25th year, but the world's changing, and this type of housing is what people are looking for, and it's a nice development. I think it's a particularly good use for this property.

I do like your question, Mitch, and I'm glad you asked it. I do think it is a less intensive use than what is currently there.

I do take the consideration of the
neighbors into account. I think they have some traffic issues that I think need to be addressed and I think they exist today and I don't feel this is going to exacerbate them.

And, you know, looking at the other property that we approved on Mountain Boulevard, and one doesn't always go to the other, but that had some
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slope issues, that had some other issues, and it was a little bit different for development.

I think this is, you know, the height was one thing that concerned me and the fact that it is staggered and the bigger building is further back is important because I think that from a neighbor looking at it, it's going to have less of an effect.

I do think that the two roads, the Drift Road and Plainfield Avenue are, you know, not a good place for a single-family home. I don't think that would ever go here. I don't think anyone would ever do that.

I think if we didn't approve this, someone would try to repurpose it as a commercial building.

And to Mr. Taraschi's comments, this is a less intensive use. And I remember when Stonegate went in, very concerned about traffic. Having traveled this road, as I said, daily, I don't see that causing a great deal of traffic. I don't see Berkeley Square causing a great deal of traffic as well.

Again, the left-hand turn, I don't think -- I think not having a left-hand turn will cause more trouble for the surrounding neighborhood
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than allowing a left-hand turn. I do think the light helps exacerbate that to get out. And if you ever sat at that light during rush hour, the majority of traffic is coming from Route 78. And that light changes pretty often.

So, you know, it -- as much as nothing
is always perfect, I do think this is probably the best use for this property.

That's my two cents.
Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Yes sir, Mr. Chairman.
I think I want to parrot what
Mr. Taraschi was saying. The experts you brought in, excellent. Listening, excellent. Willing to make changes, excellent.

Comparisons skewed toward what you want
to accomplish, and the one area that I brought up
early on in the last meeting was the fact that, at that point it was 64 percent pervious coverage. And you were -- you used the footprint of the existing building at 70 percent, you didn't bring in the buffering which I happen to agree with you. I think the buffering adds some quality to what you're trying to accomplish.

I like what you're trying to
accomplish, I like the looks of your building, but I see it as just being too dense for, you know, it's -we need to have it opened up. We need more space in there. At least that's my feeling. It was from early on when we first started looking at this, that it was just too dense.

And we've been down this road with a number of developments and we've been able to work with groups like yours and come up with something like's acceptable to both the town and to the developers. So might be wise to give that some thought.

That's all I have to say.
I'm done.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: So my
question for you, Rich, is it's what, I think it's 74 percent now and they're going to 66 . Is that -- I mean, they're reducing the number.

MR. BROWN: It was 70,000 originally, right? It was 70 percent, I thought, originally, and then it went down to 64. I mean, those were the original numbers, I think. Maybe I'm wrong and correct me if I'm wrong.

MR. STIRES: That's right.
Then it went up to 66.
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MR. BROWN: Then it went up to 66, right. And I understand why it went up. I mean, you're trying to accommodate expanding the -- you know, the depth of the roads and access to the facilities, but I think there's a way to get to where we want to be with this, it's just -- it's too much. For me it's too much. Been -- you know, I've been down this road a couple of times.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Mr. Kita, did you have a comment?

MR. KITA: Sure.
Like you, I've traveled -- I travel
Plainfield Avenue quite a bit. I'm -- you know, I live in what was referred to, that little sliver of Watchung that is north of Route 78.

You know, coming out of Emerson Avenue here off of Plainfield Avenue is an adventure in and of itself as well and probably with the hill that's there, probably more dangerous than the part of Plainfield we've been talking about.

I kind of agree with Rich, you know, on the density. I wish that we could see some things maybe slightly less dense.

But with that said, you know, I think that the applicant did meet the burden of proof, I
think, in terms of the viability of the project, its benefit to the community. I think it's going to be much better than having that office building there, and especially as Mitch was saying, an office building that might be in use, and I think at some point there was some testimony that there might be like 60 trips generated during those rush hours going in and out of that property which would make it, you know, far worse than what this project purports to be with the traffic coming in and out.

So with that said, you know, I'm inclined to vote favorably on the project at this point.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Okay. Mr. Hanlon, do you have any comments?
(No response.)
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Mrs. Fechtner.

MS. FECHTNER: I'm saying what I have compromised in my head, too.

I would certainly love to see less density. I don't think it would change the quality of life of the people who are living there, which is the way I'm measuring this.

I know there is a need for more parking LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
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-- more living opportunities for people. I think this will serve not the kind of people who want the acre-and-a-half house, this will serve a different public. And maybe people are changing and don't want the maintenance of a big house, and I'm not referring to necessarily seniors because I look at the plan and let them do whatever they feel they want to do, and I look at all the steps in those apartments, condos, and think that's not where empty-nesters are going to go.

However, I see this place for families with two working parents who don't want the maintenance of a big property.

So I think it will serve a purpose of housing for people in this area. There is such a shortage of housing all over, I've been told, by younger couples who are looking to buy their first house or whatever they're looking to live into. So I'm looking at that.

Yes, I would love to see more open space because that's Watchung to me. Watchung is open space. That's gone and behind. We're no longer keep Watchung rural, we're not very much a community.

So I have had a lot of concerns, mostly quality of life in terms of this. However the

LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
developers want to develop it, that's not -- I'm not relating to that and that's not what I'm judging and I'm just judging on will it serve the community.

Yes, there will be more traffic, but
every time we build there's more traffic and we're unhappy with it. I don't know how to do away with the -- that issue of it.

Safety, I think, yes, we can work on safety. Look, we've just done that on Valley Road. We lowered the speed limit.

And people have to be careful with people being more gentlemanly and someone is trying to make a turn, give them a break. I've run into that very often, people give you headlights on, up and down, give you the signal that they're going to let me make my left turn to get into my street.

So is it ideal? I think any
development we do that increases the number of people, traffic, we humans do create problems. So I'm looking at this and thinking it's going to serve 27 families who are looking for a place, nice place to live in a nice town, and hopefully it will be a safe place for them.

So I'm now leaning, after much trepidation at the beginning, I have many, many notes
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of why oh no, no, no, but I -- I think it's -- for me, it's probably the right thing to do. So I am going that route.

And I do agree with the density. It would have been nice maybe ten less houses, maybe 17, but today people do things for business reasons, and you can't tell them you should make your profits on these things by opening it up because it would be nicer. That's their piece of property.

Either I accept it as they're presenting it or I say uh-uh.

So right now I'm saying I would accept it.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Thank you.
Mr. Hanlon, you unmuted yourself.
MR. HANLON: Yeah, I just wanted to say
I listened to this obviously for the past two sessions. I think the applicant has done a great job in presenting. I think they are putting together something that looks aesthetically pleasing.

My only objection, and I mirror Rich's concerns, is the density. I think if there was a way that this could come in with a few fewer units, that would alleviate a lot of the concerns that we've talked about, be it the parking issues -- well, not
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|  | 177 |  | 179 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10:43PM 1 | the parking issues, but the ability for vehicles to | 10:45PM 1 | as high at one point as 40.8 feet, some others less |
| 10:43PM 2 | maneuver through the facility; the setbacks, et | 10:46PM 2 | so, and -- but that one at least is more than |
| 10:43PM 3 | cetera, would be alleviated by coming in with fewer | 10:46PM 3 | 10 percent above the 35 -foot maximum permitted |
| 10:43PМ 4 | units and having less density. | 10:46PM 4 | height, so that's a D-6 height variance. |
| 10:43PM 5 | Other than that, I thought the | 10:46PM 5 | Those two D variances. And then it's |
| 10:43Рм 6 | presentation was fantastic. I think the vision is a | 10:46PM 6 | arguable whether a density variance, D-5, is actually |
| 10:43Рм 7 | good one. | 10:46PM 7 | needed or not, because there may not be an exact |
| 10:43PM 8 | It's just a matter of fine-tuning it. | 10:46PM 8 | standard for it in the single-family zone. |
| 10:43РМ 9 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Thank you. | 10:46PM 9 | But we heard 12.8 units to the acre is |
| 10:43Рм 10 | Dr. Steinfeld, you have a comment? | 10:46PM 10 | what it would come out to as proposed if there was a |
| 10:43PM 11 | DR. STEINFELD: Yes, I do. | 10:46PM 11 | standard for this zone. |
| 10:43РМ 12 | I certainly agree with the comments | 10:46PM 12 | Then bulk variances, front yard |
| 10:43Рм 13 | that people have made about the density. I had the | 10:46PM 13 | setback, 12 feet versus 50 feet from Drift Road, at |
| 10:43PM 14 | feeling early on that it looked like they were trying | 10:46PM 14 | least technically only 12 feet. Building coverage, |
| 10:43РМ 15 | to shoehorn in as many units as they possibly could | 10:46PM 15 | 24 percent. Impervious coverage, 66 percent versus |
| 10:44PM 16 | into this relatively small space. | 10:46PM 16 | 25. Net habitable floor area, 669 square feet for |
| 10:44PM 17 | But the thing that suddenly occurred to | 10:46PM 17 | the smallest unit versus 2,000 square foot minimum |
| 10:44PM 18 | me as I listened to the comments is that people are | 10:46PM 18 | required. Three stories versus 2.5 stories, and sign |
| 10:44PM 19 | referring to the occupants of these buildings as | 10:46PM 19 | setback, 5 feet setback versus 25 feet required, and |
| 10:44PM 20 | being young families with young or middle-aged | 10:47PM 20 | sign size, 20-square-foot sign versus 1 -square-foot. |
| 10:44PM 21 | certainly school children, and it just occurred to | 10:47PM 21 | Again, with all those variances, the |
| 10:44PM 22 | me, I didn't really see an area where those children | 10:47PM 22 | fact that there's at least one $D$ variance means that |
| 10:44PM 23 | can play safely, and that the areas that are | 10:47PM 23 | for approval of this proposal, in toto, at least five |
| 10:44PM 24 | available to them are streets or cul de sacs or | 10:47קM 24 | board members out of the seven would have to vote |
| 10:44PM 25 | something like that where cars are probably going to <br> LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 | 10:47PM 25 | yes. <br> LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812 |
|  | 178 |  | 180 |
| 10:44PM 1 | be traveling, and I think that as they're playing, | 10:47PM 1 | And again, there were those six design |
| 10:44PM 2 | they're going to be having one eye on the ball and | 10:47PM 2 | waivers which are something, quote/unquote, a little |
| 10:44PM 3 | one eye down the street to see if there's a car | 10:47PM 3 | less than a variance, if you will. |
| 10:44PM 4 | coming and they have to get out of the way. | 10:47PM 4 | And those were basically a couple of |
| 10:44PM 5 | And I think that in line with the | 10:47PM 5 | feet on the drive aisle width and the access setbacks |
| 10:44PM 6 | density issue, I think if they had subtracted maybe | 10:47PM 6 | and parking setbacks to building and buffer widths |
| 10:44PM 7 | three or four units and converted that into a common | 10:47PM 7 | and the like. |
| 10:45PM 8 | area for play, I think that the property would have | 10:47PM 8 | And tree removal and street tree |
| 10:45PM 9 | been better put to use. | 10:47PM 9 | issues, although you did hear that the tree |
| 10:45PM 10 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: All right. | 10:47PM 10 | replacement was going to be in excess of the |
| 10:45PM 11 | Any more questions or comments from the board? | 10:47PM 11 | requirement. |
| 10:45PM 12 | MR. WARNER: Mr. Chairman, would it -- | 10:47PM 12 | So the board's deliberated, the board's |
| 10:45PM 13 | I know we've done it a couple of times, but would it | 10:47PM 13 | heard all the evidence from the applicant, all of the |
| 10:45PM 14 | help to just give a quick rundown on the variances | 10:47PM 14 | applicant's witnesses, from the members of the |
| 10:45PM 15 | again, because I think the board is about to vote. | 10:48PM 15 | public, gotten a reiteration for the third time of |
| 10:45PM 16 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: That would | 10:48PM 16 | what all the variances are and a reminder, five or |
| 10:45PM 17 | be very helpful. | 10:48PM 17 | more yeses mean passage, less than five yeses means a |
| 10:45PM 18 | Thank you, sir. | 10:48PM 18 | denial. |
| 10:45PM 19 | MR. WARNER: Okay. | 10:48PM 19 | And I'm not going to go through the |
| 10:45PM 20 | Again, there's at least two D variances | 10:48PM 20 | laundry list of conditions, at least not yet, 'cause |
| 10:45PM 21 | at issue; one the D-1 use variance for multifamily | 10:48PM 21 | candidly I'm not sure where the board is going |
| 10:45PM 22 | residential use, whereas single family is permitted, | 10:48PM 22 | vis-a-vis five or more yes votes or not, so... |
| 10:45PM 23 | and office, as we know, was previously approved. | 10:48PM 23 | MR. TUVEL: Steve, I know that we're at |
| 10:45PM 24 | Building height, at least one of the | 10:48PM 24 | a point towards the end, but could we take a |
| 10:45PM 25 | buildings, third building if I recall correctly, gets | 10:48PM 25 | two-minute break so I could confer with my client |
|  | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. |  | LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. |
|  |  |  |  |

 slight changes to the density here of a few units.

And so we would appreciate the board taking a step, even if only on a preliminary basis, where we would then come back to you with that final plan that would more fully flesh out what Jason has described with Building 4 there.
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I think what Jason has outlined, and Jason and Counsel Warner can talk about it. I'll put it this way, what I'd like is the -- for this board to take some action, whether it just be on a preliminary basis, to confirm the variance relief that has been sought here. We have been through a lot and we very much appreciate you all hanging on here, especially for this five machine break we've taken at 11 o'clock at night, so please, like, we appreciate that very much.

But all of that said and all of the work that both this board and we have gone through, we would like some action to be taken. It seemed that there was a willingness on the board as it deliberated, and I don't mean to presume how you would vote on this so please don't take it like that, but there is a willingness to move forward with it, with the use variance here, with the $D-1$, with perhaps on the part of a couple board members, some

So that would be what we would be asking of you all. Again, I would leave it to Jason and to your Counsel on that.

And, of course, to your discretion as to how you'd like to do that, but we appreciate the comments. We really appreciate the kind comments. That makes us happy because we're long-term owners here of a family-owned company. The way we want to do business is to do business well at the front end, in the middle and at the back end.

And so this is the front end of it and I am here to say like, this is the posture and the position that we would like to take with this board and with the borough, because we plan on being partners with you all, as we have been with this building, for many years to come.

MR. TUVEL: Yes.
And -- thanks, Noah. And what I would suggest, and it's up to the board obviously, is if we agree to eliminate that building in the rear, which is Building No. 4, and move the units, we get our variance. I'd ask the board to vote on the variance relief that we're seeking.

It would obviously not be anything more than what we asked for, but based on 24 units and the
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for.

So that's we would ask the board, and I'll leave it to the Chairman and the board members and Steve to opine that.
MR. CHRISMER: And I just want to make one quick clarification for the board, so because we want to continue to provide the 20 percent at least of the affordable units in relation to the market-rate units, eliminating the entirety of Building 4 loses four units, but it loses two COAH units.

And so we're -- while that building, I think, can be eliminated and the buildings reworked, we are going to have to find a home for that one COAH unit.

So just to be clear, I think the unit LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
elimination of that building, the preliminary site plan approval, with would then require us legally to come back to the board and show you what the ultimate plan would be on a final, and we would work that out with Mr. Stires, and we have the benefit of the transcript, we have everybody's comments here, to try to implement the additional open space and some of the other items that the board -- that the board, you know, indicated was something that they were looking
 count that we're talking about here is going from 27 to 24 in that scenario.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: And then the affordable would go from six to five?

MR. CHRISMER: Yes, sir.
ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Okay.
Mr. Warner?
MR. WARNER: Well, that was the first question I had.

So if it's going to be 24 units with five affordables, you're going to make another -where are you going to find that stacked flat? They come in twos, don't they? Two, four, six.

MR. CHRISMER: They do.
MR. WARNER: See, the board is going to have to have some certainty on what they're voting on. If it's 24 units with five affordables, which would be likely in excess of 20 percent, where are those five affordables if you eliminate the fourth -Building 4 and slide the other three back a bit?

MR. TUVEL: So, Steve, your question is just to make sure that we have the five affordables housings?

MR. WARNER: Yes, where's the fifth?
MR. TUVEL: Okay.
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MR. WARNER: I'm assuming Building 1 has two and Building 2 has two, and they're just sliding back a bit.

And then building -- where is that extra unit? You designed these as stacked flats, they come in twos, the COAHs.

MR. TUVEL: All I could say is we'll agree to provide the five.

It will be up to us before final to figure out where it goes.

MR. CHRISMER: And if we need to have a
stacked flat in which one of them is a affordable unit and one of them is a market-rate unit and that's the way that it ends up, then that's what we'll do. I don't think that's our preference, I think we'll be able to work out better than that, but we will find a place for that fifth affordable unit.

MR. WARNER: The -- let me ask the applicant -- well, there's a couple of options for the applicant and the board. One is for the applicant to come back with a modified plan and seek
the preliminary/final and all of the same relief,
albeit to a lesser extent in a relatively short period of time after this evening.

Another option might be for the
LAURA A. CARUCCI, C.S.R., R.P.R., L.L.C. 201-641-1812
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ide, but I just wanted to lay out those three
scenarios, and in my legal opinion the first two scenarios -- frankly, in my legal opinion, the
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proposed, which is give me preliminary and all the variance relief, I'll come back for final and that's when we'll ultimately see what exactly it is.

MR. CHRISMER: We appreciate that.
We appreciate that.
Jason, I don't know what -MR. TUVEL: Yes, Steve, I think -- you know, listen, we put a lot of time into this as you could tell.

So I think, from the applicant's
standpoint -- and I understand your point regarding the options that you laid out. I think we would like to get a vote on the bifurcation and then we would come back with a subsequent site plan with these details laid out.
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viability of those scenarios is in the order that I gave them; most viable coming back another night, less viable but still viable, a bifurcation asking for the $D$ variance relief at this time to get a gauge for purposes of coming back with a more detailed engineered site plan and properly calculated bulk variances and waivers another night, but having the benefit of knowing that you at least approved the D if you do. The least preferable, if at all viable option, is the one that I think the applicant has

So the vote on the bifurcation would be
on the 24 units, five affordable, with the -- when we would come back under the site plan that we could work out with Mr. Stires, addressing his report and addressing some of the comments and concerns that the board had during the course of the meeting, so I think that's how we would like to proceed.

MR. WARNER: And you're looking for the D-1, but are you also looking for the D-6 as well?

MR. TUVEL: Yes, yes, correct.
The building heights would not change. That's correct.

MR. WARNER: Right.
MR. TUVEL: And the D-5 if the board felt it was necessary, correct.

MR. WARNER: Right.
And at this point, for the benefit of the board, the building height you're asking for is still 40.8 feet --

MR. TUVEL: Correct, yes.
MR. WARNER: -- at the highest versus

## $35 ?$

MR. TUVEL: Correct, yes.
MR. WARNER: Okay.
And could somebody quickly calculate
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|  | 193 |  | 195 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | what the density would be if indeed it's required, | $\text { 11:15PM } \quad 1$ | a question. What is -- so we're approving this -- |
| 11:13PM 2 | because now we have less units? | 11:15PM 2 | all these variances, but there was something about |
| 11:13PM 3 | MR. TUVEL: Could you figure that out? | 11:15PM 3 | e street being 22 feet versus 24 -- |
| 11:13PM 4 | MR. WARNER: I think the planner might | 11:15PM 4 | MR. WARNER: No, this is all -- I'm |
| 11:13PM 5 | be right now, it might be more like 12 and 13, but -- | 11:15PM 5 | sorry, D.J. -- this is -- Mr. Chairman, this is only |
| 11:13PM 6 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: My only | 11:15PM 6 | the D variances, the three D variances that they're |
| 11:13PM 7 | question is if you're going to move them back will | 11:15PM 7 | asking for. |
| 11:13РМ 8 | you need the other one to be higher or no? It | 11:15PM 8 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: All right. |
| 11:13PM 9 | doesn't matter. | 11:15PM 9 | MR. TUVEL: Right. |
| 11:13PM 10 | MR. TUVEL: No, no. And it would be -- | 11:15PM 10 | MR. WARNER: Multifamily versus single |
| 11:13PM 11 | it's 11.43. | 11:15PM 11 | family, 40.8 feet versus 35 feet max, and 11.43 |
| 11:13PM 12 | MR. WARNER: Would be the density? | 11:16PM 12 | dwelling units per acre versus one and change. |
| 11:13PM 13 | MR. TUVEL: Yes. | 11:16PM 13 | MR. TUVEL: And the reason we're not |
| 11:13PM 14 | MR. WARNER: Okay. | 11:16PM 14 | doing the others is because they're either most |
| 11:13Рм 15 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I'm talking | 11:16Pм 15 | likely going to stay the same or improve, and you'll |
| 11:13PM 16 | about -- | 11:16PM 16 | see that on the site plan when it's revised. |
| 11:14PM 17 | MR. WARNER: It's ultimately for the | 11:16PM 17 | So you'll probably see an improvement |
| 11:14PM 18 | board to decide, but right now the applicant has | 11:16PM 18 | on the other variances that were discussed during |
| 11:14PM 19 | amended and they do have the legal authority, in my | 11:16PM 19 | this evening. |
| 11:14PM 20 | opinion, under section 70(b) to ask for the | 11:16PM 20 | MR. WARNER: I would feel much more |
| 11:14PM 21 | D variance relief only at this point and to come | 11:16PM 21 | comfortable if you would only vote, if there's an |
| 11:14PM 22 | back, assuming it's approved, for the balance, at | 11:16PM 22 | approval on the Ds, on the bulk variances and the |
| 11:14PM 23 | which time the plans would -- engineered plans, et | 11:16PM 23 | site plan when you see the revised site plan. |
| 11:14PM 24 | cetera, would be properly before the board in my | 11:16PM 24 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: And I would |
| 11:14PM 25 | opinion. | 11:16PM 25 | always take counsel's advice, especially on something |
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|  | 194 |  | 196 |
| 11:14PM 1 | And so the three variances, D-1 use | 11:16PM 1 | this complicated because we want it to be right. |
| 11:14PM 2 | variance, multifamily residential, in particular 24 | 11:16PM 2 | I'll just ask the applicant real quick, |
| 11:14PM 3 | total units, five affordable, for the D-1 | 11:16PM 3 | e you sure this is what you guys want to do? I |
| 11:14PM 4 | non-permitted use; building height D-6, 40.8 feet at | 11:16PM 4 | mean, is there some way where you want to make this |
| 11:14PM 5 | its highest point versus 35 max permitted; and a | 11:16PM 5 | 25 and take some time and do it or. |
| 11:14PM 6 | density, if necessary, for the D-5 density variance | 11:16PM 6 | MR. CHRISMER: No, I think that this |
| 11:14PM 7 | of 11.43 to the acre as opposed to somewhere a little | 11:16PM 7 | feels reasonable, this feels like it respects the |
| 11:14PM 8 | north of one dwelling unit per acre. | 11:16PM 8 | time and the thought you all have put into it, while |
| 11:15PM 9 | Is that accurate as to what the | 11:16PM 9 | also, honestly, respecting the time and thought and |
| 11:15PM 10 | applicant is requesting the board to deliberate and | 11:16PM 10 | ownership interest that we have in it. |
| 11:15PM 11 | vote on? | 11:17PM 11 | This feels like if the board is |
| 11:15PM 12 | MR. TUVEL: Yes, Steve, that's correct. | 11:17PM 12 | inclined to grant the use variances here, that we're |
| 11:15PM 13 | Thank you for summarizing it so well. | 11:17PM 13 | going to be able to come up with a plan that really |
| 11:15PM 14 | MR. WARNER: Sure. | 11:17PM 14 | makes everybody at this table happy. |
| 11:15Pм 15 | And it would be with all of the same | 11:17PM 15 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Okay. |
| 11:15PM 16 | stipulated-to conditions as previously, correct? | 11:17PM 16 | So I would just -- I will just open it |
| 11:15PM 17 | MR. TUVEL: Absolutely. Correct. | 11:17PM 17 | up to the board because I think I should and see if |
| 11:15PM 18 | And, obviously, to the -- yes, correct. | 11:17PM 18 | anybody has any questions about what we're doing, any |
| 11:15PM 19 | Yes. | 11:17PM 19 | comments. |
| 11:15PM 20 | MR. WARNER: Does the board need any | 11:17PM 20 | DR. STEINFELD: Yeah, in the event that |
| 11:15PM 21 | further explanation from me or does the board | 11:17PM 21 | the new proposal doesn't meet our expectations, can |
| 11:15PM 22 | understand and the Chair will decide you're going to | 11:17PM 22 | we reverse our decision tonight? |
| 11:15PM 23 | proceed in that fashion and if so, you'll deliberate | 11:17PM 23 | MR. WARNER: You can't reverse your |
| 11:15PM 24 | and vote? | 11:17PM 24 | decision, but you can -- but you can -- you're not |
| 11:15PM 25 | ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: I just have | 11:17PM 25 | required to vote one way or the other on the balance |
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month of May at least, just to play it safe.
MR. TUVEL: And, Steve, we could talk
about this later, but I guess the record that's been
established on the bifurcation is still applicable to
the site plan in terms of the testimony given, the reports, and that nature. That's all I wanted to say as well.

MR. WARNER: Well, I'm glad that's true.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Okay.
MR. CHRISMER: We thank you guys for hanging in there with us.

ACTING CHAIRMAN HUNSINGER: Thank you very much.
(Whereupon, this matter will be continuing at a future date. Time noted: 11:20 p.m.)
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